

To: 'burnetdm@bp.com' <burnetdm@bp.com>; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com' <bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com>; 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com' <carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com>; 'szcagen@shellus.com' <szcagen@shellus.com>; 'sptsai@shellus.com' <sptsai@shellus.com>; 'roythorc@bp.com' <roythorc@bp.com>
From: Beatty, Patrick (PWBE) <PWBE@chevron.com>
Cc: 'woodallg@api.org' <woodallg@api.org>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-08-06 23:51:12 GMT
Subject: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

All,

We need to have a conference call this week to deal with one urgent item and one less urgent item. (Also there are probably others that I haven't thought of yet).

The urgent item: We need to discuss and agree on a couple more members of the Scientific Review Panel in light of the Oversight Committee's charge to us to generate a more international flavor on the SRP. Stuart Cagen has circulated the CV of a Chinese scientist Li Giulian who was involved with the biomarker portion of the NCI/CAPM study. Shawn Tsai knows her personally and vouches for her objectivity and desire to play a positive role in the SRP. In addition we have a number of European candidates who could provide expertise and credibility to the SRP. A separate mailing will list them.

The not-so-urgent item: I need to bring the committee up to date on the results of the Oversight Committee meeting since they met to discuss our recommendations for our role in the grand scheme of things.

To this end, please send George Woodall by the close of business Tuesday, August 7 your availability for a conference call during the rest of this week.

I apologize for the short notice, but I would like to get at least two more reviewers for the protocol review and we need the blessing of the Oversight Committee for Dr. Li and any of the Europeans that have not been discussed with them.

Patrick

Patrick W. Beatty Ph.D., D.A.B.T.
(510) 242-7037 Office; (510) 242-7022 FAX
Staff Toxicologist
Petroleum Team
Toxicology and Health Risk Assessment
CRTC RIC 100 Bldg. 24-1125
E-mail: pwbe@chevron.com

To: 'woodallg@api.org' <woodallg@api.org>
From: Roythorne, Christopher <RoythorC@bp.com>
Cc: 'Beatty, Patrick (PWBE)' <PWBE@chevron.com>; Burnett, Donald M. <burnetdm@bp.com>; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com' <bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com>; 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com' <carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com>; 'szcagen@shellus.com' <szcagen@shellus.com>; 'sptsai@shellus.com' <sptsai@shellus.com>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-08-07 10:19:00 GMT
Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

George, In response to Pat's request I only have limited availability for the rest of the week.
Wednesday 8th . After 8pm UK time (if this time is chosen please copy my secretary with the call details as I am not on e-mail that day)
Thursday 9th After 3.00pm UK time
Regards
Chris

From: Beatty, Patrick (PWBE) [mailto:PWBE@chevron.com]
Sent: 07 August 2001 00:48
To: 'burnetdm@bp.com'; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com'; 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com'; 'szcagen@shellus.com'; 'sptsai@shellus.com'; 'roythorc@bp.com'
Cc: 'woodallg@api.org'
Subject: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

All,

We need to have a conference call this week to deal with one urgent item and one less urgent item. (Also there are probably others that I haven't thought of yet).

The urgent item: We need to discuss and agree on a couple more members of the Scientific Review Panel in light of the Oversight Committee's charge to us to generate a more international flavor on the SRP. Stuart Cagen has circulated the CV of a Chinese scientist Li Giulian who was involved with the biomarker portion of the NCI/CAPM study. Shawn Tsai knows her personally and vouches for her objectivity and desire to play a positive role in the SRP. In addition we have a number of European candidates who could provide expertise and credibility to the SRP. A separate mailing will list them.

The not-so-urgent item: I need to bring the committee up to date on the results of the Oversight Committee meeting since they met to discuss our recommendations for our role in the grand scheme of things.

To this end, please send George Woodall by the close of business Tuesday, August 7 your availability for a conference call during the rest of this week.

I apologize for the short notice, but I would like to get at least two more reviewers for the protocol review and we need the blessing of the Oversight Committee for Dr. Li and any of the Europeans that have not been discussed with them.

Patrick

Patrick W. Beatty Ph.D., D.A.B.T.
(510) 242-7037 Office; (510) 242-7022 FAX
Staff Toxicologist
Petroleum Team
Toxicology and Health Risk Assessment
CRTC RIC 100 Bldg. 24-1125
E-mail: pwbe@chevron.com

To: 'Beatty, Patrick (PWBE)' <PWBE@chevron.com>
From: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC
</O=SHELL/OU=MSXSCC/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=PH197432>
Cc: 'woodallg@api.org' <woodallg@api.org>;
'burnetdm@bp.com' <burnetdm@bp.com>; Cagen, Stuart Z
SCC <szcagen@Shell.Com>
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-08-07 17:27:20 GMT
Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

Pat,

I have a question about when and how revisions to the Technical Review Committee Briefing Materials that were presented to the OC on July 26 are going to be made. Will the committee revise the document based on the OC comments and then reissue it? I made notes during the discussion which I will be happy to share with the technical committee. I believe that I accurately recorded the recommendations/decisions of the OC. I am particularly interested in the section titled "Interactions with the Scientific Review Panel." In the first bullet, my notes indicate that the agreement on the wording is:

- ~~The Chair of the~~ Technical Review Committee may attend meetings only as an observer.

My recollection (good North Carolina term) of the discussion was that we wanted to encourage full committee participation as observers. The challenge for the TRC is not to actively participate in order to maintain the independence of both the PIs and the SRP, but, at the same time, be involved and informed enough to manage the project.

The second and third bullets were deleted.

We added a bullet: The Scientific Review Panel reports decisions to Technical Review Committee.

We also made the recommendation that the Ethics Panel review this structure.

I believe that it is critical that these issues be resolved before the TRC goes forward with potential meetings with the SRP.

Regards,

Patsy

Patsy M. Clegg
Product Steward, HSE
Shell Chemical Company, PO Box 4320, Houston, TX 77002
Phone: 713-241-2521 FAX: 713-241-3325
e-mail: pmclegg@shellus.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Cagen, Stuart Z SCC
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2001 8:10 AM
To: 'Beatty, Patrick (PWBE)'
Cc: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC
Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

Pat:

Thanks for the quick action. I will let George know my availability by the end of the day.

We might also discuss some of the other items I brought up in my note concerning.....well, you know the list...

I still feel strongly about joining you in discussions with the investigators and science review committee and you mentioned that the oversight committee felt strongly about limiting technical committee participation to the chair. I would like to know whether this can be revisited, or, alternatively as I suggested, I could be made the vice-chair to help validate my participation.

Thanks again

Stuart

-----Original Message-----

From: Beatty, Patrick (PWBE) [SMTP:PWBE@chevron.com]
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 6:48 PM
To: 'burnetdm@bp.com'; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com'; 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com'; 'szcagen@shellus.com'; 'sptsai@shellus.com'; 'roythorc@bp.com'
Cc: 'woodallg@api.org'
Subject: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

All,

We need to have a conference call this week to deal with one urgent item and one less urgent item. (Also there are probably others that I haven't thought of yet).

The urgent item: We need to discuss and agree on a couple more members of the Scientific Review Panel in light of the Oversight Committee's charge to us to generate a more international flavor on the SRP. Stuart Cagen has circulated the CV of a Chinese scientist Li Giulan who was involved with the biomarker portion of the NCI/CAPM study. Shawn Tsai knows her personally and vouches for her objectivity and desire to play a positive role in the SRP. In addition we have a number of European candidates who could provide expertise and credibility to the SRP. A separate mailing will list them.

The not-so-urgent item: I need to bring the committee up to date on the results of the Oversight Committee meeting since they met to discuss our recommendations for our role in the grand scheme of things.

To this end, please send George Woodall by the close of business Tuesday, August 7 your availability for a conference call during the rest of this week.

I apologize for the short notice, but I would like to get at least two more reviewers for the protocol review and we need the blessing of the Oversight Committee for Dr. Li and any of the Europeans that have not been discussed with them.

Patrick

Patrick W. Beatty Ph.D., D.A.B.T.
(510) 242-7037 Office; (510) 242-7022 FAX
Staff Toxicologist

Petroleum Team
Toxicology and Health Risk Assessment
CRTC RIC 100 Bldg. 24-1125
E-mail: pwbe@chevron.com

To: 'szcagen@shellus.com' <szcagen@shellus.com>
From: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC <pmclegg@Shell.Com>
Cc:
Bcc:
Received Date: 2001-08-07 21:32:39 GMT
Subject: FW: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

Pat's response to my suggestion.

Patsy

-----Original Message-----

From: Beatty, Patrick (PWBE) [mailto:PWBE@chevron.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2001 4:12 PM
To: 'Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC'
Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

Patsy,

My suggestion for documentation of the roles and responsibilities of each committee (not just the technical committee) is that they be written up in a Consortium Structure document along the lines of the one that currently exists. That document should reflect the decisions of the Oversight Committee.

Regarding the interaction of the Technical Committee (TC) with the SRP, my recollection (we recollect things in Kentucky, too) of the Oversight Committee discussion was that the full TC would meet with the SRP at the annual review to receive the updates from the investigators and would be present for and take part in comment and discussion. I thought that we agreed that the full TC would not participate in other SRP meetings or conference calls. Instead, the TC would be represented by an observer, the Chair or its designee. After a couple talks with Stu I think that there is a compromise position which would allow both the Chair and Vice-chair to be observers. Initially Stu would be the Vice-chair. I don't believe that either Bill Broddle (Conoco) or Don Burnett (BP) have the time to be involved in SRP discussions. I know that Carol Fairbrother doesn't. I think that this accommodates Stu's desire to have a closer view of the workings of the process without appearing to put undue pressure on the SRP. I suggest that we discuss this at the next OC meeting or call.

Patrick

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC [SMTP:pmclegg@Shell.Com]
> Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2001 10:27 AM
> To: 'Beatty, Patrick (PWBE)'
> Cc: 'woodallg@api.org'; 'burnetdm@bp.com'; Cagen, Stuart Z SCC
> Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

>

> Pat,

>

> I have a question about when and how revisions to the Technical Review
> Committee Briefing Materials that were presented to the OC on July 26 are
> going to be made. Will the committee revise the document based on the OC

> comments and then reissue it? I made notes during the discussion which I
> will be happy to share with the technical committee. I believe that I
> accurately recorded the recommendations/decisions of the OC. I am
> particularly interested in the section titled "Interactions with the
> Scientific Review Panel." In the first bullet, my notes indicate that the
> agreement on the wording is:

>

> * The Chair of the Technical Review Committee may attend meetings
> only as an observer.

>

> My recollection (good North Carolina term) of the discussion was that we
> wanted to encourage full committee participation as observers. The
> challenge for the TRC is not to actively participate in order to maintain
> the independence of both the PIs and the SRP, but, at the same time, be
> involved and informed enough to manage the project.

>

> The second and third bullets were deleted.

>

> We added a bullet: The Scientific Review Panel reports decisions to
> Technical Review Committee.

>

> We also made the recommendation that the Ethics Panel review this
> structure.

>

> I believe that it is critical that these issues be resolved before the TRC
> goes forward with potential meetings with the SRP.

>

> Regards,
> Patsy
> Patsy M. Clegg
> Product Steward, HSE
> Shell Chemical Company, PO Box 4320, Houston, TX 77002
> Phone: 713-241-2521 FAX: 713-241-3325
> e-mail: pmclegg@shellus.com

>

>

>

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Cagen, Stuart Z SCC

> > Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2001 8:10 AM

> > To: 'Beatty, Patrick (PWBE)'

> > Cc: Clegg, Patsy M SCC-CHSE-PC

> > Subject: RE: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

> >

> >

> > Pat:

> >

> > Thanks for the quick action. I will let George know my availability by
> > the end of the day.

> >

> > We might also discuss some of the other items I brought up in my note
> > concerning.....well, you know the list...

> >

> > I still feel strongly about joining you in discussions with the
> > investigators and science review committee and you mentioned that the
> > oversight committee felt strongly about limiting technical committee
> > participation to the chair. I would like to know whether this can be
> > revisited, or, alternatively as I suggested, I could be made the

> > vice-chair to help validate my participation.

> >

> > Thanks again

> >

> > Stuart

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Beatty, Patrick (PWBE) [SMTP:PWBE@chevron.com]

> > Sent: Monday, August 06, 2001 6:48 PM

> > To: 'burnetdm@bp.com'; 'bill.d.broddle@usa.conoco.com';

> > 'carol.a.fairbrother@exxon.com'; 'szcagen@shellus.com';

> > 'sptsai@shellus.com'; 'roythorc@bp.com'

> > Cc: 'woodallg@api.org'

> > Subject: Consortium Technical Committee Conference call

> >

> > All,

> >

> > We need to have a conference call this week to deal with one urgent

> > item and

> > one less urgent item. (Also there are probably others that I haven't

> > thought

> > of yet).

> >

> > The urgent item: We need to discuss and agree on a couple more

> > members of

> > the Scientific Review Panel in light of the Oversight Committee's

> > charge to

> > us to generate a more international flavor on the SRP. Stuart Cagen

> > has

> > circulated the CV of a Chinese scientist Li Giulian who was involved

> > with the

> > biomarker portion of the NCI/CAPM study. Shawn Tsai knows her

> > personally

> > and vouches for her objectivity and desire to play a positive role

> > in the

> > SRP. In addition we have a number of European candidates who could

> > provide

> > expertise and credibility to the SRP. A separate mailing will list

> > them.

> >

> > The not-so-urgent item: I need to bring the committee up to date on

> > the

> > results of the Oversight Committee meeting since they met to discuss

> > our

> > recommendations for our role in the grand scheme of things.

> >

> > To this end, please send George Woodall by the close of business

> > Tuesday,

> > August 7 your availability for a conference call during the rest of

> > this

> > week.

> >

> > I apologize for the short notice, but I would like to get at least

> > two more

> > reviewers for the protocol review and we need the blessing of the

> > Oversight

> > Committee for Dr. Li and any of the Europeans that have not been

> > discussed

> > with them.

> >
> > Patrick
> >
> > Patrick W. Beatty Ph.D., D.A.B.T.
> > (510) 242-7037 Office; (510) 242-7022 FAX
> > Staff Toxicologist
> > Petroleum Team
> > Toxicology and Health Risk Assessment
> > CRTC RIC 100 Bldg. 24-1125
> > E-mail: pwbe@chevron.com
> >
