

FILE NAME: Mundet Cork (MCK)

DATE: 1964

DOC#: MCK135

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: WC Claim of Clancy

1 WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 JACQUELINE CLANCY, as party
4 applicant in her individual capacity
5 and as personal representative and
6 next of kin of (Leo J. Streithorst,
7 deceased),

Applicant

CASE No. 60 SF 192-145

8 vs.


9 WESTERN ASBESTOS COMPANY, et al,
10 and STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE
11 FUND, et al,

Defendant

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

12 I hereby certify that the attached documents are true
13 and correct copies of the original documents filed in the records
14 of this office in the above-entitled matter.

15 ATTEST my hand and the Seal of the Workers' Compensation
16 Appeals Board of the State of California.

17 
18 ALFRED C. WILLIAMS

19 Workers' Compensation Judge
20 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board

21
22
23
24 Dated at San Francisco,
25 California, this 16th day
26 of April, 1984.



REMIT TO:
p.o. box 11018
san francisco ca 94101
(415) 664-3062

LEGAL DOCUMENT DUPLICATING SERVICE

4

PERTAINING TO: L. J. STREITHORST

8

WORKER'S COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

SAN FRANCISCO

Revised 7-17-62 - gh
Streithorst, TP 192-14

OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD

SENT BY AND DATE

		7-3-62	7-5-62	7-6-62	7-10-62				
<u>LIEN CLAIMANT</u>									
Department of Employment	P. O. Box 1857, Oakland								
<u>APPLICANT</u>									
Jacqueline Clancy L. J. Streithorst	3320 Sutter St. Home Rent 2537 Lincoln Ave., Richmond	✓	✓	✓	✓				
<u>ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT</u>									
Smith, Parrish, Paduck & Clancy	501 Financial Center Bldg Oakland 12	✓	✓	✓	✓				
<u>EMPLOYERS</u>									
Western Asbestos Co. <i>mail at 5-9-60</i>	675 Townsend St., S.P. 3	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Plant Asbestos Co.	1300 - 64th St., Emeryville	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Pluor Maintenance Co. <i>mail at 5-9-60</i>	406 Ward St., Martinez	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Pluor Maintenance Co.	P. O. Box 22, Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓				
C. P. Braun & Co.	1000 S. Fremont Ave, Alhambra	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Armstrong Cork Co.	304 Shaw Rd., So. S.P.	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Owen Leinio, dba San Jose Asbestos Co.	1140 Lennon Way, San Jose	✓	✓	✓	✓				
San Jose Asbestos Co.	1140 Lennon Way, San Jose	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Coas. Ayrer Co.	693 Minnesota St., S.P.	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Fiberglas Eng. & Supply Div.	1200 - 17th St., S.P. 1	✓	✓	✓	✓				
J. T. Thorpe Co.	1351 Ocean Ave., Emeryville	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Mandet Cork Corp.	440 Brannan St., S.P. 1	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Metal Clad Insulation Co.	21759 Western, Torrance	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Aetna Steel Products Corp.	61 Broadway, New York, N.Y.	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Van Arsdale & Harris Co.	595 Tunnel Ave., S.P. 24	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Bay Cities Asbestos Co. <i>mail at 5-9-60</i>	Fifth Ave & E. 12th St, Oakland	✓	✓	✓	✓				
Atlas Heating & Ventilating	357 - 4th St., S.P. 7	✓	✓	✓	✓				
M. W. Kellogg Co.	Foot of Danforth Ave.	✓	✓	✓	✓				

SERVICE AND DATA

INSURANCE CARRIERS									
①	Hanna & Brophy	1540 San Pablo Ave., Oakland 12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
②	Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, By T.P. Niedermuller	100 Bush St., S.P. 4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
③	James J. Vonk								
④	G. Howard Cliff								
⑤	Mullen & Filippi	315 Montgomery St., S.P. 4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
⑥	John X. Wilkes								
⑦	Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison By Rinaldo Sciaroni, Jr.	111 Sutter St., S.P. 4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Revised 4-27-60 - gh
Streithorst, SF 192-145

OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD

SERVICE AND DATE

LIEN CLAIMANT

APPLICANT

L. J. Streithorst

2637 Lincoln Ave. Richmond

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Smith, Parrish, Paduck &
Clancy

501 Financial Center Bldg
Oakland 12, Calif.

EMPLOYERS

Western Asbestos Co.

675 Townsend St., S.F. 3

Plant Asbestos Co.

1300 - 64th St. Emeryville

Flour Maintenance Co., aka
Flyer Maintenance Co.

406 Ward St, Martinez, Calif.
Mail P.O. 5-9-60

Flour Maintenance Co., aka
Fluor Maintenance Co.

P. O. Box 22, Los Angeles

G. F. Braun & Co.

1000 S. Fremont Ave., Alhambra

Armstrong Cork Co.

104 Shaw Rd., So. San Francisco

Owen Leino, aka San Jose
Asbestos Co.

1140 Lennon Way, San Jose

San Jose Asbestos Co.

1140 Lennon Way, San Jose

Chas. Ayers Co.

698 Minnesota St., S.F.

Fiberlas Eng. & Supply Div.

1200 - 17th St., S. F.

J. T. Thorpe Co.

1351 Ocean Ave., Emeryville

Lorantzen Co.

2267 Market St., Oakland

J. T. Thorpe & Son

1351 Ocean Ave., Emeryville

Kundet Cork Corp.

440 Brennan St., S.F.

Metal Clad Insulation Co.

21259 Western, Torrance, Calif.
Los Angeles, Calif.

Aetna Steel Products Corp.

61 Broadway, New York, N.Y.

Van Arsdale & Harris Co.

595 Tunnel Ave., S.F.

Bay Cities Asbestos Co.

Mail P.O. 5-9-60
Fifth Ave & E. 12th St. Oakland

SERVICE AND DATE:

33-Y-60				
33-5-66				
11-30-62				

[illegible]

OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD

SERVICE AND DATE

	Lien Claimant	
	Applicants	
	L. J. Streithorst	2637 Lincoln Ave., Richmond
	Attorney for Applicant	
	Smith, Parrish, Paduck & Clancy	501 Financial Ctr. Bldg., Oakland
	Employer	
	Attorney for Employer	
	Insurance Company	
	Attorney for Insurance Company	

1 BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2
3 PANEL ONE

4 JACQUELINE CLANCY, as party
5 applicant in her individual
6 capacity and as personal
7 representative and next of kin
8 of Leo J. Breithorst, decedent

9 Applicant


CASE NO. 60 SP 192-145

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

10 vs

11 WESTERN ASBESTOS COMPANY, et al,
12 and STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE
13 FUND, AETNA CASUALTY & SURETY
14 COMPANY, P. CIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE
15 COMPANY, et al

16 Defendants



17 This case was returned to the calendar for further
18 hearing by Order of the Commission filed May 19, 1964. On July 30,
19 1964, an Order was made taking said case off calendar. On September
20 1, 1964 applicant's attorney addressed a letter to the Commission
21 requesting that the application filed by applicant be dismissed.

22 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR:

23 IT IS ORDERED that the Application filed herein
24 March 4, 1960 be, and the same hereby is, dismissed.

25 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JACQUELINE CLANCY, as party applicant in her individual capacity and as personal representative and next of kin of
Joe J. Sweithorst decedent, Applicant

vs.

WESTERN AEROSTON COMPANY, et al, and
STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND,
Aetna Casualty & Surety Company,
PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY,
et al, Defendants

No. 60 SF 102-145

MINUTES OF HEARING
(CONTINUED)

and
ORDER TAKING OFF CALENDAR

JUL 30 1964
FILE
BY *JLB*

Place and Time: San Francisco, July 30, 1964, 10:00 a.m.

Referee: JOHNSON
Reporter: PATERSON

Appearances: Applicant not present; represented by Smith, Parrish,
Padgett & Clancy, Attorneys (Joseph E. Smith appearing).

Defendant State Compensation Insurance Fund represented
by Sanford Kilgip, Attorney.
Defendant Aetna Casualty & Surety Company represented by
Ludwig, Debert, Moran & Arnold, Attorneys,
(Theodore P. Niedermuller, making a special appearance).
Defendant Pacific Employers Insurance Company represented
by Kullen & Filippi, Attorneys (John R. Thompson appearing).
Defendant Industrial Indemnity Company, Industrial Indemnity
Exchange, represented by Jack E. Goshkin, Attorney.

NO TESTIMONY TAKEN

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS ORDERED That the above entitled
matter be and the same hereby is taken off calendar, and all further
action on the matters now pending herein be ended, subject to being
reset, after notice, upon request of any of the parties hereto.
SERVICE of the Order taking off calendar is waived.

Emily B. Johnson

EMILY B. JOHNSON, Referee

NOTES: Defendant Pacific Employers Insurance Company made several
motions, counsel has been instructed to put them in writing.

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY to file a statement of the evidence and

1 RECEIVED

2 JUN 8 - 1964


3 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
COMMISSION

4

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 60 SP 192-14



5 L.J. STREITHORST,

6 Applicant,

7 vs.

8 WESTERN ASBESTOS COMPANY, et al,
9 and STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE
FUND, AETNA CASUALTY & SURETY
10 COMPANY, PACIFIC EMPLOYERS
INSURANCE COMPANY, et al,

11 Defendants.

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

12
13 STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND, a defendant herein,
14 petitions for reconsideration of the ORDERS (Vacating Order of
15 Dismissal) (Substituting Party Applicant) and (Returning to
16 Calendar) upon the following grounds:

17 1. That by such Orders, the Commission acted without
18 and in excess of its powers.

19 2. That the evidence does not justify the Findings of
20 Fact.

21 STATEMENT OF THE CASE

22 The aforesaid ORDERS, by which this defendant has been
23 aggrieved, were dated (and presumably made) May 12, 1964 and
24 received by this defendant on May 20, 1964. May 12, 1964 was
25 some seven days prior to the date on which this defendant's
26 ANSWER TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION and/or MOTION TO SET

1

2

3

4

8

7

3

1

1

1 been the "Executrix of the Estate" of the deceased employee
2 constitute good cause to reopen.

3 Anderson vs. Superior Court, 187 Cal. 95 shows that:

4 "IT IS THE DUTY OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTA-
5 TIVE OF A DECEASED PLAINTIFF TO HAVE PEND-
6 ING LITIGATION BROUGHT TO TRIAL WITHIN THE
7 STATUTORY PERIOD."

8 Laches and lack of diligence for over 18 months can hardly
9 be an excuse for good cause. Although the Commission has
10 stated its belief that the decedent's heirs should not be
11 penalized because of the failure or inability of employee's
12 attorneys to ascertain and advise the Commission of his death,
13 such attorneys by letter of October 8, 1962 advised the Commis-
14 sion that the employee "...has been confined to Brookside
15 Hospital seriously ill and will be unable to attend the hearing
16 on October 11, 1962." The man died FOUR DAYS after the date
17 scheduled for hearing and the attorneys, seven days before the
18 death, knew that the man was in the named hospital. The de-
19 cedent's executrix had a duty to have the pending litigation
20 brought without waiting 18 months to make an appearance.

21 In passing, two items are worth mentioning:

22 1. The fatally defective petition shows neither that
23 Jacqueline Clancey qualified nor, if so, is still acting as
24 an Executrix. Notwithstanding the opening paragraph of said
25 petition it nowhere even states that she is a daughter or heir
26 of the decedent.

27 2. There is not even an attempt to show an excuse for the
28 attorneys failure to timely object to the Notice of Intention

1 action and concludes the rights of the parties in that
2 particular action; Dowling vs. Polack, 18 Cal. 626. A dis-
3 missal for delay in or want of prosecution is not a judgment
4 on the merits but rather a refusal to hear and determine on
5 the merits; Bell vs. Solomons, 162 Cal. 105. But, such a dis-
6 missal deprives the court of jurisdiction to hear or determine
7 any motion unrelated to the dismissal; Lord vs. Garland (1946)
8 27 Cal.2d 840.

9 Once lost, jurisdiction cannot be regained even by
10 stipulation of the parties; Sauer vs. Superior Court, 74
11 Cal.App.580.

12 Aside from the power conferred by Section 473 of the Code
13 of Civil Procedure, the trial court cannot vacate its decision,
14 once it is made, on grounds of judicial error. Thus, where the
15 record shows that there is no mere mistake or inadvertence on
16 the part of the trial court, and that an order of dismissal
17 was the order intended to be made, an appellate court will not
18 vacate that order; Hunt vs. United Artists (1947) 79 Cal.App.2d
19 619, 625.

20 In the instant matter, there had been several Notices of
21 Intent to Dismiss and quite obviously the actual Dismissals
22 were not made by mere mistake or inadvertence on the part of
23 the trial court. If she, in fact, has legal status to do so,
24 Jacqueline Clancy is not prevented from filing her own applica-
25 tion for hearing on the merits on the same cause as shown by
26 the Olwell case (supra).

1 Mrs. Clancy fails to meet either the requirements for a
2 petition for reconsideration or the requirements for a petition
3 to reopen.

4 The trial tribunal having made its judicial determination
5 to dismiss the case lost jurisdiction (save as otherwise pro-
6 vided for good cause) to reopen the matter.

7 Strip the conclusions from the petition which is under
8 attack and there is not even a skeleton left.

9 There is no equity in vacating a dismissal as to some de-
10 fendants and not vacating dismissals of other defendants.

11 Eighteen months delay by a personal representative in
12 pursuing litigation is laches not capable of excuse.

13 WHEREFORE, defendant prays that the ORDERS made May 12,
14 1964, be vacated and nullified and that the Order of Dismissal,
15 dated March 31, 1964 be affirmed, ratified and reinstated.

16 Copies of this Petition for Reconsideration have been
17 mailed to the parties indicated below.

18
19 Respectfully submitted,

20
21 David A. Green
22 Attorney for Defendants

23 Dated in San Francisco, California
24 this 8th day of June, 1964.

25 cc - Smith, Parrish, Paduck & Clancy
26 315 Financial Center Building
Oakland, California

1 cc - Department of Employment
P.O. Box 1857
2 Oakland, California
cc - Pacific Employers Insurance Company
3 244 Pine Street
San Francisco, California
4 cc - Western Asbestos Co.
675 Townsend Street
5 San Francisco, California
cc - Mundet Cork Corp.
6 550 Brannan Street
San Francisco, California
7 cc - Fiberglass Engineering & Supply Co.
1200 - 17th Street
8 San Francisco, California
cc - Van Arsdale & Harris Co.
9 595 Tunnel Avenue
San Francisco, California
10 cc - Aetna Casualty & Surety Co.
220 Montgomery Street
11 San Francisco, California
cc - Claims Department
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

David Freeman
Attorney for Defendant

Dated this 8th day of June, 1964.
in San Francisco, California.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

RECEIVED
MAY 20 1964
INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
COMMISSION
SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

THE INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CLAIM NO. 60 SF-192-145


L. J. STREITHORST,
Applicant,

vs.

WESTERN ASBESTOS COMPANY, et al,
and STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE
FUND, AETNA CASUALTY & SURETY
COMPANY, PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE
COMPANY, et al,

Defendants.

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR
RECONSIDERATION and/or
MOTION TO SET ASIDE
DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF
PROSECUTION



Comes now, defendant STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE
FUND, with this its Answer to Petition for Reconsideration
and/or Motion to Set Aside Dismissal for Want of Prosecution,
and in respect thereof alleges:

1. State Compensation Insurance Fund denies that
the Petition for Reconsideration shows any grounds for grant-
ing of Reconsideration of the Order of March 31, 1964.

2. State Compensation Insurance Fund denies that
the Petition to Set Aside Dismissal for Want of Prosecution
shows any grounds for doing so.

3. And for a further separate answer and affirmative
defense to all claims asserted by petition in the above
entitled matter, State Compensation Insurance Fund alleges that
all said claims are barred by the provisions of Sections 5400 to

1 lungs over a period of many years. Several employers and
2 insurance carriers filed an Answer.

3 Applicant took no steps to bring the case to hearing
4 and on or about September 29, 1961, certain defendants filed a
5 Petition to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution. On November 29,
6 1961, Dismissal for Want of Prosecution was issued as to those
7 certain defendants. On December 1, 1961, State Compensation
8 Insurance Fund filed a Petition to Dismiss for Want of
9 Prosecution. A Notice of Intention to Dismiss for Want of
10 Prosecution dated December 8, 1961 was issued by the Industrial
11 Accident Commission, George W. Lane, Referee. Written objection
12 was to be made within 15 days from date of service. On December
13 27, 1961, (19 days later) applicant's attorney filed an objection
14 to Notice of Intention to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution. On
15 June 20, 1962, another Notice of Intention to Dismiss for Want
16 of Prosecution was served by the Industrial Accident Commission.
17 On July 3, 1962, the State Compensation Insurance Fund renewed
18 its request for a Petition to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution.
19 On July 11, 1962, the applicant's attorney filed an objection to
20 Notice of Intention to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution. On
21 August 3, 1962, the case was set for hearing on October 11, 1962.
22 By letter of October 8, 1962, the applicant's attorney informed
23 the Industrial Accident Commission that Mr. Streithorst had been
24 confined to Brookside Hospital seriously ill. On October 11,
25 1962, applicant's attorney appeared and stated that the applicant
26 was then hospitalized in what appeared to be a terminal condition.

1 the case was ordered dismissed for failure of prosecution.

2
3 CONTENTIONS

4 1. The claim of Jacqueline Claney is barred by the
5 Statute of Limitations as provided by Labor Code Sections 5400
6 to 5412, inclusive, and particularly by Labor Code Section
7 5406, which provides:

8 "5406. The period within which may be
9 commenced proceedings for the collection
10 of the benefits provided by Article 4 or
Chapter 2 of Part 2 of this division is one
year from:

- 11 (a) The date of death where death occurs
within one year from date of injury; or
12 (b) The date of last furnishing of any bene-
fits under Chapter 2 of Part 2 of this
13 division, where death occurs more than
one year from the date of injury; or,
14 (c) The date of death, where death occurs
more than one year after the date of
15 injury and compensation benefits have
been furnished.

16 No such proceedings may be commenced more
than one year after the date of death, nor
17 more than 240 weeks from the date of injury."

18 Leo J. Streithorst died on October 15, 1962. No compensation
19 benefits have been furnished. No award has been made. More
20 than 240 weeks have passed since the date of injury alleged
21 to be July 21, 1959 (See petitioners' Application, Case
22 60 CF-192-145) Ruiz v. I.A.C. (1955) 45 Cal. 2d 402, 289 P. 2d
23 229, 20 CCC 265. State Compensation Insurance Fund v. I.A.C.
24 (1958) 23 CCC 177.

25 2. Only persons aggrieved directly or indirectly,
26 by a final Order, Decision or Award of the Commissioner or

1 proceedings at the time of filing of the Petition for
2 Reconsideration and/or Motion to Set Aside Dismissal for Want
3 of Prosecution. At the time of the filing of the petition,
4 Jacqueline Clancy was not substituted in place and stead as
5 the personal representative and next of kin of L. J.
6 Streithorst, the applicant. Jacqueline Clancy had no
7 status as a party to the proceedings. Since no party can
8 petition for reconsideration after 20 days following
9 Dismissal for Want of Prosecution, Jacqueline Clancy is now
10 barred by the Statute of Limitations. Labor Code Section 5903.

11 3. Labor Code Section 5900 et. seq. provides for
12 the means of reconsideration from an Order or Decision of a
13 referee, Section 5903 provides:

14 "5903. At any time within twenty days after
15 the service of any final order, decision or
16 award made and filed by a commissioner or a
17 referee granting or denying compensation, or
18 arising out of or incidental thereto, any
19 person aggrieved thereby may petition for
20 reconsideration upon one or more of the
21 following grounds and no other:
22 (a) That by such order, decision, or award
23 made and filed by a commissioner or a
24 referee, the commission acted without
25 or in excess of its powers.
26 (b) That the order, decision, or award was
27 procured by fraud.
(c) That the evidence does not justify the
findings of fact.
(d) That the petitioner has discovered new
evidence material to him, which he could
not, with reasonable diligence, have
discovered and produced at the hearing.
(e) That the findings of fact do not support
the order, decision, or award.
Nothing contained in this section shall limit
the grant of continuing jurisdiction contained
in sections 5803 to 5805 inclusive."

1 4. Petitioner fails to distinguish a petition for
2 reconsideration from a petition to reopen and fails to give
3 some indication to justify a reopening of the case. Petitioner
4 has not alleged any ground to reopen this case and by the facts
5 shown therein it is clear that the petitioner or petitioner's
6 counsel, or both, have exercised a lack of diligence in bring-
7 ing the case to hearing. See e.g. Consolidated Western Steel
8 v. I.A.C., (1962) 205 Cal. App. 2d 275, 27 CCC 159; U.S. Pipe
9 & Foundry Company v. I.A.C., supra.

10 (a) It required more than one and one-half
11 years for the applicants to get the case
12 to hearing. Application was filed on
13 March 4, 1960 and hearing was finally
14 set for October 11, 1962. During this
15 period, Dismissal for Want of Prosecution
16 was granted to several defendants.

17 (b) As long ago as July 11, 1962, applicant's
18 attorney claimed to have the alleged
19 medical evidence needed to prosecute his
20 case. (See Objection to Notice of In-
21 tention to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution,
22 dated July 11, 1962)

23 (c) Dated December 8, 1961, Notice of Intention
24 to Dismiss within 15 days if no written ob-
25 jection be made was served by the Industrial
26 Accident Commission through Mr. George W.

1 another objection (July 11, 1962) finally
2 filing medical reports that dated from
3 May of 1961.

4 (d) Applicant's attorney actually knew the
5 location (Brookside Hospital) of Mr.
6 Streithorst during Mr. Streithorst's
7 terminal illness. It seems inconceivable
8 that applicant's attorney would not in-
9 quire as to his aged client's health.
10 (See petitioner's attorney's letter to
11 the Industrial Accident Commission dated
12 October 3, 1962.)

13 (e) At the hearing of October 11, 1962 ap-
14 plicant's attorney knew the applicant
15 was seriously ill and at the time had
16 actual or constructive knowledge that
17 the applicant's condition was terminal.
18 Applicant, L. J. Streithorst, died on
19 October 15, 1962 at age 72. Notwith-
20 standing, over one and one-half years
21 have elapsed before applicant's attorney
22 attempted to bring this case to termination.


23 (f) As provided by the Labor Code, applicant's
24 attorney had ample time to object to the
25 Notice of Intention to Dismiss ordered by
26 the Industrial Accident Commission, George


1 that autopsy findings confirm applicant's original claim that
2 he was disabled because of asbestosis, (but this man died of
3 carcinoma). The alleged autopsy findings would not constitute
4 the discovery "new evidence" upon which reconsideration will
5 lie even if the same were timely. Labor Code Section 5903.
6 (d) "Tender of merely new cumulative evidence does not
7 constitute valid ground for reconsideration." I.A.C. Rule
8 10870 (f) See Clendaniel v. I.A.C. (1941) 17 Cal. 2d 659, 111
9 P. 2d 314, 6 CCC 85.

10 WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the
11 Petition for Reconsideration and/or Motion to Set Aside
12 Dismissal for Want of Prosecution be denied.

13 Copies of this Answer to Petition for Reconsideration
14 and/or Motion to Set Aside Dismissal for Want of Prosecution
15 have been mailed on the persons and addresses shown below.

16
17 Respectfully submitted,

18 

19
20 
21 Attorneys for Defendant
State Compensation Insurance Fund

22 Dated at San Francisco, California
23 this 19th day of May, 1964

24 cc-
25 Smith, Parrish, Paduck & Clancy, Attorneys at Law
315 Financial Center Building, Oakland, California
26 Mullen & Filippi, Attorneys at Law
315 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California
27 Hanna & Brophy, Attorneys at Law
1540 San Pablo Avenue, Oakland, California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Frank A. Parker

Dated at San Francisco, California
this 19th day of May, 1964

CALIFORNIA INSPECTION RATING BUREAU

1453 MISSION STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 3, CALIFORNIA

R. O. O'BRIEN
GENERAL MANAGER
P. L. DAVIS
DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER
J. H. HOXAJIAN
SECRETARY

RECEIVED

March 31, 1960

PLEASE ADVISE US BY MAIL
ATTENTION R. T. Read
WITH General File

APR 4 - 1960
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS

Department of Industrial Relations
Industrial Accident Commission
P. O. Box 603
San Francisco 1, California

Attention: George W. Lane, Referee

RE: L. J. STREITHORST V. WESTERN ASBESTOS CO., ET AL
Claim No. SP 192-145

Dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of March 11, 1960, we wish to send the following information.

Western Asbestos Co., 675 Townsend St., San Francisco, California
State Compensation Insurance Fund, Policy No. D 93224-56, 1-1-56-57,
Policy No. D 93224-57, 1-1-57-58, Policy No. D 93224-58, 1-1-58-59
Policy No. D 93224-59, 1-1-59-60.

Plant Asbestos Co., 1300 Fourth St., Emeryville, California
Industrial Ind. Co., Policy No. C: 602110, 1-1-58-59 and
Policy No. CP 608056, 1-1-59-60.

Fluor Maintenance Co., 406 Ward St., Martinez, California
Associated Ind. Corp., Policy No. 480285, 4-25-52-53

Continental Cas. Co., Policy No. 6409667, 4-25-53-54, Policy No. (Not
available) 4-25-54-55, Policy No. 1907635, 4-25-55-56, Policy No. 1894526,
4-25-56-57, Policy No. 1894526, 4-25-57-58, Policy No. 1894526, 4-25-58-59.

C. F. Braun & Co., 1000 South Fremont Ave., Alhambra, California
American Motorists Ins. Co., Policy No. CM 420040-1-1-52-53
and Policy No. CM 426012, 1-1-53-54.

Lumbermen's Mutual Casualty Co., Policy No. C 426014, 1-1-53-54

American Motorists Ins. Co., Policy No. CM 426012, 1-1-54-55, Policy No.
CM 426012, 1-1-55-56, Policy No. CM 426012, 1-1-56-57, Policy No. CM 426012
1-1-57-58, and Policy No. CM 426012, 1-1-58-59.

✓

RECEIVED

APR 4 - 1960

Department of Industrial Relations
Industrial Accident Commission

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS

March 31, 1960
General File

✓ Armstrong Cork Co., 304 Shaw Road, South San Francisco, California

Travelers Ins. Co., Policy No. 2047243, 1-1-52-53, Policy No. 2673804,
1-1-53-54, Policy No. 3386210, 1-1-54-55, Policy No. 4490459, 1-1-55-56,
Policy No. 4991518, 1-1-56-57, Policy No. 6483814, 1-1-57-58, Policy
No. 7226992, 1-1-58-59.

San Jose Asbestos Co., San Jose, California

✓ We are submitting coverage for Owen Leinio dba: San Jose Asbestos Co.,
1140 Lennon Way, San Jose.

Hardware Mutual Casualty Co., Policy No. 605551, 3-9-56-57, Policy
No. 705080, 3-9-57-58.

✓ Chas. Ayers Co., 696 Minnesota St., San Francisco, California (Nothing prior to
5-26-53).

Argonaut Insurance Co., Policy No. 105668, 5-26-53-1-1-54, Policy
No. 114699, 1-1-54-55, Policy No. 133858, 1-1-55-56, Policy No.
147705, 1-1-56-57, Policy No. 02044, 1-1-57-58.

✓ Fiberglas Engineering & Supply Division, 1200-17th St., San Francisco, California

Aetna Casualty & Surety Co., Policy No. 31C 733, 9-1-54-55,
Policy No. 31C 784, 9-1-55-56, Policy No. 31C 822, 9-1-56-57

✓ Lorentzen Co., 2267 Market St., Oakland, California

Industrial Ind. Co., Policy No. 501 CP 00429, 1-1-55-56.

✓ Aetna Steel Products Corporation, 61 Broadway, New York.

Hartford Accident and Ind., Policy No. US 995537, 10-6-45-46, Policy
No. US 65069, 10-6-46-47, Policy No. US 125006, 10-6-47-48.

✓ Bay Cities Asbestos Co., Ltd., Fifth Ave. & E. 12th St., Oakland, California
Industrial Ind. Exchange, 3-31-43 until 3-31-45 (Policy numbers not
available), Effective 3-31-45 Policy No. 6-7-01168.

Very truly yours,

R. T. Read, Superintendent
Underwriting Department

By

R. T. Read
Rex Oja

RO:gr

Industrial Accident Commission
RECEIVED
MAR 2 1960
Oakland Office

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT COMMISSION

APPLICATION

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
DIVISION OF INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENTS
FILED
MAR 4 1960
Oakland Office

60 SF CASE NO. 192145

L. J. STREITHORST

2637 LINCOLN AVE, RICHMOND

SEE ATTACHED SHEET

SEE ATTACHED SHEET

L. J. STREITHORST

Insulation installer
from 1944 to 1959

July 21, 1959

DATE OF INJURY

19

at

CITY, TOWN OR PLACE WHERE INJURY OCCURRED

California, by

he sustained injury arising out of and in the course of the employment, as follows:

work as insulation installer from 1944 to July 1959

resulting in

Chest disability namely pneumoconiosis, probably asbestosis.

STATE WHAT PARTS OF BODY WERE INJURED AND SUBSEQUENT RESULTS

2. Was the employer's insurance carrier on date of injury.

3. July 21, 1959

PERIOD OF LOSS DUE TO THE INJURY

DATE INJURY RETURNED TO WORK

DATE LAST MEDICAL EXAMINED

4. Was compensation paid? No \$ TOTAL PAID WEEKLY RATE DATE OF LAST PAYMENT

5. Earnings at time of injury \$ Maximum @ The basis of pay was

STATE PAY PERIOD OR PERIODIC DATE, HOW MANY DAYS AND HOURS WERE

Was there any overtime? Were lodging, board, or other advantages given?

6. Was medical treatment needed? Who furnished treatment?

GIVE NAMES OF DOCTORS WHO TREATED THE INJURY AND STATE WHO PAID THEIR BILLS

7. Use this space only if injury resulted in death. Date of death

GIVE NAMES, ADDRESSES AND RELATIONSHIP OF DEPENDENTS AND DEED OF ALL BLOOD BY

8. This application is filed to determine liability for:

a. Temporary disability Yes b. Permanent disability Yes c. Medical treatment Yes d. Medical costs
e. Litigation expense f. Death benefit g. Burial expense h.

9. LIST CASE NUMBERS OF ANY OTHER APPLICATIONS FILED

WHEREFORE, it is requested that a time and place be fixed for hearing and that an award be made granting such relief as may be proper under the Workmen's Compensation Laws of California.

OAKLAND

MARCH 3, 1960

L. J. STREITHORST
2637 LINCOLN AVE.
RICHMOND, CALIF.

ASBESTOS EMPLOYERS - 1944 to 1959

1959	WESTERN ASBESTOS CO. PLANT ASBESTOS CO.	675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F. 3 (SIXTY- 1300 FOURTH ST., EMERYVILLE 6
1958	WESTERN ASBESTOS CO. FLOUR MAINTENANCE CO. C. F. BRAUN & CO. ARMSTRONG CORR CO.	675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F. 3 406 WARD ST., MARTINEZ P.O. BOX 22, L.A. 1000 SO. FREMONT AVE., ALHAMBRA, CAL. 304 SHAW RD., SO. S.F.
1957	SAN JOSE ASBESTOS CO. WESTERN ASBESTOS CO. ARMSTRONG CORR CO. FLOUR MAINTENANCE CO. PLANT ASBESTOS CO. CHAS. AYERS CO.	SAN JOSE, CALIF. 675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F. 304 SHAW RD., SO. S.F. 406 WARD ST., MARTINEZ (SIXTY- 1300 FOURTH ST., EMERYVILLE 6 690 MINNESOTA ST., S.F.
1956	FIBERGLAS ENG. & SUPPLY DIV. (IBL rest of 1956)	1200 - 17th ST., S.F.
1955	FIBERGLAS ENG. & SUPPLY CO. J. C. MCQUEEN CO. CHAS. AYERS CO. LOHMEYER CO. FLOUR MAINTENANCE CO.	1200 - 17th ST., S.F. 1341 CORNELL AVE., EMERYVILLE 690 MINNESOTA ST., S.F. 5707 WILSON ST., OAKLAND 406 WARD ST., MARTINEZ
1954	J. C. MCQUEEN CO.	1341 CORNELL AVE., EMERYVILLE

(Don.)

1953 WESTERN ASBESTOS CO.

J. T. THORPE & SON

REX REGUILL

675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F. 3

1351 OCEAN AVE., ALBANYVILLE

1421 LAIDLER ST., RENO, NEVADA

1952 WESTERN ASBESTOS CO.

INDEPEND CORP. COMP.

675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F.

440 BROADWAY ST., S.F.

1951 CHAS. AYERS CO.
INDEPEND CORP. COMP.
FEDERAL GLASS INSULATION CO.
WESTERN ASBESTOS CO.

698 MINNESOTA ST., S.F.

440 BROADWAY ST., S.F.

100 WILSON ST., S.F.

675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F. 3

1950 ARMSTRONG CORP. CO.

J. T. THORPE & SON

304 SILVER ROAD., SO. S.F.

1351 OCEAN AVE., ALBANYVILLE

1949 WESTERN ASBESTOS CO.

J. T. THORPE CO.

675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F.

1351 OCEAN AVE., ALBANYVILLE

1948 ALMA STEEL PRODUCTS CORP.

WESTERN ASBESTOS CO.

61 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

675 TOWNSEND ST., S.F.

1947 ALMA STEEL PRODUCTS CORP.
(All of 1947)

61 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

1946 ALMA STEEL PRODUCTS CORP.

INDEPEND CORP. COMP.

61 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

440 BROADWAY ST., S.F.

1945 VAN ARSDALE & HARRIS INC.

DAY CITIES ASBESTOS CO.

(Taken over by Western Asbestos Co.)

595 FIFTH ST., S.F.

FIFTH AVE. & E. 12th ST., NEW YORK

1944 VAN ARSDALE & HARRIS - Division of Van Arsdale-Harris Lumber Co.
(Not out of business)

595 TOWNSEND AVE., S.F.

DAY CITIES ASBESTOS CO., LTD.

(Reformed to Western Asbestos Co.)

FIFTH AVE. & E. 12th ST., NEW YORK