

To: Meyers, Robert[RMeyers@crowell.com]
From: Gunasekara, Mandy
Sent: Fri 4/21/2017 3:29:16 PM
Subject: RE: Pellet Fuels/CAA section 111 rule

Great – let’s set it up for 2 pm. What is the best number to call you?

From: Meyers, Robert [mailto:RMeyers@crowell.com]
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 11:28 AM
To: Gunasekara, Mandy <Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Pellet Fuels/CAA section 111 rule

Yes – happy to do by phone at any time during that period.

Robert J. Meyers

(202) 624-2967

(202) 306-5254 (c)

RMeyers@crowell.com

Crowell & Moring LLP
Privileged and Confidential
Attorney-Client Communication
Attorney Work Product

This message contains privileged and confidential information. IF IT WAS SENT TO YOU BY MISTAKE, DO NOT READ IT. Instead, please notify the sender (or postmaster@crowell.com) by reply e-mail, and delete this e-mail. Unauthorized dissemination, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

From: Gunasekara, Mandy [mailto:Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 11:23 AM
To: Meyers, Robert
Subject: RE: Pellet Fuels/CAA section 111 rule

Hi Robert,

I'd be happy to discuss. A phone call may be easiest at this point. I have a block of time on Wednesday (4/26) afternoon from 2 to 3:30. Anything work in that timeframe from your end?

Best,

Mandy

From: Meyers, Robert [<mailto:RMeyers@crowell.com>]
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 11:19 AM
To: Gunasekara, Mandy <Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>
Subject: Pellet Fuels/CAA section 111 rule

Mandy –

Just reaching out to see if you would be available for a short conversation concerning pending litigation on the wood heater/pellet fuel rule. This litigation is currently being held in abeyance at the D.C. Circuit based on the agreement of EPA and petitioners and without the objection of intervenors.

I represent the Pellet Fuels Institute (PFI); PFI separately challenged EPA's final standards for pellet fuel on the basis that they exceed the Agency's statutory authority under CAA section 111, are arbitrary and capricious and lack a sufficient administrative record. Since the current abeyance only extends until June, it would be helpful to discuss how we might be able to engage the Agency on this matter.

I am available by phone or in person to discuss this matter; whatever works on your end. I realize that your schedule is extremely busy, but believe that it will not take very long to lay out the very substantial issues that we believe are raised by the final rule.

Robert J. Meyers

(202) 624-2967

(202) 306-5254 (c)

RMeyers@crowell.com

Crowell & Moring LLP
Privileged and Confidential
Attorney-Client Communication
Attorney Work Product

This message contains privileged and confidential information. IF IT WAS SENT TO YOU BY MISTAKE, DO NOT READ IT. Instead, please notify the sender (or postmaster@crowell.com) by reply e-mail, and delete this e-mail. Unauthorized dissemination, forwarding or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited.