



Fig. 12 .... Rating Diagram for Horizontal Refrigerant 12 Condenser\*

**Solution:** The water flow rate is 4 gpm per ton (240 + 60), thus fixing the temperature rise at 7 F deg (23 gal deg per (min) (87 F. From Fig. 10, at a loading of 5.5 lb per ton and a flow rate of 4 gpm per ton, the leaving terminal difference is approximately 5 F deg, and the corresponding water flow rate is 2.94 gpm per ton. The resulting condensing temperature would be 87 gpm + 5.5 (for noncondensables) or 93.5 F, corresponding to a condensing pressure of 176.4 psig. A lower terminal difference probably would not be justified due to the required increase in surface.

The most common tube used is the nominal  $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. OD copper tube with integral fins on the outside, 10 per inch and  $\frac{1}{4}$  in. high. These tubes are either silver brazed into thin copper or steel tube sheets, or rolled into heavier nonferrous or steel tube sheets.

**Solution:** Enter the lower right quadrant of Fig. 12 at a terminal difference of 5 F deg, proceed vertically to the 12 sq ft per ton line, then horizontally to the 3 gpm per ton curve (lower left quadrant), reading 24 ft travel at the bottom of the lower left quadrant. Proceed vertically into the upper left quadrant to the 3 gpm per ton curve, then horizontally to the 12 sq ft per ton line in upper right quadrant. From this point read the pressure drop of 2.5 psi at the top of the upper right quadrant.

A *double-pipe* or *tube-in-tube condenser* consists of one or more assemblies of two tubes, one within the other, in which the refrigerant vapor is condensed either in the annular space or in the inner tube.

Noncondensable gases can be eliminated to a great degree by purging. This may be accomplished by shutting down the system as mentioned above, and purging by blowoff directly



Fig. 13 . . . . Loss of Refrigerant During Purging at Various Gas Temperatures and Pressures

Purging devices include a refrigerated surface within a container into which is introduced a mixture of refrigerant gas and noncondensable gases. The low temperature surface condenses a large amount of the refrigerant, and the remaining mixture is allowed to escape to the atmosphere. Fig. 13 shows the refrigerant loss when purging ammonia and Refrigerant-12 at various temperatures and pressures, and indicates the desirability of purging devices. Even though Refrigerant-1 losses are considerably greater than ammonia, it is economical to purge these systems as thoroughly as ammonia systems. This can be determined by balancing the savings in compressor power requirements and increased wear on machinery against the loss of refrigerant.

Where state or municipal codes do not take precedence design pressures, materials, welding, tests, and relief devices should be in accordance with the ASME Unfired Pressure Vessel Code, Section 8, and ASHRAE Standard 15-63 which is identical with the American Standard Safety Code for Mechanical Refrigeration, B9.1-1964.

With Refrigerant 12, the desuperheating zone occupies only 5.2 percent of the condenser coil, due to the large tem-