C+25AM

REPORT OF DR. FREDERICK B. FLIEN OF PATCH TESTS MADE ON MATERIAL RECEIVED FROM SWANN RESEARCH, INC.

The object of this investigation was to determine whether or not the various chlorinated diphenyl compounds submitted or some impurities contained therein might be the causative agent producing the dermatitis which had developed among some of the womann in the plant.

Large white rubbits were used in meking the patch tests to determine the action of the various materials submitted on the ekin. The procedure was to shave the snimal 36 hours before the patch was applied to that there would be no broken skin to interfere with or to aggravate the test. The material being tested was mixed with ethyl alcohol, either getting a solution or a suspension for the purpose of diluting the material. The patch was left on for various periods during the tests — some for 24 hours, others for 48 hours. The patch was then removed and the expected area matched for two weeks to be sure that the test was negative. This was done to avoid the chance of not observing any latent or delayed action such as do sometimes take place in making patch tests. For our own information the materials which were negative were applied in a concentrated form in duplicate tests to see if a dematitis might not develop under these circumstances.

Eight independent tests were made with each material and were made on different days with freshly prepared colutions to be sure that no error had crept in. Controlled tests were made each time with the alcohol and ganzes used to determine whether they might not be the causative agent causing the observed lesions.

Given below is Dr. Flinn's report, showing designations (deen the various materials by Swann Research followed by Dr. Flinn's designation and report of each specific material:

Arcolor 1902 (Lot 5, rode before 5/1/35).

Aroslor 1888, Not 3:-- All eight tooks were negative. The fun tooks with consensuated natural were also negative. An introduced test was negative.

Arcelor 1.200 (Lot Notehook No. 176, page 121; prepared by Harris of 94.5% dipheryl and 4.5% atyrene and styrene high boiler:

Arcelor 1909, Lot Metabook 176, page 121, was regative to all tests including the concentrated and introdormal.

DSW 033746

Arcelor Special (Lot Notebook No. 192, page 9; prepared by Hubbard, of 75% diphenyl and 25% styrene and styrene high boiler). (Liquid Arcelor, specific gravity = 1.55).

Aroclor Special Notabook No. 192, rege 9:- This chemical gave a positive test with each of the eight applications. It also gave a positive reaction with the intradermal test. The type of descentities was mild.

Arcelor 1248 (Lot 9, made 5/30/34):

Arcolor 1248, Lot 9: All eight tosts gave a positive reaction. The intradermal test was also positive. The reaction was mild.

Arcelor 1248 (Lot 5, made 5/2/33):

Arocher 1248, Lot 5 All eight tests gave a positive reaction with this compound. The introdermal test was also positive. I feel that the dermatitis produced by this material was milder than the two previous compounds which were also found to be positive. In several tests it did not make its appearance for 24 hours after the patch had been removed.

Arcelor 1269 (Lot 3, Dry Ground; made 6/22/32):

Aroclor 1269, Lot 5, ground dry: All eight tests were negative. The same is true of the two tests made with the concentrated material. The intradermal test gave negative reaction.

Arocler 1269 (Lot Notebook No. 176, pege 121; prepared by Marris, of 95.5% diphonyl and 4.5% atyrens and atyrers high boiler - dry ground):

Arocler 1239. Lot Notebook 176, page 121, dry ground: All eight tests were negative. The concentrated tests were also negative. The introdermal test gave negative reaction.

Arcolor 1260 (Late Motebook No. 176, page 121; sere as in selectely above but not groves)

Aroclor 1269, Not Notebook 176, page 121, wet ground: All cight tests were negative. The two tests made with conscribed material were also negative. The intradermal test gave a negative reaction.

Arcolor 1269, Lot 3, Notebook No. 192, pero 10 (dry provid in perble mill":

Arocler 1269, Lot 3, Notabook No. 192, page 10: The eight patch tests were regative. The tests made with concentrated meterial were also regative. The introdernal tests gave a regative resettion.

Halowax #1000:

Halowax 1000: This compound gave a positive test in all of the eight tests in which it was applied. The reaction was different from that of the three Aroclors giving a positive roaction. They were mild, but this material gave an ulcerative area.

Halows #1001:

Halover 1001: All tests with this compound, both commentrated and dilute, were negative.

Halowax #1004:

Halowax 1004: All tests with this material, both concentrated and dilute, were negative.

Styrem Pichloride (Notebook No. 192, page 16):

Styrene Dichleride: Notebook 192, page 16: Every test made with this material was positive. It was noticed that the rabbit rulled away when this chemical was applied even in the dilute form. This also gave an ulcerative lesion.

Aroclor 1368 Special (Fatch 8, Semplo 04 - maio 10/4/50):

Aroclor 1248 Special Batch 6-0-4. Made 10/4/30: This Aroclor gave a very slight reaction with the skin but one must say that it is positive.

Arcelor 1260 (Lot 32, made 6/73/51):

Aroclor 1260. Lot 32. Made 6/23/31): All eight tests were negative. No introdermal or concentrated tests were made.

Aroclor 1362 (Ratch 8A, Scaple J.Ir-6, Made 7/8/30):

Arcolor 1868. Batch BA. Hade 7/8/30: All tests were negative.

Chiler Ethyl Beneama (Feiclock Fo. 192, page 21):

Chick othyl beamers. Notebook 192, page 21: Every test made with this material gave a positive test. The lesion was of an ulcerative type.

Obligation of Styrene (Notebook No. 192, rage 19 - 5/16/54):

Chlorinated Styrone. Notebook 192, page 19. 5/10/36: Every test made with this compound gave an algerative lesion.

DSW 033748

Dr. Flinn's comments are copied verbatin, as follows:

"Comments:

One is impressed with the fact that each of the Aroclors giving a positive reaction were of a fluid nature. Attempts were made to expose the animals to vegors but observations made us conclude that the only difference was that the animal would be exposed to the hot material and it was well known that the reaction where such an exposure is given is more severe.

One cannot but feel that any styrene compound which may be found to be present as an impurity is the cause of your trouble. I was rather surprised that more of the compounds submitted did not show or give reactions with the skin. It has been shown in some investigations that chloring did not produce a domatitie when metallic electrodes were used but did if the metallic electrodes were replaced by carbon electrodes. The theory was advanced that some erganic chlorine compounds were produced in the latter case.

I would suggest that you study your ventilation system in places where times are given off. That means be provided for the men to take a bath with some and water if they some in contest with the type of naterial found to be positive. By this I mean if a leak or spillage occurs. The immediate bathing under these circumstances should be insisted on. I found that where I had gotten the meterial or my hands if I washed them thoroughly no trouble cross.

. (Signed) Trederick B. Flinn