

Mon May 22 10:45:38 EDT 2017

Hope.Brian@epamail.epa.gov

FW: Pruitt-to-Pruitt IMPORTANT ..needs attention...must read "Paris Agreement is a John Frum Protocol

To: CMS.OEX@epamail.epa.gov

Paris standard

From: Tom Pruitt [mailto:(b) (6)]

Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 9:08 AM

To: Pruitt, Scott <Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov>

Subject: Pruitt-to-Pruitt IMPORTANT ..needs attention...must read "Paris Agreement is a John Frum Protocol

Mr Pruitt,

Attached is a USA small business view of the Paris Climate Agreement

as communicated to HR 1835 Sponsor for "No UN Taxation"

Documents clarify Requester's good faith belief as to why

1) Paris Agreement is a "John Frum Protocol" as so named by Author

2) Pre-2017 Administration obfuscated potential UN taxation via EPA participation in MARPOL activities related to International Marine Organization (IMO)

Thank you from

Mr Pruitt

CLARIFICATION to Inquiry (May 19, 2017) about pending H.R. 1835 ("no UN taxation").

May 19th Inquiry attached copy of May 5, 2017 MAWETAL LLC request (Request) to Office of Inspector General (OIG) for U.S. Environmental Agency (EPA) for investigation as to whether conduct of Pre-2017 Administration in regard to the Endangerment and Paris Climate Agreement violated the EPA's Scientific Integrity Policy (Policy). Requestor is a small, developmental stage Nacogdoches Texas based business engaged in for-profit clean fuels technology efforts.

IMPORTANT - Time Sensitive

To the

Honorable Louie Gohmert, Texas

and

Honorable Alexander Mooney, West Virginia

Gentlemen:

A "John Frum Protocol" is for receiving gifts from the "air". See footnotes [a][b]

Paris Climate Agreement is a John Frum Protocol.

Such is "**misuse of the misunderstood**" by improper conduct that distracts resources away from technical actions essential to meet true priority needs. [a]

Example of Protocol 'misuse of the misunderstood'[b]:

During Second World War, persons indigenous to Pacific Islands observed USA soldiers conducting drills, marching in formations with shouldered guns. They saw packages fall from the sky (via parachutes by cargo plane drops since small remote island did not have air strips). Soldiers opened the packages to reveal supplies of foods, medicines, uniforms, etc. and made gifts to Islanders, saying I am "John (name) from (USA)".

After the War, military drills stopped. USA's soldiers left for home. Packages of goodies ceased falling from the sky.

Creative native promoters applied the Latin '*post hoc ergo propter hoc*' ('after this, therefore because of this'). Islanders drilled and marched, in salvaged uniforms, with stick semblances of weapons, with belief (planted by promoters) that doing so would cause packages of goodies to fall from the sky. So continues today in 2017. From such arose the Vanuatu Island Tannese Army of the John Frum believers. [b]

Vanuatu Island 'John Frum' promoters appear to 'misuse the misunderstood' by improper social conduct. They likely distracted from required procurement of medicines, food production, science education and other steps essential to meet islanders needs.

Relevant points:

Disagree or chuckle or frown about the John Frum Protocol, as you wish, but

Vanuatu signed and ratified the Paris Agreement, with entry in force November 2016 [c].

No so funny, because from the "air" (this time, it will be climate change CO2 "air"), USA taxpayer-paid (in part) package drops of money can resume.

Not far away and also not funny, Tuvulu [c] [d] entered the Paris Agreement in 2016 also, but with a caveat in its Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) reserving claims for harm caused by climate change: "...no way constitute a renunciation of any rights under international law concerning State responsibility for the adverse effects of climate change...any claims ... concerning compensation due to the impacts of climate change..." e.g. by sea levels rise flooding and the like.

Author translation of one view: 'Tuvulu thanks the World Bank for funding its new power generation capability [d] but if all of it sinks due to climate change, we'll see you in Court'. [c][d]

One view of Paris Agreement as a John Frum Protocol for Climate Change:

Here is one critical view of the John Frum Protocol for some promoters of the Paris Agreement =

- (i) meet at least each year (>195 countries),
- (ii) discuss and rehash 'rule of origin' [i] for emission sources without adequate commitment [e][f] or taking effective technical steps to reduce [g],
- (iii) get money from Green Climate Fund (GCF)[h][j] or tax your people and pass to GCF
- (iv) observe pollution and CO2 increase each year in years to 2030 and beyond
- (v) repeat (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) over and over.

Explanation of above (i) through (v) is from viewpoint of USA small business (development stage company) which respectfully complains that 'at sea marine transportation emission sources' were excluded from the Paris Agreement, being a gap and disconnect, and thereby proceeds of tax by UN on marine fuels could go to UN designated destinations, without being applied to solving source pollution issues.

Again, if the Paris Agreement is to function, why have gaps as large as marine?

One answer: John Frum Protocol.

Paris Climate Agreement is a John Frum Protocol because it distracts away from technical solutions to solve pollution concerns, thus it is human caused "CO2 Voodoo" as defined in the Request to OIG. [b]

Promoters:

(x) take some elements of truth about the past -

(i) past soldiers drilled in uniforms, then WWII cargo parachutes fall,

(ii) past human caused CO2 increased, then *[fill blank with your opinion]*

(y) plus at 2016 Paris entry dates, add multiple interpretations of the past into the present

(i) in 2016 John Frum groups march yet again,

when no cargo parachutes fall, an interpretation occurs...

how does each promoter honesty add continued faith for continued participation?

Answer: follow Protocol.

(ii) in 2016 at Paris entry start, CO2 increases are akin to an exponential:

each country adds its own initial NDCs [e] plus each adds its own interpretation

does each country honestly add faith in its emissions reduction via Paris Agreement?

**test: measure the faith of those top 10 countries/regions which have
~80% of CO2 emissions by reading their NDCs[f][h]**

KEY question:

Thus, for the future (z) after 2016 Paris entry,

if no fall in global warming can be accurately measured after 2016,

and if accurate assessments of CO2 levels for compliance with NDCs can not be made,

how does each promoter honesty add continued faith for continued participation?

Answer: follow Protocol,

(i) meet at least each year (>195 countries),

(ii) discuss and rehash 'rule of origin' [i] for emission sources

without adequate commitment [e][f] or taking effective technical steps to reduce [g],

(iii) get money from Green Climate Fund (GCF)[h][j] or tax your people and pass to GCF

(iv) observe pollution and CO2 increase each year in years to 2030 and beyond

(v) repeat (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) over and over.

Promoters will apply "CO2 Voodoo" via Protocol, divert, and not solve real technical problems.

They will mix elements of facts of the past (x) and blend each new year's then present (y)

to predict the future (z) and follow Protocol to assure continued participation in belief.

Result: Without open, complete honesty about reality, there is certain failure.

The environment suffers.

A fair approach to honesty about reality (cost part) is within the initial NDC of India.[g]

India is assigned by some references as "2nd Place bad CO2 emitter" at 13% of all 2016 CO2 emissions behind China "1st Place" at 26%. [h]

Some state in 2016 ~35% of India's 1.3 Billion persons are in poverty, ~30% do not have access to electricity, numbers without clean water, and per capital income is < US\$1,600. [j]

India openly and frankly states financial reality in its initial NDC submittal:

"India's climate actions have so far been largely financed from domestic resources. A substantial scaling up of the climate action plans would require greater resources.... international climate finance needs will be finalized at a later stagea preliminary estimate suggests that at least **USD 2.5 trillion** (at 2014-15 prices) will be required for meeting India's climate change actions between now and 2030. [g]

That missing background, "how to pay for addressing climate change", for India and for many other countries is part of the "CO2 Voodoo" of the Paris Climate Agreement promoters.

Some may believe parachuted cargos of hard earned USA-taxpayer paid cash will fall from the sky.

Requester's analogy:

"Misuse of the misunderstood" by early Vanuatu Island 'John Frum' leaders likely distracted away from required medicine procurement, food production, science education and other steps essential to meet islanders needs.

"Misuse of the misunderstood" by John Frum Protocol of the Paris Agreement resulted in:

- (i) open seas marine exception under the Paris Agreement,
- (ii) potential UN taxation via International Maritime Organization (IMO), NS
- (iii) potential separate land-based shared carbon taxes (via flow to GCF or otherwise) or alternatively cap and trade activities under Paris Agreement that add no real technical value.

Requester contends such distract from technical action steps essential to meet true priority needs for pollution reduction.

Required solutions for emissions reduction should be via science and engineering as a focus, not social science for wealth re-distribution via Paris Agreement, [e][f][g] nor ineffective Protocols.

Requester respectfully submits that, as a priority, leaders of the largest emitters should focus first on technical reduction of known harmful emissions by the largest emitters, not on an all-inclusive socially correct Protocol which fails to focus on delivery of committed technical results by largest emitters.

This clarification is made in a good faith seeking an "open eyes" vision but this submission is itself not complete or perfect due to limited resources of the Requester.

Respectfully submitted,
Mawetal LLC (Requester)
by its Agent and Attorney-in-fact
/s/Tom F. Pruitt
Tom F. Pruitt
mawetalllc@gmail.com
(936) 305-3737

Footnotes to Clarification:

[a] Sample references to 'John Frum' activities

<http://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/in-john-they-trust-109294882/>

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/travel/travel_news/article-3440799/Forget-Prince-Philip-Meet-South-Pacific-island-cult-worship-spirit-American-World-War-II-soldier-hope-II-return-Coca-Cola-TVs-medicine.html

[b] The words "John Frum Protocol" is a newly minted phrase by Requester, as per above. To add background context, please see above cited Request to OIG for EPA, especially footnotes [1][2][3] and [6] of such Request questioning potential misuse of climate concerns by Pre-2017 Administration "CO2 Voodoo" that distracts from genuine pollution reduction efforts.

Again, a repeat: Requester does not request any investigation or debate about whether there is global warming or whether any climate change is human caused or amplified, and Requester conveys basic, simple respect for different views by differing experts.

Members of MAWETAL LLC are experienced chemical engineers, with over 65 years of experiences in science driven commercial, 'for profit' activities in multiple countries. Author is experienced in transactions (not purporting to be an 'expert', only fools self proclaim to be experts), having worked complex international technology transactions and/or commercial joint ventures in over 25 countries, dealing (as drafter, negotiator or adviser) with well over 8,000 agreements in over 35 years.

[c] For entry of Vanuatu and Tuvulu in Paris Climate Agreement, please see http://unfccc.int/paris_agreement/items/9444.php

[d] Tuvulu and World Bank

<http://projects.worldbank.org/P144573?lang=en>

<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/519561468102907968/pdf/PAD6620PAD0P140010Box385398B00UO090.pdf>

<http://www.radionz.co.nz/international/pacific-news/300693/tuvalu-airport-upgrade-gets-more-world-bank-funding>

[e] http://unfccc.int/focus/ndc_registry/items/9433.php

[f] Without verification, Requester provides link to group(s) which track and rate NDCs

<http://climateactiontracker.org/indcs.html>

[g] "India reserves the right to make additional submissions on Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) as and when required."

<http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/India/1/INDIA%20INDC%20TO%20UNFCCC.pdf>

[h] Without verification, Requester provides link which tracks and rates estimated CO2 emissions

<http://cait.wri.org>

[i] One context of a "rule of origin" is "tariff", that is to determine upon which goods a tax or import duty in another form would be levied. For example, please see

US Code Title 19 Customs, Part 102 Rules of Origin

<https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title19-vol1/pdf/CFR-2012-title19-vol1-part102.pdf>

(g) A good "wholly obtained or produced" in a country means:

(8) a good taken from outer space, provided they are obtained by that country or a person of that country;

(9) waste and scrap derived from:

(i) Production in a country, or...

Are emissions 'waste'?

[j] India

<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.CD>

<https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/in.html>

**[reference copy of May 19, 2017 Inquiry
without repeating or adding second copy of May 5, 2017 Request to OIG for EPA
- if second copy of Request to OIG is needed,
please send email to mawetallic@gmail.com]**

To the Honorable Louie Gohmert of Texas
Vice Chairman, House Committee on Natural Resources, by fax to 202-226-1230

To the Honorable Alexander X. Mooney of West Virginia
Sponsor H.R. 1835, by fax to 202-225-7856

IMPORTANT - Time Sensitive regarding:

H.R. 1835 Introduced March 30, 2017 115th Congress 1st Session by Rep. A.X. Mooney
"To prohibit United States voluntary and assessed contributions to the United Nations if the United Nations imposes any tax or fee on any United States person or continues to develop or promote proposals for such a tax or fee."

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1835/text?r=130>

H.R. 1835 needs rapid and favorable consideration by the House.

American Taxpayers appear to be "blindsided" (not properly informed) of potential massive taxation by the United Nations (UN).

UN taxation, if supported by improper EPA participation, thwarts development of USA natural resources and sends hard earned USA taxpayer monies outside the USA.

H.R. 1835 should stop Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) covert participation in such UN taxation efforts.

Attached "Request" to Office of Inspector General (OIG) for EPA asks whether such EPA participation by Pre-2017 Administration was ethical. Request was made May 5, 2017 by MAWETAL LLC, Nacogdoches Texas based "for profit" technology company.

The "Request" asks: did Pre-2017 Administration EPA "misuse the misunderstood" to practice "CO2 Voodoo"?

Requestor asked OIG to explore unethical intertwining of real pollution problems with political misuse by Pre-2017 EPA Administration of environmental issues to obfuscate potential UN taxation of USA taxpayers. Request does not ask for any investigation or debate about whether there is global warming or whether any climate change is human caused or amplified,

H.R. 1835 is cited in footnote [5(C)] of said "Request" to OIG. Similar prior law 111 STAT. 2428 PUBLIC LAW 105-118 NOV. 26, 1997 "Restrictions On Voluntary Contributions To United Nations Agencies" is also cited in footnote [5(B)].

Please read the Request and please then move H.R. 1835 to law. America needs H.R. 1835.

Respectfully submitted
MAWETAL LLC, a Nacogdoches Texas company
by Tom F. Pruitt
its Agent and Attorney in Fact

To the Honorable Louie Gohmert of Texas
Vice Chairman, House Committee on Natural Resources, by fax to 202-226-1230

To the Honorable Alexander X. Mooney of West Virginia
Sponsor H.R. 1835, by fax to 202-225-7856

IMPORTANT - Time Sensitive regarding:

H.R. 1835 Introduced March 30, 2017 115th Congress 1st Session by Rep. A.X. Mooney
"To prohibit United States voluntary and assessed contributions to the United Nations if the United Nations imposes any tax or fee on any United States person or continues to develop or promote proposals for such a tax or fee."

<https://www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/1835/text?r=130>

H.R. 1835 needs rapid and favorable consideration by the House.

American Taxpayers appear to be "blindsided" (not properly informed) of potential massive taxation by the United Nations (UN).

UN taxation, if supported by improper EPA participation, thwarts development of USA natural resources and sends hard earned USA taxpayer monies outside the USA.

H.R. 1835 should stop Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) covert participation in such UN taxation efforts.

Attached "Request" to Office of Inspector General (OIG) for EPA asks whether such EPA participation by Pre-2017 Administration was ethical. Request was made May 5, 2017 by MAWETAL LLC, Nacogdoches Texas based "for profit" technology company.

The "Request" asks: did Pre-2017 Administration EPA "misuse the misunderstood" to practice "CO2 Voodoo"?

Requestor asked OIG to explore unethical intertwining of real pollution problems with political misuse by Pre-2017 EPA Administration of environmental issues to obfuscate potential UN taxation of USA taxpayers. Request does not ask for any investigation or debate about whether there is global warming or whether any climate change is human caused or amplified,

H.R. 1835 is cited in footnote [5(C)] of said "Request" to OIG. Similar prior law 111 STAT. 2428 PUBLIC LAW 105-118 NOV. 26, 1997 "Restrictions On Voluntary Contributions To United Nations Agencies" is also cited in footnote [5(B)].

Please read the Request and please then move H.R. 1835 to law. America needs H.R. 1835.

Respectfully submitted
MAWETAL LLC, a Nacogdoches Texas company
by Tom F. Pruitt
its Agent and Attorney in Fact