

FILE NAME: Orton (ORT)

DATE: 2014

DOC#: ORT008

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Legal – Declaration of Terry Spear

1 exposure in and around Libby, including during mining activities, railroad activities, and during
2 the use of vermiculite products contaminated with asbestos. I have also testified in cases
3 involving asbestos exposure in Libby at the former vermiculite mine, at the Libby Lumbermill,
4 and at various workplaces in the Libby area. I have visited Libby on many occasions, and have
5 visited the former vermiculite mine and the Libby Lumbermill. I have interviewed scores of
6 former lumber mill and mine workers, as well as citizens of Libby. I have reviewed thousands
7 of records from W.R. Grace, Zonolite, and governmental agencies including State of Montana
8 agency records, records of third parties, relevant industrial hygiene literature, and applicable
9 statutes, regulations and court decisions interpreting statutes and regulations. All of these
10 materials are the type regularly relied upon by professional industrial hygienists in the
11 performance of their profession. I served three years as the Technical Advisor for the Libby
12 Area Technical Advisory Group (LATAG). I am co-author on seven peer-reviewed
13 publications pertaining to Libby amphibole asbestos, and am still involved in research in the
14 Libby area.

15 3. My opinions as stated herein are based on a more probable than not basis and are
16 a result of my education, training, and experience, as well as my review of the literature and
17 documents cited in this report. A bibliography of references that I have considered and relied
18 on is included as Appendix B to this Report. I reserve the right to alter my opinions based on
19 additional discovery information.

20 Orton Company sold vermiculite containing Libby Amphibole Asbestos (LA)

21 4. The Edward Orton Jr. Ceramic Foundation was established in 1932 to continue
22 the operation of the Standard Pyrometric Cone Company for the benefit of the ceramic arts and
23 industry. Orton is the longest continuous manufacturer of pyrometric cones in the world, and
24 ships to over 70 countries worldwide (Exhibit 1, History of Orton). Orton began manufacturing
25 pyrometric cones in 1896. As of 2007, Orton was selling over 12 million cones per year; this
26 number had decreased from its peak sales in the 1970's and 1980's (Exhibit 2, Deposition of
27 Orton's Corporate Designee, Gary Childress, 11/28/07 at 20, 115).

1 5. Prior to 1963, Orton packaged its pyrometric cones in sawdust (Exhibit 3,
2 Deposition of Orton's Corporate Designee, Dale Fronk, 5/8/08 at 11-12). In 1963, Orton made the
3 decision to cease packing these cones in sawdust due difficulties in obtaining it the quantities
4 Orton required. *Id.* At that time, Orton looked at six to eight alternatives to sawdust, and
5 subsequently decided to begin using vermiculite from Libby, Montana, for its packaging
6 material. *Id.* Other than Libby vermiculite, other alternatives they considered were ground up
7 corncobs, peanut shells, popcorn, and things of that nature. *Id.* In considering these various
8 alternatives, Orton did not perform any research or conduct any inquiry as to the possible health
9 hazards that may have been associated with these materials. *Id.* Further, at no time in its history
10 did Orton perform any testing on the vermiculite packaging material it used (Exhibit 2,
11 Childress deposition 11/28/07 at 95). At no time did Orton provide any warnings to its
12 customers regarding the hazards of the LA vermiculite Orton's Corporate Designee, Gary
13 Childress, testified regarding Orton's purchase, use, and sale of packing materials contained in
14 the boxes of Orton pyrometric cones over the course of the company's history. *Id.* at 116. With
15 regard to Orton's purchases of vermiculite, Orton produced as authentic business records a
16 collection of cancelled checks which provided a perspective as to the dates that Orton obtained
17 vermiculite from each supplier. See Exhibit 2, Childress deposition 11/28/07 at 46-67, Exhibit
18 4, cancelled checks (originally attached to Childress 11/28/07 as deposition exhibit 3), and
19 Exhibit 5, Declaration of Gary Childress, 11/1/07 (originally attached to Childress 11/28/07 as
20 deposition exhibit 2).

21

22 W.R Grace / Zonolite	March 1963 – June 1975
23 J.P. Austin	September 1975 – June 1979
24 W.R. Grace / Zonolite	September 1979 – early 1982
25 J.P. Austin	July 1982 – March 1983

26
27
28

6. In 1983, Orton ceased using vermiculite as its packaging material not for safety reasons, but because the vermiculite "created a lot of dust and [this dust] made the plant dirty." (Exhibit 2, Childress deposition 11/28/07 at 96). At that time, Orton began packaging its cones in styrofoam, a practice which continues to this day (Exhibit 5, Childress declaration).

7. After purchasing, using, and selling vermiculite for eighteen years, on September 2, 1981, Dale Fronk, Ceramic Engineer for Orton, sent the following letter to W.R. Grace & Co., which reads:

Dear Sirs:

We are required by Federal law to obtain Material Safety Data Sheets for all material we use in the manufacturing of our product, pyrometric cones.

We are currently using and purchasing from your organization Industrial #2 expanded vermiculite. Although this material does not go directly into our product, it is used as a packaging material.

We would appreciate obtaining the above mentioned Material Safety Data Sheets from you along with any other data on Industrial #2 expanded vermiculite which may be valuable to us.

(Exhibit 6, Orton Letter to W.R. Grace & Co., 9.2.81 (originally attached to Childress 11/28/07 as deposition exhibit 5)).

8. W.R. Grace & Co. responded to Mr. Fronk's request on September 25, 1981, which reads:

Dear Mr. Fronk:

This is in response to your recent request for a Material Safety Data Sheet for Industrial Vermiculite #2 which you have been using as packing material.

I am attaching a copy of our M.S.D.S. #Z-140, Industrial Vermiculite, Libby Source- Size #1, #2 & #3.

#3 Expanded Vermiculite is also marketed to consumers as Attic Insulation. When processed for this end-use, it is more intensively screened to remove fines

1 (vermiculite dust and tremolite) and in some cases, it is treated with a binder of the
2 user to airborne tremolite fiber. You may wish to purchase this to limit dust
3 exposure. It is readily available at most building supply houses.

4 We have prepared the attached Material Safety Data Sheet in a form that is most
5 meaningful to technically aware industrial end-users who will be aware of the
6 responsibilities placed on them by OSHA Regulations.

7 It has been our experience, handling significant tonnages of expanded products in
8 our own manufacturing plants, that good industrial hygiene practices will limit the
9 airborne exposures of employees to extremely low levels.

10 (Exhibit 7, W.R. Grace & Co. letter to Orton, 9.25.81 (originally attached to Childress 11/28/07 as
11 deposition exhibit 6).

12 9. As referenced in this September 25, 1981 letter, W.R. Grace attached its MSDS for
13 Industrial Vermiculite #2, dated June 10, 1977. This MSDS states:

- 14 • Synonyms for this materials include Expanded Libby, Montana
15 Vermiculite;
- 16 • Industrial Vermiculite #2 (which Orton used as a packing material) contains
17 naturally occurring contaminant tremolite;
- 18 • OSHA defines tremolite as asbestos;
- 19 • The physical handling given to expanded Vermiculite can release both
20 airborne fibers and nuisance dust;
- 21 • When using Industrial Vermiculite #2, the product should be dampened
22 slightly or one should employ other techniques which control airborne fibers and
23 dust;
- 24 • Personal protective equipment should be used to meet exposure limits.

25 *Id.*

26 Libby Montana vermiculite

1 10. A vermiculite mine located seven miles northeast of Libby, MT (population
2 ~2,700, with nearly 12,000 in the surrounding area) supplied up to 80% of the world's supply of
3 vermiculite from the early 1900s through 1990 (USEPA, 2012a). Vermiculite expands or pops
4 when heated, creating pockets of air that made the material suitable for use in building
5 insulation and as a soil conditioner. Vermiculite from the Libby mine is contaminated with a
6 toxic form of naturally-occurring fibrous and non-asbestiform amphibole in veins throughout
7 the deposit (Pardee and Larsen, 1929). Approximately 30-40% of the amphiboles are
8 asbestiform and include winchite, richterite, tremolite and magnesioriebeckite; differing in their
9 relative proportions of cations (Mg, Ca, Fe, Na, K) (Meeker et al., 2003; Bandli et al., 2003;
10 Gunter et al, 2003; Sanchez et a., 2008; Gunter and Sanchez, 2009). This amphibole mineral
11 mixture is commonly referred to as Libby amphibole (LA).

12 11. Expanded vermiculite was used in numerous commercial products throughout
13 the 20th century. The largest size or grade 1 was used as a loose-fill insulation (attic and wall
14 cavities) in dwellings and buildings and in refrigerators and furnaces (Keigel, 1940). In
15 addition, expanded vermiculite has been used in potting soils and other horticultural products,
16 and it has also been used as a packaging material and was sold by gardening stores as a
17 gardening product (Potter, 1997, USEPA, 2000).

18 12. Other uses include an aggregate for insulation plasters, manufacture of fire-
19 resistant, insulating wall-boards and acoustic tile. Very fine sizes were reported to be used as
20 extenders in gold and bronze paints and inks due to their silver to gold colors that were
21 produced upon expansion (Keigel, 1940). Commercial names for expanded vermiculite include
22 Unifil, Porosil, Zonolite®, and Monokote® (Keigel, 1940; Bandli and Gunter, 2006).

23 Amphibole occurrence in vermiculite

24 13. An EPA sponsored study (Atkinson et al. 1982) was conducted to determine the
25 amount of asbestiform minerals in vermiculite from three U.S. mining operations; Zonolite
26 Mountain and two South Carolina mines. The estimated content of LA (referred to as
27 tremolite/actinolite) in Libby raw ore at the head feed was reported as 21-26% (by weight) and
28

1 in processed ore (beneficiated and sized) was reported as 0.3 to 7 % (by weight) (Atkinson et al.
2 1982). Amphibole asbestos, reported as tremolite/actinolite and anthophyllite, was also detected
3 in South Carolina processed and unprocessed vermiculite, but in "substantially lower"
4 concentrations (<1% by weight) for the fibrous phases (Atkinson et al. 1982). Amandus et al.
5 (1987) reported LA concentrations from internal company (W.R. Grace Co.) sampling in raw
6 ore at the head feed and concentrate as 3.5 – 6.4 % and 0.4 – 1.0 % (by weight), respectively.

7 14. The amphibole content has also been studied in expanded vermiculite.
8 Moatamed et al. (1986) reported amphibole asbestos concentrations of 0.8 – 2 % and 0.6% for
9 two samples of grade 2 and 3 unexpanded and expanded Libby vermiculite, respectively. A
10 study conducted by the USEPA (2000) revealed asbestos concentrations of 0 to 2.8 % (by
11 weight) in Zonolite brand expanded vermiculite sold in Seattle area retail stores for lawn and
12 garden care use.

13 15. Bulk vermiculite samples collected from attic spaces as a component of attic
14 insulation disturbance based studies have revealed amphibole asbestos concentrations from non-
15 detect to 10% (Cowan, 1997; USEPA, 2003a; Ewing et al., 2010; Spear et al., 2012). Forty
16 Montana homes (outside of Lincoln County, MT) containing VAI all revealed the presence of
17 LA asbestos in bulk samples (Spear et al., 2012).

18 Toxicity of Libby Amphibole Asbestos

19 16. Occupational exposure to LA is associated with significant increases in
20 asbestosis, lung cancer, and pleural cancer compared to the rest of the U.S. population
21 (Sullivan, 2007). In 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) designated the
22 town of Libby, Montana, a public health emergency (USEPA, 2009). This was the first and
23 only time the EPA has made this determination under the Comprehensive Environmental
24 Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA).

25 17. One of the earliest publications associating Libby vermiculite with pulmonary
26 changes focused on a worker population from a Marysville, Ohio fertilizer plant that had
27 utilized vermiculite from the Libby mine and South Africa (Lockey et al., 1983). This cohort
28

1 became the basis for the RfC published by EPA (2014a). Significant correlations were observed
2 with respiratory symptoms (shortness of breath and pleuritic chest pain) and cumulative fiber
3 exposures (Lockey et al., 1984). Studies focusing on Libby workers soon followed. McDonald
4 et al. (1986) included a cohort of 406 men employed at the mine for at least one year prior to
5 1963 and followed them until 1983. Compared with white men in the U.S., the cohort
6 experienced excess mortality, with standard mortality ratios (SMRs) of 2.45, 2.55, 2.14 for
7 respiratory cancer, non-malignant respiratory disease (NMRD), and accidents, respectively.
8 Standard mortality ratios are defined as the observed number of cases over expected. The
9 proportional mortality for the four identified mesothelioma deaths was 2.4%. Data collection
10 for a parallel study sponsored by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
11 (NIOSH) was initiated at approximately the same time (Amandus et al. 1987; Amandus and
12 Wheeler, 1987) and included 575 men employed at the mine for a minimum of one year prior to
13 1970. Similar to the McDonald et al. (1986) study, SMRs were 2.23, 2.43, and 1.44 for
14 respiratory cancer, non-malignant respiratory disease and accidents, respectively (Amandus and
15 Wheeler, 1987). These early occupational-based studies demonstrated strong exposure
16 years/response relationships (McDonald et al., 1986; Amandus and Wheeler, 1987; Antao et al.,
17 2012).

18 18. McDonald published additional work in 2004 in which he updated epidemiology
19 data for his original 406 man cohort, following them until 1999 (McDonald et al., 2004). The
20 SMRs reported in this update for lung cancer and non-malignant respiratory disease were 2.40
21 and 3.09, respectively. The proportional mortality for the 12 identified mesothelioma deaths
22 was 4.21%. An all-cause linear model implied a 14% increase in mortality for mine workers
23 exposed occupationally to 100 f/mL/yr and approximately 3.2% increase for the general
24 population exposed to 0.1f/mL for 50 years (McDonald et al., 2004).

25 19. An additional NIOSH sponsored study included a cohort of 1,672 Libby miners,
26 millers, and processors in 1982 and followed subjects through 2001 (Sullivan, 2007).
27 Compared with U.S. white men, SMRs for asbestosis, lung cancer, and cancer of the pleura
28

1 were 165.8, 1.7, and 23.3, respectively, with observed dose related increases in asbestosis and
2 lung cancer. An update of the Sullivan (2007) cohort was published recently (Moolgavkar et
3 al., 2010), revealing similar SMRs to Sullivan. In addition, estimates of relative risk for lung
4 cancer, non-malignant respiratory disease, and total mortality were 1.2, 1.4, and 1.06,
5 respectively, with 95% confidence intervals of [(1.06, 1.17), (1.09, 1.18), and 1.04, 1.08]]
6 (Moolgavkar et al., 2010).

7 20. One of the latest updates regarding vermiculite worker mortality (Larson et al.,
8 2010), with a cohort of 1862 Libby miners, demonstrated a clear exposure response relationship
9 between cumulative Libby amphibole fiber exposure and asbestosis, lung cancer, mesothelioma,
10 and NMRD mortality. A limitation noted for earlier epidemiology studies evaluating lung
11 cancer SMRs in Libby mine and mill workers was the lack of control for cigarette smoking.
12 Bias analysis revealed that cigarette smoking had minimal impact on the exposure response
13 relationships reported in this study (Larson et al., 2010; reviewed by Antao et al., 2012). An
14 additional conclusion from this study was the association between Libby amphibole fiber
15 exposure and cardiovascular mortality based on a rate ratio of 1.5 with a 95% confidence
16 interval of 1.1 to 2.0 (Larson et al., 2010).

17 21. A follow-up to the Lockey et al. (1984) Marysville, Ohio fertilizer plant study
18 revealed pleural changes in 28.7% of the cohort (Rohs, et al., 2008). As noted previously, this
19 cohort was the basis for the proposed Libby amphibole RfC. Pleural changes were originally
20 reported in 2.2% of the overall cohort and 8.4% of the highest cumulative fiber exposure group
21 (Lockey et al., 1984). The study is significant in that the cohort was based on exfoliation plant
22 workers outside of Libby, MT, with relatively low cumulative fiber exposure levels compared
23 to those described in the Libby mine and mill worker studies.

24 22. In addition to epidemiology studies that considered Libby mine and mill
25 workers, research has also included studies evaluating ARD mortality among Libby community
26 members. A cross-section interview and medical testing of 7,307 persons who had lived,
27 worked or played in Libby for at least six months prior to 1991 was conducted in 2000 and 2001
28

1 by the Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR) investigators (Peipins et al.,
2 2003). Of the 6,668 participants > 18 years of age who received chest radiographs, pleural
3 abnormalities and interstitial abnormalities were observed in 17.8% and < 1% of the
4 participants, respectively. Participant interviews revealed that the factors most strongly
5 associated with pleural abnormalities were being a former vermiculite mine or mill worker, age,
6 having been a household contact of a former vermiculite mine or mill worker, and being male
7 (Peipins et al., 2003).

8 23. In 2008, a clinical and exposure summary report for 11 individuals diagnosed
9 with mesothelioma who were not Libby mine or mill employees was published (Whitehouse et
10 al., 2008). All cases were non-occupationally exposed individuals. The authors reported
11 pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma associated with secondary exposure to LA and concluded
12 that exposure most likely resulted from Libby amphibole contamination in the community, the
13 surrounding forested area, and areas in proximity to the Kootenai river and railroad tracks that
14 were used to transport vermiculite concentrate (Whitehouse et al., 2008). The mean LA
15 occupationally related mesothelioma latency period has been reported as 35 years (Case, 2006).
16 The latency period reported for these non-occupational cases was 13-67 years from the first
17 known exposure (Whitehouse et al., 2008).

18 24. In terms of both occupational and non-occupational mesothelioma cases, current
19 mortality figures indicate one new case per year in Lincoln, County, Montana (McDonald et al.,
20 2004; Case, 2006; Whitehouse et al., 2008). Lincoln County has the third highest age-adjusted
21 mesothelioma death rate in the nation with a rate of 56.1 per million population (NIOSH, 2008).

22 25. A community study of Libby residents who were children (< 18 years) when the
23 vermiculite mine closed in 1990 revealed a positive association between self-reported
24 respiratory outcomes and certain activities with potential Libby amphibole exposure pathways
25 (Vinikoor et al., 2012). Of the 1,003 study participants, 10.8% reported usually having a cough,
26 14.5% reported experiencing shortness of breath when walking up a slight hill or hurrying while
27 on level ground, and 5.9% reported having coughed up bloody phlegm in the past year.

1 Handling vermiculite insulation was positively associated with three of the four outcomes
2 examined compared with never handling vermiculite insulation. No association was found
3 between vermiculite insulation in the home and respiratory symptoms and no association was
4 found between any of the activities and abnormal spirometry (Vinikoor et al., 2012).

5 26. A community study was conducted in a densely populated urban residential
6 neighborhood in Minneapolis, Minnesota where an expansion facility processed Libby
7 vermiculite ore from 1938 to 1989 (Alexander et al., 2012). In addition to commercial
8 vermiculite products such as Zonolite® insulation and Monokote® fireproofing, the facility
9 produced a waste material reported by the Minnesota Department of Health to contain 10%
10 amphibole asbestos (Alexander et al., 2012). The waste product was piled on the property and
11 offered to the community for use in gardening, driveway fill materials, etc. The prevalence of
12 pleural abnormalities obtained for the 461 participants was 10.8%. The odds ratio associated
13 with direct contact with vermiculite ore waste or ever playing in waste piles and pleural
14 abnormalities was 2.78 (95% CI: 1.26, 6.10) and 2.17 (95% CI: 0.99, 4.78) when adjusted for
15 background exposure. Although this study was conducted outside of Libby, MT, the results
16 suggest that community exposure to Libby vermiculite is associated with measurable effects
17 (Alexander et al., 2012).

18 27. In addition to pulmonary based ARD, rates of systemic autoimmune diseases
19 (SAID) have been evaluated in the Libby community. A follow-up case-control study was
20 conducted among the participants in the 2000/2001 ATSDR study (Peipins et al, 2003) with
21 cases including subjects that reported one of three (SAIDs) in the initial screening; systemic
22 lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, or rheumatoid arthritis, and controls including subjects in the
23 initial screening that responded negatively to questions regarding SAIDs (Noonan et al., 2006).
24 Odds ratios among former Libby mine and mill workers > 65 years of age of 2.14 (95% CI, 0.9-
25 5.1) for all SAIDs and 3.23 (95% CI, 1.31 7.96) for rheumatoid arthritis, suggest that LA
26 exposure is associated with SAIDs (Noonan et al., 2005). Increasing SAIDs risk estimates were
27 reported for participants with relative increases in reported vermiculite exposure pathways.

28. These epidemiologic studies demonstrate clear and significant increases in asbestos-related disease (ARD), including asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma among former mill and mine workers. In addition, ARD has been observed in area residents with no direct occupational exposures. The most common health outcome among Libby residents and others with low lifetime cumulative fiber exposure levels are pleural changes.

29. EPA (2003a) Disturbed Vermiculite Study: EPA collected data from personal and stationary air monitors in the immediate vicinity of people actively engaged in disturbing vermiculite insulation. This scenario was intended to assess exposures that might be experienced either by homeowners who engaged in activities in unfinished attic areas, or for contractors who might come into contact with vermiculite during repair or remodeling activities. These data demonstrated that active disturbance of vermiculite results in very high concentrations of fibers as measured by both phase-contrast microscopy (PCM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) phase-contrast microscopy equivalents (PCME). The highest airborne concentration of 3.3 total asbestos fibers per cubic centimeter (f/cc) by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) occurred during the simulation with Zonolite Vermiculite. In Phase 2, levels of airborne asbestos fibers were detected during seven simulations conducted in an artificial containment system. Bulk analysis of the Zonolite product indicated that it contained trace amounts of asbestos fibers (PLM: <1% tremolite; TEM: <0.1% tremolite/actinolite). Airborne asbestos fibers were detected in approximately half of the total air samples collected (total from all personal and stationary air samples combined). The maximum airborne concentration of 4.3 total actinolite f/cc by TEM occurred during the first simulation with dry vermiculite (EPA, (2003a)).

30. In another study, activity-based air and surface sampling was conducted in three homes to evaluate amphibole asbestos exposures during specific activities in attics containing vermiculite attic insulation (VAI) (Ewing et al., 2010). Personal and area air sampling revealed significant concentrations of airborne amphibole asbestos above background concentrations

1 when VAI was disturbed. The highest personal and area concentrations were observed when
2 VAI was moved aside with a dry sweeping method.

3 31. According to the Colorado Department of Public Health And Environment,
4 Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division, several studies using a variety of
5 approaches, including the state of the science, for the release of asbestos fibers from
6 significantly <1% asbestos in soil/debris demonstrated that all types of asbestos fibers can be
7 released into the air or breathing zone during soil disturbing activities resulting in unacceptable
8 risk that is significantly above acceptable cancer risk level of 1 in a million at 0.000004 (4X10⁻⁶) f/cc (EPA IRIS), and even above the OSHA limit of 0.1 f/cc, in some cases. (See
9 <http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/hm/asbestos/111021riskppt.pdf>.)

11 32. Addison (1988, 1995), Soil > .001% Asbestos Can Generate Excess of 0.1 f/ml:
12 The best information about the levels of asbestos content in soils likely to cause a health risk
13 comes from the Addison et al. (1988) experiments where it was recommended "that soils
14 containing more than 0.001% asbestos are regarded as being capable of generating airborne
15 fibre concentrations in excess of 0.1 f ml⁻¹ (the OSHA workplace standard) and that
16 precautions to protect the workforce by wetting the soil, providing respiratory protection etc.,
17 are taken". Addison (1995) stated:

18 It would be necessary therefore to take action specifically to control for the asbestos
19 emissions if soils containing higher levels than 0.001% asbestos were to be handled
20 without significant health risks. Asbestos, if present in vermiculite, is likely to behave in
21 a similar fashion; with the asbestos loosely dispersed and readily available for release
22 into the air. Even relatively gentle handling of the vermiculite would abrade the friable
23 asbestos, splitting fiber bundles, and adding to the released fibers. Thus, even though the
24 carcinogens legislation may impose only a 0.1% limit for packaging and labeling, the
25 vermiculite industries would be advised to establish their own target limit of 0.001% for
26 amphibole asbestos. Most current supplies of vermiculite could still meet this standard
27

1 Addison et al. (1988) conducted experiments to evaluate the release of dispersed asbestos fibers
2 from soils. Addison et al. (1988) showed that the most important factor controlling airborne
3 fiber concentrations in the experiments with dry loose aggregate mixtures was the bulk asbestos
4 content and that, irrespective of fiber type or soil type, high airborne fiber concentrations over
5 200 times the current OSHA Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) for asbestos can be generated
6 from soil containing just 1% asbestos. Addison also showed that soil with concentrations of
7 0.1%, or 1/10 the EPA action level, were capable of producing airborne asbestos levels in
8 excess of 8 times the current OSHA PEL for asbestos. Addison (1988) also reported,

9 There was a progressive reduction in airborne fibre concentrations at a given dust
10 concentration with reducing amounts of asbestos in the mixtures, but this
11 reduction was not proportionate to the reduction in asbestos content below 0.1%.
12 With 0.1%, and often 0.01%, of asbestos in soils, the 0.5 f/ml-1 Control Limit for
13 chrysotile and the 0.2 f/ml-1 Control Limit for crocidolite and amosite could be
14 exceeded while respirable dust concentrations were below 5 mg/m-3, the
15 nuisance dust OEL. Similarly, it is apparent that the clearance limit of 0.01 f/ml-
16 1 could be exceeded with any of the 0.01% and 0.001% asbestos mixtures if
17 respirable dust concentrations approached the nuisance dust OEL.

18 Early Knowledge of Libby Amphibole (LA) Asbestos Hazards

19 As of 1956, the State of Montana was aware of the presence of asbestos at the Zonolite
20 mine and mill operation (MT State Report 8/13/1956). In a confidential report to Zonolite in
21 1956, the State warned of the toxicity of asbestos by stating that "the asbestos dust in the
22 [vermiculite] dust in in the air is of considerable toxicity and is a factor in reducing the
23 dustiness of the plant." The report expanded the asbestos toxicity discussion by describing the
24 potential for respiratory fibrosis that is associated with asbestos exposure. "The asbestos fiber
25 group...stimulate the formation of a diffuse fibrosis" (Report and Cover letter from Wake to
26 Bleich, 9/21/56). In a report to Zonolite in 1959, the State further described the toxicity of
27 asbestos by stating that, "According to Ellman (1933), Inhalation of asbestos dust must be

1 expected sooner or later to produce pulmonary fibrosis, depending upon (a) length of exposure
2 and (b) nature and concentration of the dust. Pulmonary asbestosis, once established, is a
3 progressive disease with a bad prognosis; its treatment can only be symptomatic....” In this
4 report, the State provided results of the 13 dust samples collected in the six floors of the dry
5 mill. In addition to particle analysis to determine concentrations for comparison to the nuisance
6 dust standard, the concentration of asbestos was also determined and reported (Report and
7 Cover letter from Wake to Bleich, 1/12/1959). In a report to Zonolite in 1962, the State noted
8 that dust concentrations had increased from the two previous evaluations (1956 and 1958
9 (reported in 1959)). The results of 20 dust samples were reported. Analyses were compared to
10 exposure limits for mica, rather than nuisance dust, and asbestos. “The asbestos content of the
11 dust is the controlling factor for making the maximum allowable concentration 5 mppcf.”
12 (Report and Cover letter from Wake to Bleich, 4/19/1962). In a report to Zonolite in 1963, the
13 State reported that there was “as serious dust concentration, particularly in view of the quantity
14 of asbestos known to be in the mixture” (Report and Cover letter from Wake to Bleich,
15 4/11/1963). The State sent additional communication to Zonolite in July of 1963, regarding the
16 results of six vermiculite samples that were analyzed to determine the tremolite/actinolite
17 content. The percentage of asbestos, reported as tremolite-actinolite ranged from 6.2 to 22.5
18 (Letter from Wake to Bleich, 7/3/1963). In a report to Zonolite in 1964, the State discussed the
19 results of six dust samples conducted in the dry mill. In this report, the State specifically
20 warned that asbestos exposure has the potential to cause cancer, including mesothelioma, citing
21 work by Selikoff as well as British and South African investigators. The report further added
22 that, “while the above situation does not apply specifically to the operations of your plant, the
23 asbestos content of the material with which you are working appears to provide some serious
24 potential for the development of disease if not properly controlled” (Report and Cover letter
25 from Wake to Bleich, 5/11/1964).

26 In the early 1960s, asbestosis began appearing on the death reports of former vermiculite
27 mine workers. Glenn Taylor, a worker at Zonolite was diagnosed with asbestosis in 1959

1 (Discharge Summary of Glenn Taylor, 3/20/1959). Glen Taylor died of asbestosis in 1961
2 (Death Certificate – Glenn Taylor, 9/15/1961). The State also had notice of deaths due to
3 asbestos related pulmonary issues or corpulmonale (lung related heart failure) of Zonolite
4 employees: Charles Wagner, a mechanic, in 1961 (Death Certificate of Charles Michael
5 Wagner, 10/14/1961); Albert Barney, a drymill worker in 1964 (Death Certificate of Albert
6 Burr Barney, 1/12/1964), and; Walter McQueen, a miner, in 1966 (Death Certificate of Walter
7 Leo McQueen, 10/30/1966).

8 9 CONCLUSION

10 33. Based on the information presented in this report, and my research and
11 knowledge of the issues relating to Libby Amphibole Asbestos (LA) and asbestos in general, as
12 well as my education, training, and experience in the field of industrial hygiene, it is my opinion
13 that the vermiculite packing material that Orton obtained from W.R. Grace and used as packing
14 material in the boxes of Orton Pyrometric Cones sold in the early 1970s, 1980, and 1981, was
15 contaminated with LA. Furthermore, activities involving the disturbance of that vermiculite,
16 including rummaging through the vermiculite to locate and extract the cones and cleaning up
17 any vermiculite that spilled out of the box during that process, would have in all probability
18 dispersed respirable amphibole asbestos fibers into the air and into the breathing zone of the
19 person or persons performing those activities.

20 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Montana and the United
21 States, that the foregoing is true and correct.

22 Dated this 21st day of December, 2015, in Opportunity, Montana.

23
24 

25
26 Terry Spear