

[REDACTED]
Sample of Blood submitted 9-24-45, by Dr. S. L. Rankin,
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Baton Rouge, La.

Blood

Analysis Number	-	45A-4852
Grams	-	10.3
Mgs. Pb/100 Grams	-	0.04 (Spectrographic)
Mgs. Pb/100 Grams	-	0.045 (Dithizone)

Results wired Dr. Rankin.

Reported: 9-29-45

[REDACTED]
Sample of Urine submitted 9-26-45, by Dr. S. L. Rankin,
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Baton Rouge, La.

Urine

Analysis Number	-	45A-4874
Volume	-	62.0 ml.
Mgs. Pb/Liter	-	0.06

Results wired Dr. Rankin.

Reported: 9-28-45

RE 0017515

See letter 7/13/45 Dr.
Gibson in file


Samples of Urine submitted 9-24-45, by Dr. S. L. Rankin,
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Baton Rouge, La.

Urine

Analysis Number	-	45A-4854
Volume	-	100.0 ml.
Mgs. Pb/Liter	-	0.09

Results wired Dr. Rankin.

Reported: 9-26-45

KE 0017516

[REDACTED]

Sample of Blood submitted 9-24-45, by Dr. S. L. Rankin,
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Baton Rouge, La.

Blood

Analysis Number - 45A-4853

	<u>Spectrographic</u>	<u>Dithizone</u>
Grams	11.1	14.4
Mgs. Pb/100 Grams	0.04	0.055

Results wired Dr. Rankin.

Reported: 9-29-45

KE 0017517

Whitfield

October 1, 1945

Dr. S. L. Rankin,
E. I. du Pont Company,
Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Dear Dr. Rankin:

We now have all the information that is needed to decide the status of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The analytical results confirm my belief, arrived at independently from consideration of the other facts, that these men have eliminated the lead absorbed as a consequence of their comparatively brief period of exposure. I am also convinced from the clinical evidence that they have no disability and that their reluctance to return to work is due either to conscious and unconscious fear, or perhaps to some lack of desire to work so long as compensation can be obtained by other means.

Because of my opinion about these men I do not believe we should continue to provide the medical support for their compensation for persistent occupational illness. I should be quite prepared, as I know you are, to certify that they are ready to return to work, allowing matters to take their course from there on.

I am not quite sure whether the handling of these cases from here on out is a matter for du Pont or Ethyl. However, in either case I think it would be wise for you to discuss the matter with Mr. Fisher and Mr. Bond, giving them my opinion and expressing your own as you like. Just what is done from there on out will depend on their judgment, but I think that we could scarcely justify efforts to see that they remain on State compensation for a longer period. These cases have already gone for a longer time than they normally would have.

Cordially yours,

Robert A. Kehoe, M. D.

IAK ef

KE 0017518

N21085.01