Mr. Gerber

Jan. 6, 1941

St. Louis

Mr. Weatherly:

This refers to Mr. Hosmer's report on his visit to General Electric Company, dated December 23, 1940. On page 5, item 6, of the report it is clearly intimated that Pyranols to be sent to certain General Electric licensees in tank cars are not to be treated by the licensees.

It seems to me that this is a very serious mistake, because it is absolutely impossible to insure against entrance of moisture into a tank car of Pyranol. Sooner or later shipments are going to be received by such licensees that contain appreciable amounts of water above the maximum 35 p.p.m., and trouble is going to be encountered. It seems to me that we should insist, as far as we are able to do so, on such licensees equipping themselves to treat the Pyranol. The cost of the equipment is relatively slight, as you know, but it should save the user considerable trouble and incidentally save us from serious complaint difficulties.

Item 8, page 5, mentions the fact that Clark is to send a complete set of the most recent G. E. specifications and test methods to the Plant B laboratory, whether revision is intended or not. I would like to ask that copies also be sent to Anniston.

R. L. Jenkins

RW

DSW 331065

STLCOPCB4077709