

**To:** Theresa Carroll[Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com]  
**From:** Skipwith, Aurelia  
**Sent:** 2018-08-21T17:58:22-04:00  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] FW: Skookumchuck Question  
**Received:** 2018-08-21T17:58:44-04:00

Thank you.

**Aurelia Skipwith**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
for Fish and Wildlife and Parks

U.S. Department of Interior  
1849 C Street, NW, Room 3148  
Washington, DC 20240  
(202) 208 5837

*NOTE: Every email I send or receive is subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act.*

On Tue, Aug 21, 2018 at 1:35 PM, Theresa Carroll <[Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com](mailto:Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com)> wrote:

Hi Aurelia,

Thank you so much for the response. I am happy to report that we submitted the Draft HCP to the Field office on August 16, after some additional revisions through coordination with the field and regional offices. They have been responsive to our questions and provided clarification on the take estimate and mitigation approaches during multiple calls, which is much appreciated. I understand that the draft HCP will be published with the draft EIS for public comments, and I believe that is on track for the target date of September 17, as identified in the NEPA timeline prepared earlier this year.

Please let me know if you have any questions, and thank you again for your interest and assistance.

Thank you,

Theresa

**Theresa Carroll**  
Director, Permitting, Americas

C 510 828 3714 | D 720 509 8776 | O 303 439 4200

[theresa.carroll@res-group.com](mailto:theresa.carroll@res-group.com) | <http://www.res-group.com>



**From:** Skipwith, Aurelia [mailto:[aurelia\\_skipwith@ios.doi.gov](mailto:aurelia_skipwith@ios.doi.gov)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 14, 2018 5:36 PM  
**To:** Theresa Carroll <[Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com](mailto:Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com)>  
**Cc:** Sean Bell <[Sean.Bell@res-group.com](mailto:Sean.Bell@res-group.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] FW: Skookumchuck Question

Theresa,

I received your update that the discussions went well. I'm available for a call, if you would like. Thanks.

**Aurelia Skipwith**

Deputy Assistant Secretary

for Fish and Wildlife and Parks

U.S. Department of Interior

1849 C Street, NW, Room 3148

Washington, DC 20240

(202) 208-5837

*NOTE: Every email I send or receive is subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act.*

On Mon, Jul 30, 2018 at 4:45 PM, Theresa Carroll <[Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com](mailto:Theresa.Carroll@res-group.com)> wrote:

Hi Aurelia,

Here is the second email I mentioned. Also, after our call on Friday, I had a call with the Lacey Field Office, and we discussed a solution that might work for the project. I'm going to discuss further with them today, and I will let you know how that progresses. Perhaps we can come to a positive solution with the Field and Regional offices to keep from adding to

your workload, and instead have a document that you can review in just a short time.

Thank you!

Theresa

**Theresa Carroll**  
Director, Permitting, Americas

C 510 828 3714 | O 303 439 4200

[theresa.carroll@res-group.com](mailto:theresa.carroll@res-group.com) | <http://www.res-group.com>



---

**From:** Wahlberg, Brooke M.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 10, 2018 9:50 PM  
**To:** Bernstein, Jeffrey <[jeff.bernstein@sol.doi.gov](mailto:jeff.bernstein@sol.doi.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Skookumchuck Question

Hi Jeff,

My answer would be that it's a separate question. There's specific language in the eagle rule related to which issuance criteria applies when seeking eagle coverage under an HCP. The eagle rule is silent with respect to no surprises and coverage under an HCP. Regardless, the "no surprises" rules should apply to the ESA species covered by the HCP (It's unclear from your question below whether you are questioning the applicability of no surprises as a blanket question or just specific to eagles). If the USFWS takes the position that no surprises rule would not extend to the eagle coverage, the eagle rule has other provisions very similar to no surprises that occurs in five-year increments. The eagle rule provision limits what the USFWS can request over the course of the five-year check-ins if the permittee remains within its eagle take limit, is in compliance with its permit, or if the adaptive management program prescribes a response. The HCP's monitoring and adaptive management program have been designed to align with the eagle rule framework. The relevant "eagle no surprises" language from the eagle rule is pasted below (I've added emphasis that gets to the heart of the provision) and should be applicable to the eagle authorization.

**50 CFR 22.26(c)(iv)**

Actions to be taken based on the permit review.

- (A) In consultation with the permittee, the Service will update fatality predictions, authorized take levels and compensatory mitigation for future years, taking into account the observed levels of take based on approved protocols for monitoring and estimating total take, and, if applicable, accounting for changes in operations or permit conditions pursuant to the adaptive management measures specified in the permit or made pursuant to paragraphs (c)(7)(iv)(B) through (D) of this section.
- (B) If authorized take levels for the period of review are exceeded in a manner or to a degree not addressed in the adaptive management conditions of the permit, based on the observed levels of take using approved protocols for monitoring and estimating total take, the Service may require additional actions including but not limited to:
- (1) Adding, removing, or adjusting avoidance, minimization, or compensatory mitigation measures;
  - (2) Modifying adaptive management conditions;
  - (3) Modifying monitoring requirements; and
  - (4) Suspending or revoking the permit in accordance with part 13 of this subchapter B.
- (C) If the observed levels of take, using approved protocols for monitoring and estimating total take, are below the authorized take levels for the period of review, the Service will proportionately revise the amount of compensatory mitigation required for the next period of review, including crediting excess compensatory mitigation already provided by applying it to the next period of review.
- (D) Provided the permittee implements all required actions and remains compliant with the terms and conditions of the permit, no other action is required. However, with consent of the permittee, the Service may make additional changes to a permit, including appropriate modifications to avoidance and/or minimization measures or monitoring requirements. If measures are adopted that have been shown to be effective in reducing risk to eagles,

appropriate adjustments will be made in fatality predictions, take estimates, and compensatory mitigation.

Hopefully that answers your question, but please feel free to reach out if it doesn't.

Thanks for continuing to look at this.

Brooke

**From:** Bernstein, Jeffrey <[jeff.bernstein@sol.doi.gov](mailto:jeff.bernstein@sol.doi.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 10, 2018 12:11 PM  
**To:** Wahlberg, Brooke M. <[bwahlberg@nossaman.com](mailto:bwahlberg@nossaman.com)>  
**Subject:** Skookumchuck Question

Hi Brooke - I'm preparing for our call and I have a question. Does your client expect to get HCP no surprises assurances if it does not provide mitigation for bald eagles? Thanks - Jeff

Jeff Bernstein  
Attorney-Advisor  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
Office of the Solicitor  
503-231-2355

NOTICE: This e-mail (including any attachments) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to whom or which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of this e-mail or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies. Thank you.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. This e-mail, including any

attachments, contains information that may be confidential, and is protected by copyright. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message from your system. Any communication of a personal nature in this e-mail is not made by or on behalf of any RES group company. E-mails sent or received may be monitored to ensure compliance with the law, regulation and/or our policies. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. This e-mail, including any attachments, contains information that may be confidential, and is protected by copyright. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message from your system. Any communication of a personal nature in this e-mail is not made by or on behalf of any RES group company. E-mails sent or received may be monitored to ensure compliance with the law, regulation and/or our policies. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.