

POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL BIODEGRADATION STUDIES

✓ The threat of continued accumulation of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) residues in the environment is responsible for much of the apprehension about the future use of these materials. Examination of the data collected to date from both external and internal PCB residue monitoring programs has confirmed that at their previous rate of release some persistent PCB homologs have begun to accumulate in the eco-system. [Conversely, it can also be stated that, with the exception of direct high level controllable contamination,] PCB homologs with less than 5 chlorine atoms have not accumulated in the eco-system, although they have been released in an unrestricted fashion for many years. *? delete?* *OK*

Too tight? This observation indicates that at the previous rate of release an environmentally compatible PCB product would be one containing no PCB homologs with greater than four chlorines per molecule. As has been previously stated, it is not commercially feasible to produce a product completely free of the persistent homologs. However, it is technically feasible to produce an industrially useful PCB product, Aroclor 1016, which contains significantly (10 to 20 times) lower levels of these persistent homologs. *Round*

✓ Two questions remain which must be answered, first to what degree is biological degradation responsible for the disappearance of the "non-persistent" PCB homologs, and secondly, with controlled and restricted usage, will Aroclor 1016 be environmentally compatible.

✓ In an attempt to answer these questions, a number of comparative experimental laboratory studies of the Aroclor products have been carried out to determine the extent to which these materials are degraded by bacterial, avian, mammalian, and aquatic organisms.

Bacterial Degradation - Semi-Continuous Activated Sludge (SCAS) Degradation Studies of Polychlorinated Biphenyls

Introduction

The semi-continuous activated sludge (SCAS) test procedure used to study the primary bacterial degradation² of polychlorinated biphenyls is patterned after the test method recommended by the sub-committee on biodegradation test methods of the Soap and Detergent Association for the evaluation of surfactants¹. This procedure employs sludge from a sewage treatment plant as the source of microorganisms. A specific amount of the material being studied and a synthetic sewage mixture⁴ are fed, on a periodic basis to the activated sludge in a specially designed aeration chamber. Aliquots of the mixed liquor (sludge + water) are withdrawn from the chamber shortly after feeding and near the end of the aeration period and analyzed to determine the disappearance rate of the test compound. This cycle is continuously repeated until a steady state⁵ is achieved and consistent biodegradation rates are obtained. Details of the procedure may be found in the attached Analytical Chemistry Method 71-32.

740531



NEV 027276

Separate & classify more clearly.

Summary and Conclusions

SCAS testing of Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, MCS 1043, and Aroclor 1221 were carried out over an eight-month period.

why? Disappearance rate data were obtained during two sampling periods for Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043. For Aroclor 1221 only one sampling period was employed. In the initial testing of these materials, a feed rate of 1 mg per 24-hour cycle was used. Because the data obtained from the first sampling period for all PCBs studied, except Aroclor 1221, were extremely erratic with little apparent biodegradation, the exposure period between additions of the test material was extended to 48 hours for all materials except Aroclor 1221.

Mean disappearance rates and 95% confidence limits obtained via statistical analysis of the data from the last sampling period are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

Test Material	% Cl (w/w)	Exposure Period(Hrs)	Mean Per Cent Disappearance Rate (Uncorrected)
Aroclor 1221	21	24	64.0 + 13.6
MCS 1043	30	48	56.2 + 15.5
Aroclor 1016	41	48	32.9 + 13.8
Aroclor 1242	42	48	26.3 + 15.5
Aroclor 1254	54	48	15.2 + 37.7

Don't miss short on case. It is apparent from inspection of Table I that degradation decreases as the degree of chlorination increases and that because of the uncertainty in the data that it is not possible to differentiate between Aroclor 1242 and Aroclor 1016 with respect to degradability at the 95% confidence level. This is not surprising since Aroclor 1016 constitutes ~80% of Aroclor 1242 and the resolving power of the test procedure is comparable to this difference.

Initial studies showed it was necessary to understand such variables as volatility losses, duration of exposure period, & test method reproducibility. Accordingly
Subsequent SCAS testing of Aroclor 1221 at a feed level of 1 mg was carried out to establish the significance of volatility losses, the effect of exposure period, and the reproducibility of the test procedure. The results obtained are shown in Table II.

TABLE II
Aroclor 1221

Exposure Period (Hrs)	Aeration Rate (CFH)	Volatility Losses (%)	Mean Per Cent Disappearance Rate	
			Uncorrected	Corrected
24	0.5	16.1	74.9 + 7.7	58.8
24	0.1	4.5	69.9 + 17.8	65.4
24	0.1	- / none or not measured?	81.0 + 18.6	???
48	0.1		80.6 + 5.7	- / ???

The data in Table II demonstrate that volatility losses can and do occur and that these losses are a function of the aeration rate and hence also the vapor pressure of the test material in question. It

Do we want to use phrases like this in a scientific presentation? Why not run tests to check?

is, therefore, reasonable to assume that as the degree of chlorination increases that volatility losses would become insignificant.

27
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

The fact that the corrected disappearance rates do not vary with aeration rate also indicates that the volatile components of Aroclor 1221 are subject to degradation and that even if they were not lost in this manner they should not appreciably effect the observed degradation rate.

The mean uncorrected Aroclor 1221 disappearance rates for the 24-hour and 48-hour exposure periods were for all practical purposes equivalent, establishing that when dealing with complex mixtures, a simple linear extrapolation of disappearance rates as a function of exposure period is not valid. ??

Electron-capture gas chromatography analyses of samples taken at the end of the aeration cycle showed that the lower level of chlorination the greater the changes in the homolog distribution. For Aroclor 1221, the dominant monochloro- and dichlorobiphenyl homologs almost completely disappear after 24 hours of exposure to activated sludge. Similar changes in the ratio of the higher chlorinated homologs to lower ones were noted for the other PCBs studied with the exception of Aroclor 1254. For Aroclor 1254, no significant change in the homolog distribution was observed.

At the feed levels employed, no toxic effects toward the activated sludge were observed for any of the test compounds. *Does this mean the bacteria were still active & that the sewage plant would not be rendered inoperable?*

Results and Discussion

The sampling and analytical procedures employed in the disappearance rate determination of a test compound during a cycle were as follows: A 20-ml sample of the mixed liquor (activated sludge + liquor) was withdrawn one hour after feeding and at the end of the aeration period. The sample of mixed liquor was then extracted and the extract concentrated according to the procedure given in Analytical Chemistry Method 71-18. The concentration of test compounds was then determined either by an ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopic or electron-capture gas chromatographic (EC-GC) method. Details of the UV methods are given in Analytical Chemistry Method 71-17 and the EC-GC methods in Analytical Chemistry Method 71-35. The disappearance rate was calculated from the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Disappearance Rate} = \frac{C_o - C_n}{C_o} \times 100$$

Where C_o = level of test material present after feeding
 C_n = level of test material present after n hours of exposure

NEV 027278

740533

Tests were carried out to determine if quantitative recovery of the various test materials from the mixed liquor was achieved by the extraction procedure. Details are given in Analytical Chemistry Method 71-17. Although complete recovery was not achieved in all cases, the recoveries were consistent and independent of concentration level. The calculated disappearance rates should, therefore, not be affected significantly.

Since the level of chlorination is the most significant factor in the relative biodegradability of the PCBs, the homolog distribution of the various test materials is given in Table III.

Typical

TABLE III
HOMOLOG DISTRIBUTION OF POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL PRODUCTS

Homolog No. of Cl Per Biphenyl Molecule	Aroclor 1254 (54% Cl)	Aroclor 1242 (42% Cl)	MCS 1016 (41% Cl)	MCS 1043 (30% Cl)	Aroclor 1221 (21% Cl)
0	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.11	19.00
1	0.06	0.70	1.00	23.4	54.10
2	0.32	15.50	19.70	71.3	20.40
3	0.78	49.40	57.30	5.2	3.90
4	22.99	24.80	21.20		2.30
5	47.38	8.70	0.80		0.25
6	19.75	0.85	0.05		
7	4.62				
8					
9	3.96				
10					

Does this agree with
pages supplied to govt
by Westinghouse?
Delete?
Be prepared to
answer questions
why we don't
promote A-122
in a factor?

What is our
spec?

Can we condense?

Feeding of the Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043 units was started on 8-10-70 at a feed level of 1 mg per 24-hr cycle. These units were sampled for approximately one month starting with the first day of feeding. All analyses were carried out by electron-capture gas chromatography. The data obtained were extremely erratic. A statistical analysis of the data (Statistics Special Study 70-22) using the Student's t test indicated that at the 95% significance level, only MCS 1043 showed evidence of biodegradation. Both Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1242 showed some evidence of biodegradation, but neither was significant at the 95% level. Aroclor 1254 showed no evidence of biodegradation. In order to detect biodegradation at the 95% level of significance in 20 observations, about one-half of the test materials must be degraded in a cycle. The lack of significant biodegradation during the first sampling period was probably due in part to the need for an acclimation period by the bacterial sludge. Subsequent spot checks on the unit, however, indicated that the data obtained were still erratic. Because of the apparent slow rate of biodegradation of these materials, the cycle time was increased to 48 hours in the hope that larger differences would be observed between the before and after feeding samples.

NEV 027279

740534

*Should we give dates?
Can we explain why it has
taken us 14 months
to report.*

Feeding of the Aroclor 1221 unit was started on 10-27-70 at a feed level of 1 mg per 24 hours. Aroclor 1221, because of its higher rate of disappearance was maintained on the 24-hour cycle. Sampling of the unit was started 1-25-71 and completed 1-29-71. Analyses were carried out by electron-capture gas chromatography.

The final sampling period for the Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043 units at a feed rate of 1 mg per 48-hour cycle was started 2-8-71 and completed 3-8-71. Both UV and EC-GC analyses were carried out; the UV data was used to determine the overall disappearance rate and the EC-GC to monitor the change in homolog distribution.

In Figures 1-1 to 4-2, the observed data from which the mean disappearance rates were calculated are shown for both sampling periods for Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043. In Figure 5, similar data for Aroclor 1221 is shown for a single sampling period. In the top chart of each figure the total mg found in the unit at the beginning and end of each cycle is plotted versus the elapsed time in days after the start of the test. The after feeding sample is denoted by the solid circle; the before feeding sample by the arrowhead; the connecting line indicates the amount lost during each cycle. In the lower chart of each figure, the disappearance rate obtained is plotted versus elapsed time. In Table IV the mean disappearance rate and 95% confidence limits obtained for the test compound during the various sampling periods are given. Since the analyses for Aroclor 1221 were carried out by EC-GC, the disappearance rate does not include any decrease in the biphenyl component (see Table III for Aroclor 1221 composition).

*Suggest
we
use bottom
only from
chart for
each figure*

TABLE IV

SCAS MEAN DISAPPEARANCE RATES FOR
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL PRODUCTS*

Sampling Period/ Cycle Time	Mean Disappearance Rate +95% Confidence Limits				
	Aroclor 1254	Aroclor 1242	MCS 1016	MCS 1043	Aroclor 1221
1/24 Hours	-29.0+58?	11.0+19.5	3.6+19.0	4.7+22.0	
2/24 Hours					64.0+13.6
3/48 Hours	15.2+37.7	26.3+15.3	32.9+13.8	56.2+15.5	

*Identification of feed material in SCAS tests

Aroclor 1254	Lot AK-38
Aroclor 1242	Lot AK-255
Aroclor 1016	Sample No. 1, OR 158591
MCS 1043	Sample No. 2, OR 158591
Aroclor 1221	Lot AK-2

*Can you get 11.0 + 19.5 + 11.0 - 19.5
ie. gain in PCB of 8.5% ???*

NEV 027280

740535

In order to make certain that the observed disappearance rates were not due primarily to volatility losses, scrubbing experiments on the most volatile test material, Aroclor 1221, were carried out in which the off-gases from the units were passed through a series of three hexane scrubbers during a complete time cycle. Data were obtained at both 0.1 and 0.5 SCFH aeration rates. The data established that at the 0.5 SCFH air flow volatility losses were ~16%, at an aeration rate of 0.1 SCFH the volatility losses were reduced to ~5%, while the overall disappearance rate was essentially the same as that obtained at 0.5 SCFH. This means that the components lost by volatilization are for all practical purposes completely degradable and the disappearance rate (64.0%) obtained represents actual biodegradation.

Since the volatility of the PCBs decreases with increasing level of chlorination, the volatility losses should likewise decrease. From the volatility losses observed for Aroclor 1221, one would not expect such losses to be a significant factor in test disappearance rates for MCS 1043, Aroclor 1016, Aroclor 1242, and Aroclor 1254.

From the data in Table IV and Figures 1-1, 2-1, 3-1, 4-1, it is quite apparent that the disappearance rate data obtained for Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043 during the first sampling period was very erratic. The data obtained during the last sampling period as shown in Table IV and in Figures 1-2, 2-2, 3-2, and 4-2 was a significant improvement. From the latter data, the following ranking in terms of biodegradability can be made:

*Figure not
mathes.*

*We claim to
have proved
A. 1016 = A. 1242
so we can use
our argument
for using A. 1016
instead of A. 1242.*

Aroclor 1221 > MCS 1043 > Aroclor 1016
Aroclor 1242 > Aroclor 1254

In Figure 6, the mean disappearance rate is plotted versus the per cent chlorine in the test compound. The mean per cent disappearance rate for biphenyl was obtained in a previous study (Statistics Special Study 71-2). It is apparent that the disappearance rate decreases with increasing levels of chlorination.

As was previously noted, selected samples from the last sampling period were analyzed by EC-GC to monitor changes in homolog and isomer distribution. In Figure 7, chromatograms for Aroclor 1221 are shown.

The top trace is a chromatogram of an Aroclor 1221 standard representative of the feed material. The center chromatogram is that of a sample taken at the end of the exposure period or aeration cycle. The bottom chromatogram is that of an Aroclor 1242 standard run under the same GC conditions as the other chromatograms. The numbers above each peak indicate the dominant homolog represented by that peak according to GC-mass spectroscopic determination.

NEV 027281

740536

It is important to note that the electron-capture detector does not have the same response for all components. The sensitivity of the detector generally increases as the degree of chlorination increases. From the chromatograms in Figure 7, it is apparent that the dominant monochlorobiphenyl and dichlorobiphenyl components of Aroclor 1221 have almost completely disappeared after a 24-hour cycle, while there is an apparent buildup of the minor higher chlorinated isomers. These minor components, because of the increase in detector sensitivity with chlorination level, are amplified in the chromatograms compared to their true weight per unit basis. Comparison of the extract chromatogram to that of the Aroclor 1242 standard shows that these minor components in Aroclor 1221, which are more resistant to degradation, comprise the major components of Aroclor 1242.

Delete

In Figure 8, similar chromatograms are shown for MCS 1043. Comparison of the extract chromatogram to that of the standard again shows that the lower chlorinated isomers or homologs disappear more rapidly while a buildup of higher chlorinated components occurs.

A. 1016?

In Figures 9 and 10, chromatograms for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1242 extracts and standards are shown. With these more highly chlorinated products, the change in homolog distribution are not as dramatic compared to the lower chlorinated products. The buildup of the more refractory penta- and hexachlorobiphenyl homologs is apparent.

For Aroclor 1254, chromatograms have not been included since no significant change in homolog or isomer distribution was observed during the testing period.

At the conclusion of the final sampling periods for Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1016, and MCS 1043, feeding of the test compound was stopped. The units were then monitored over a period of time to determine how rapidly the residues remaining from the various test compounds disappeared. In Table V, the mg of residue found at various time intervals after the last feeding are shown. As expected, the lower the level of chlorination the more rapidly the residue disappears. For Aroclor 1254, it is apparent that no significant decrease in the residue occurred over the period studied.

Δ mg appears same order of magnitude for both A. 1254 & A. 1242.

TABLE V

Days After Last Feeding of Test Compound	Mg of Residue			
	Aroclor 1254	Aroclor 1242	MCS 1016	MCS 1043
3	5.38 $\Delta = 0.88$	2.62 $\Delta = 0.33$	2.26	1.24
5	4.50 $\Delta = 0.56$	2.29 $\Delta = 0.82$	1.16	1.06
7	4.94	1.47	1.31	0.70
10	7.42 ??	1.76	1.17	0.75

NEV 027282

Residue Studies for Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) in Fish, Birds and Mammals

Introduction

Early in 1969, after confirmation of Jensen's⁶ identification of PCB residues in Swedish birds and fish, Monsanto began initiating a series of Aroclor toxicity-tissue residue studies. The general objective of these studies was to learn more about the environmental behavior and potential effects of PCBs.

As indicated by the title of this appendix, it will deal only with the results of the residue work. Appendix should be consulted for the results of the toxicity work.

The specific objectives of the tissue residue studies with fish, birds and mammals were to learn more about:

1. tissue storage levels as a function of exposure time and exposure level,
2. the character of the PCB residues retained from the various Aroclor products, and
3. the rate at which PCB residues disappear once exposure ceases.

To date, analyses of tissues collected from nine⁷ toxicity-tissue residue studies have been completed. The tissue samples were collected, quick frozen, and shipped by the consultant laboratories to Monsanto Industrial Chemicals Company. Upon receipt, the tissues were analyzed for PCB residues by Monsanto personnel in accordance with Analytical Chemistry Method 71-35.

In the first two tissue collection studies all samples were analyzed. In the subsequent studies, a statistical approach was employed because of the large number of samples. In this manner, both the elapsed time and manpower requirements were minimized with little real loss in either accuracy or precision. The approach involved analysis of a balanced sub-set (~25-30%) of the tissues collected. The data from the balanced sub-set of samples, the appropriate study variables (tissue, exposure period, exposure level, sex, and Aroclor fed), and the most probable variable interactions were then used to generate an interpolation equation via multiple regression analysis. The initial equation was then smoothed by deleting data more than two standard deviations from the predicted values and the variable interaction terms not significant at the 95% confidence level. The final equations were then used to calculate the PCB residue levels in the un-analyzed tissues.

The validity of each of the predicting equations was established in the following manner. The respective residual standard deviations of each equation was compared to those obtained for the equations developed for the two studies in which all samples were analyzed. The 95% confidence limits calculated for these studies from the log of the residual standard deviations indicated that in all studies the actual values determined by analyses would be ~0.5-2 times the predicted values.

Summary and Conclusions

The most significant conclusion which can be drawn from these residue studies is that it supports what has and is being observed via residue analysis of environmental samples. That is to say, that from a "residue viewpoint", the bulk of the PCB isomers which have been released to the environment (PCBs with less than 5 chlorines) are subject to degradation. Since degradation of these PCB isomers can and does occur at significant rates they in turn should not be a long term threat to the higher members of the food chain.

In Figure 11, the observed PCB residue levels for rats and dogs exposed to Aroclor 1221, Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254 and Aroclor 1260 for 90 days is plotted vs the degree of chlorination of the product fed. It graphically illustrates that PCB storage levels decrease exponentially as the degree of chlorination of the product decreases. This exponential decrease simply reflects the refractory PCB content of each product produced via direct chlorination.

Considerable differences were noted in concentration factors as judged by the ratio of the PCB level found in the extractable lipid to the exposure level. Fish were found to be most efficient PCB concentrators followed by chickens, rats and then dogs. The calculated concentration factors observed for Aroclor 1242 are shown in Table VI.

TABLE VI

	Exposure Level (PPM)	Exposure Period	Max. Observed PCB Level in Lipid	Concentration Factor* (ppm?)
Blue Gills	0.1 in wtr.	21 Days	4.0%	400,000
Catfish	0.1 in wtr.	21 Days	3.2%	320,000
White Leghorn Chickens	1.0 in feed	12 Weeks	20 PPM	20
Albino Rats	1.0 in feed	2 Years	9 PPM	9
Beagle Dogs	1.0 in feed	2 Years	2 PPM	2

*NOTE: Factors do not take into account exposure periods

Calculation of concentration factors in this fashion for chickens, rats, and dogs can be misleading. For example, ratio of total PCBs retained to that orally ingested by chickens, rats and dogs is shown in Table VII.

TABLE VII
Test Material - Aroclor 1242

	Exposure Level		
	1 PPM	10 PPM	100 PPM
White Leghorn Chickens	0.11	0.11	0.11
Albino Rats	0.02	0.01	0.003
Beagle Dogs	0.005	0.001	0.0003

NEV 027284

Does this mean that higher the feeding level the less PCB is retained?

Inspection of the ratios illustrates that the higher members of the food chain are in fact inefficient PCB concentrators.

Decrease of PCB residues was noted with both chickens and dogs placed on PCB free recovery diets. The dogs remobilized the residues more rapidly than chickens. In both studies, the residue levels fell more rapidly the lower the degree of chlorination of the product fed.

Figure 12 illustrates this point. In it the % total PCBs accumulated in the lipid is plotted vs the recovery periods for the Two Year Beagle Dog Study with Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, and Aroclor 1260.

Figures 13-22 are bar graphs constructed from electron capture gas chromatograms of the product fed and the isolated PCB residues. The bar graphs were constructed by normalization to a convenient peak present in each of the chromatograms being compared. The numbers above each bar indicate the dominant homolog or homologs as determined by mass spectrometry. In no case were the residue fingerprints observed to be a function of the exposure level.

Figures 13-15 compare the distributions observed for residues isolated from fish, chickens, rats and dogs exposed to Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, and Aroclor 1260. Inspection of these figures demonstrates that fish absorbed Aroclor 1242 without altering the isomer distribution. Dogs were found to be most effective at selectively degrading the Aroclor products followed by rats and the chickens. The figures also indicate that, with minor exceptions, the lower chlorinated isomers present in each product are more easily degraded.

Figures 16-18 illustrate the make up of the residues isolated from dogs after 2 years of continuous exposure followed by 30 and 60 day recovery periods on PCB free diets. Inspection of these figures reveals that the bulk of the isomers observed in the dogs sacrificed after two years of continuous exposure rapidly degraded once exposure ceases.

Figures 19-21 illustrate the alterations observed when chickens exposed to these three Aroclor products for 12 weeks were placed on recovery diets for 30 days. Although the degradation was less extensive than observed with dogs, the same general trends were noted.

Significant In Figure 22, the isomer distribution of Aroclor 1242 and the residues isolated from rats fed Aroclor 1242 and Aroclor 1016 for 90 days are shown. Although the difference in the total % chlorine in these products is negligible the isomer distribution of the residues are significantly different. This difference can be explained by the fact that the higher chlorinated biphenyl content of Aroclor 1016 relative to Aroclor 1242 has been reduced by a factor of twenty.

The actual residue levels as determined by analysis and interpolation are shown in Tables VIII - XXXII.

NEV 027285

740540

REFERENCES

1. Bagley, G. E., Riechel, P., and Cromarite, E., J. of AOAC 53 (1970) 252.

Armour, J. A., and Burke, J. A., JAOAC, 53 (1970) 761.

Zitko, V., Bull. Environ. Contamin. Toxicol., 6 (1971) 464.

Reynolds, L. M., Residue Reviews, 34 (1971) 27.

Prestt, I., Jefferies, D. J., and Moore, N. W., Environ. Pollut. 1 (1970) 3.

Tas, A. C., deVos, R. H., Environmental Science and Technology, 5 #12 (1971) 1216.

Duke, T. W., Lowe, J. I. and Wilson, A. J., Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 5 (1970) 171.
2. Primary Bacterial Degradation: "Minimum alteration of the chemical structure of the material in question to an extent that the characteristic properties of the original material are no longer evident".
3. Biodegradation Subcommittee. JAOCS 42, 986 (1965); JAOCS 46, 432 (1969).
4. Synthetic Sewage: 30g. dextrose, 20g. nutrient broth, and 13g. dipotassium hydrogen phosphate in one liter of water.
5. Swisher, R. D., Surfactant Biodegradation, Marcel Dekker, Inc., N.Y. (1970) pp 173, 175-186.
6. Jensen, S. (1966) "Report of a New Chemical Hazard", New Scientist (32) 612.
7. IBT B7009 30-Day Tissue Collection Study In Albino Rats with Aroclors

IBT B7298 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1260 in Albino Rats

IBT P7297 Three-Generation Reproduction Study in Albino Rats with Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1260

IBT 9888C 90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor 1016, 9888D Aroclor 1221 in Albino Rats

IBT C7299 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1260 in Beagle Dogs

IBT 9887 90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor 1016, 9885 Aroclor 1221 in Beagle Dogs

7. Continued

IBT J7300 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1260 in White Leghorn Chickens

IBT 8746 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor 1242, Lot #AK-255 in White Leghorn Chickens

The following study was carried out at Bionomics, Inc. of Wareham, Massachusetts.


Acute Toxicity of Aroclor 1016, Aroclor 1242, and DDT to Bluegill and Channel Catfish During 21 Days Continuous Exposure to the Chemicals in Water.

NEV 027287

740542

SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

AROCLOR 1254




Mean = -29.0 ± 58

740543


Elapsed Time (Days) after Start of Test

NEV 027288



% Disappearance Rate



SCAS BIODIFFUSION DATA
AROCIOR 1254





AROCLO 1242




SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

AROCLO 1242



SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

MCS 1016



740547



Mean = 3.0 ± 1.0

Elapsed Time (Days) after Start of Test

NEV 027292

SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

MCS 1016





740548

Elapsed Time (Days) after Start of Test

NEV 027293

SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA



MCS 1043



740549

SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

MCS 1043



740550

SCAS BIODEGRADATION DATA

AROCLOR 1221





FIGURE 6

**SEMI-CONTINUOUS ACTIVATED SLUDGE (SCAS)
DEGRADATION OF POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS**



740552

NEV 027297


Aroclor 1221 Standard



Aroclor 1221 Sludge Extract
at End of 24-Hr. Aeration Cycle

Aroclor 1242 Standard

NEV 027298

740553





0
Minutes

NEV 027299

740554

MCS 1016 Standard

MCS 1016 Sludge Extract
at End of 48-Hr. Aeration Cycle


FIGURE 7

NEV 027300

740555

Minutes

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10



Aroclor 1242 Standard

Aroclor 1242 Sludge Extract
at End of 48-Hr. Aeration Cycle

NEV 027301

740556

Minutes

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10





FIGURE 11



740557

NEV 027302

FIGURE 12

Two Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor 1242, Aroclor 1254
and Aroclor 1260 with Beagle Dogs








FIGURE 13



NEV 027304

FIGURE 14












FIGURE 15



740561

NEV 027306

FIGURE 16




740562


NEV 027307

FIGURE 17


Aroclor 1254
Standard




Dog Residue
24 Months



Dog Residue
30 Day Recovery







Dog Residue
60 Day Recovery



NEV 027308

740563


FIGURE 18




740564

NEV 027309


Aroclor 1242
Standard



Chicken Residue
12 Week






Chicken Residue
30 Day Recovery






740565

NEV 027310

FIGURE 20




NEV 027311




740567

NEV 027312



Aroclor 1242
Standard



Aroclor 1242
90 Day Rat Residue



Aroclor 1016
90 Day Rat Residue



Blank
out

NEV 027313

TABLE XIII

IBT #B7009 30-Day Tissue Collection Study in Albino Rats with Aroclors
ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	30 Day Sacrifice				Sacrifice Exposure Level	
		300 ppm		1000 ppm		♂	♀
		♂	♀	♂	♀		
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	5	12	17	29		
	Kidney	1	2	5	2		
	Liver	3	5	10	17		
	Fat	—	276	377	412		
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	56	36	271	167		
	Kidney	8	13	47	156		
	Liver	57	54	176	227		
	Fat	695	660	4660	3430		
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	82	136	266	555		
	Kidney	29	16	72	91		
	Liver	126	125	200	206		
	Fat	2130	2035	9190	11970		

TABLE XIV

IBT#B7009 30 Day Tissue Collection Study in Albino Rats with Aroclors
ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	30 Day Sacrifice				Sacrifice
		300 ppm		1000 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	37	58	736	855	
	Kidney	12	28	276	146	
	Liver	61	220	753	793	
	Fat	—	395	816	722	
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	1396	1274	7735	6202	
	Kidney	655	1895	3325	12972	
	Liver	2370	3358	5318	6678	
	Fat	1094	1289	7208	7544	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	2470	4849	8879	24111	
	Kidney	2056	2671	5181	7579	
	Liver	3808	6226	6263	10300	
	Fat	3061	3430	13715	19088	

TABLE XV

IBT #B7009 30 Day Tissue Collection Study in Albino Rats with Aroclors

Predicted ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Aroclor	Tissue	30 Day Sacrifice				Sacrifice	
		300 ppm		1000 ppm		Exposure Level	
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀
1242	Muscle	44	83	608	821		
	Liver	105	199	765	1033		
	Fat	105	199	765	1033		
1254	Muscle	721	1239	5602	6859		
	Liver	1145	1997	4694	5748		
	Fat	1145	1997	4694	5748		
1260	Muscle	2921	4779	17004	19823		
	Liver	3784	6190	11630	13557		
	Fat	3784	6190	11630	13557		

Regression Equation - $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = -0.9861 - 0.2309 (\text{if muscle}) + 0.1855 (\% \text{Cl}_2)$
 $+ 0.3329 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.1124 (\text{if female}) + 0.1471 (\% \text{Cl}_2 \text{ if muscle}) + 0.5306$
 $(\log \text{ ppm fed if muscle}) - 0.3994 (\log \text{ ppm fed} \times \% \text{Cl}_2) - 0.3552 (\% \text{Cl}_2 \text{ if female}) - 0.2815$
 $(\log \text{ ppm fed, if female})$

NEV 027316

740571

IBT # B7298 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats

TABLE XVI

ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	1 ppm			10 ppm			100 ppm			Exposure Level
		3 mo.	12 mo.	24 mo.	3 mo.	12 mo.	24 mo.	3 mo.	12 mo.	24 mo.	
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	0.7	1	1.8	1.4	6	14	5	33	73	Sacrifice
	Liver	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.6	1	0.9	5	5	
	Fat	6	7	6	12	30	43	60	144	220	
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	2	2	13	8	131	98	46	94	352	
	Liver	0.1	0.4	1.2	1	23	6	15	24	133	
	Fat	6	19	45	75	632	315	1208	794	1533	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	6	8	15	8	50	116	10	419	785	
	Liver	0.2	1	0.8	2	3	5	18	53	107	
	Fat	28	56	50	417	224	298	482	2000	4447	

740572

NEV 027317

TABLE XVII

IBT # B7298 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats

ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	1 ppm			10 ppm			100 ppm			Exposure Level
		3 mo.	12 mo	24 mo	3 mo.	12 mo	24 mo	3 mo	12 mo	24 mo.	Sacrifice
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	8	6	5	16	38	74	76	236	239	
	Liver	11	16	18	26	40	52	77	241	234	
	Fat	6	8	9	13	32	47	67	151	227	
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	10	11	38	96	721 (196)	389	756 (800)	1092	2164	
	Liver	18	24	82	115	914 (292)	307	1137 (2000)	869	1810 (4800)	
	Fat	6	19	55	76	688 (231)	348	329 (900)	860	1894	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	16	47	44	60	259	436	146 (689)	2247	5154	
	Liver	20	50	56	102	189	442	1677	1752	6310	
	Fat	32	60	62	138	262	354	866	2143	5060	

() - Smoothed data, used to develop second regression equation

TABLE XVIII

IBT#87298 Two Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats

Predicted ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	1 ppm			10 ppm			100 ppm			Exposure Level
		3mo	12mo	24mo	3mo	12mo	24mo	3mo	12mo	24mo	Sacrifice
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	5	8	10	20	32	45	77	133	206	
	Liver	8	12	15	30	48	68	115	199	307	
	Fat	5	8	10	20	32	45	77	133	206	
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	11	21	36	81	163	305	584	1264	2611	
	Liver	17	31	53	121	242	455	870	1884	3893	
	Fat	11	21	36	81	163	305	584	1264	2611	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	16	30	51	116	234	439	840	1819	3758	
	Liver	19	36	62	140	281	527	1008	2182	4509	
	Fat	20	38	65	147	296	554	1061	2297	4746	

Regression Equation $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = 3.3550 - 1.3440 (\text{if } 1242) - 0.3696$
 $(\text{if } 1254) + 0.2881 (\text{if liver}) + 0.8936 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.0626 (\text{time} - 12)$
 $- 0.2761 (\log \text{ ppm fed if } 1242) - 0.0246 (\text{time} - 12 \text{ if } 1242)$

NEV 027319

TABLE XIX

IBT #P7297 Three-Generation Reproduction Study in Albino Rats with Aroclor

ppm PCB in Rat Pups (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	21 Day Sacrifice			Sacrifice
	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1242	0.2	4	32	
Aroclor 1254	2	16	155	
Aroclor 1260	1	8	104	

ppm PCB in Rat Pups (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	21 Day Sacrifice			Sacrifice
	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1242	67	78	578	
Aroclor 1254	48	170	2328	
Aroclor 1260	21	213	2371	

TABLE XX

IBT # 9888C
9888D

90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats

ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1016	Muscle	14	4	20	15	8	5	
	Liver	5	1	10	16	4	0.5	
	Fat	24	27	138	193	6	88	

Material		90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	0.5	0.4	3	1	3	2	
	Liver	0.06	0.1	0.06	0.5	0.2	2	
	Fat	2	4	16	5	36	50	

TABLE XXI

IBT # 9888 C 90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats
9888 D

ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	90-Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice	Exposure Level	Sex
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm				
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀			
Aroclor 1016	Muscle	28	40	175	16000	4501	4272			
	Liver	160	43	160	376	68	11			
	Fat	30	34	175	294	7	115			

Material	Tissue	90 - Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	5	5	29	18	64	74	
	Liver	3	7	4	22	10	65	
	Fat	3	5	19	5	42	56	

NEV 027322

740577

TABLE XXII

IBT #9888C
9888D

90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Albino Rats

Predicted ppm PCB in Rat Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	* 90-Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1016	Muscle							
	Liver							
	Fat							

Material	Tissue	90-Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	5	5	19	19	76	76	
	Liver	2	8	5	22	14	60	
	Fat	5	5	13	13	36	36	

* Calculation of meaningful regression equation not possible, inconsistent data attributed to sample handling problems.

1221 Regression Equation $\log \text{ppm PCB} = 0.6689 + 0.4427 (\log \text{ppm fed}) - 0.4186 (\text{if liver})$
 $+ 0.1628 (\log \text{ppm fed if muscle}) + 0.6404 (\text{if female liver})$

TABLE XXIII

IBT #C7299 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	1 ppm						10 ppm						100 ppm						Exposure Level
		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		Sacrifice
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.06			0.3	0.2		0.2		0.04	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5		
	Liver		0.08	0.3	0.04		0.04	0.2	0.1		0.1	0.05		0.6		0.4	0.3			
	Fat	2	2	1	0.5	0.5		1	1		2	1	2	4	4	9	2			
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	0.3	0.08	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.7	0.3		0.7			4	3				3	
	Liver	0.1	0.2		0.2			0.4	0.7			0.5		2	2	1				
	Fat	4	3	2			2	5	4		18			95	73				34	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	0.2	0.4		1		0.2	1	0.4		6		3	26	10		34	26		
	Liver	0.2	0.1	0.1			0.3	2	0.7	2	1	0.9	1	11	4		2	9		
	Fat	11	14		14		4	101	31	40	47		62	936	221		352	173		

NEV 027324

740579

TABLE XXIV

IBT #17299 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	1 ppm						10 ppm						100 ppm						Exposure Level	Sacrifice	Sex	
		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.					
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀				
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	2	2	1	2			6	3							1	19	20	10	10	6		
	Liver		4	11	2			2	8	8		9	4		29		23	18					
	Fat	2	3	1	1	0.6		1	2		2	2	2	6	7	13	3						
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	10	4	4		2	2	18	18		9				61	95							32
	Liver	8	6		9			23	40			43		110	130	111							
	Fat	5	3	3			2	6	10		22			128	132							42	
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	9	7		8		3	78	20		66			37	79	100			491	301			
	Liver	17	13	11			21	175	43	112	135	55	120	762	268			81	69				
	Fat	14	18		20		5	159	39	54	72		78	1261	287			459	238				

NEV 027325

740580

TABLE XXV

IBT#C7299 Two-Year Chronic Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

Predicted ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	/ ppm						10 ppm						100 ppm						Exposure Level	Sacrifice	Sex
		24 mo		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.		24 mo.		30 d.		60 d.				
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀			
Aroclor 1242	Muscle	2	2	1	1	0.7	0.7	5	4	4	3	2	2	20	10	9	6	6	4			
	Liver	3	3	2	2	1	1	10	8	7	5	4	4	28	18	18	12	11	8			
	Fat	2	2	1	1	0.7	0.7	5	4	4	3	2	2	20	10	9	6	6	4			
Aroclor 1254	Muscle	5	5	4	4	3	3	24	20	20	16	16	13	121	80	100	65	80	54			
	Liver	10	10	8	8	6	6	47	38	39	31	33	26	238	156	195	128	157	103			
	Fat	5	5	4	4	3	3	24	20	20	16	16	13	121	80	100	65	80	54			
Aroclor 1260	Muscle	8	8	8	8	7	7	54	44	50	40	47	38	355	233	333	217	308	202			
	Liver	16	16	14	14	14	14	106	84	98	80	91	74	688	457	654	426	605	397			
	Fat	8	8	8	8	7	7	54	44	50	40	47	38	355	233	333	217	308	202			

Regression Equation - $\log \text{ppm PCB} = -1.3450 - 0.0190 (\text{day recovery}) - 0.3888 (\log \text{ppm fed}) + 0.2929 (\text{if liver}) + 0.0376 (\% \text{Cl}_2) + 0.0202 (\log \text{ppm fed} \times \% \text{Cl}_2) - 0.0917 (\log \text{ppm fed} - \text{if female}) + 0.0003 (\text{day recovery} \times \% \text{Cl}_2)$

TABLE XXVI

IBT # 9887
9885

90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice Exposure Level	Sex
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm			
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀		
Aroclor 1016	Muscle	0.3	0.06	2	0.3	7	1		
	Liver	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	1	0.2		
	Fat	8	1	9	3	24	4		

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		10 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	0.04	0.04	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	
	Liver	0.07	0.02	0.2	0.1	0.02	0.2	
	Fat	0.7	0.8	5	3	8	9	

NEV 027327

740582

TABLE XXVII

IBT#9887
9885 90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1016	Muscle	8	1	30	5	69	20	
	Liver	10	6	27	10	90	18	
	Fat	11	2	13	8	36	7	

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		10 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	0.8	0.8	2	5	27	7	
	Liver	6	2	20	10	1	18	
	Fat	0.9	1	6	6	11	12	

IBT #9887
9885

TABLE XXVIII

90-Day Subacute Oral Toxicity Study of Aroclor in Beagle Dogs

Predicted ppm PCB in Dog Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		30 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm		Exposure Level
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	Sex
Aroclor 1016	Muscle	8	2	20	5	48	12	
	Liver	13	4	35	9	82	22	
	Fat	8	2	20	5	48	12	

Material	Tissue	90 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice Exposure Level	Sex
		10 ppm		100 ppm		300 ppm			
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀		
Aroclor 1221	Muscle	1	1	5	5	11	11		
	Liver	5	2	25	10	60	21		
	Fat	1	1	5	5	11	11		

1016 Regression Equation $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = -0.2759 + 0.7885 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.2382$
(if liver) $- 0.5815$ (if female)

1221 Regression Equation $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = -0.7730 + 0.7299 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.7178$
(if liver) $- 0.4252$ (if female liver)

TABLE XXIX

IBT #17300 Toxicity Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

	Residue Sacrifice			30 Day Chick Sacrifice			1 ppm				10 ppm				100 ppm				Final Sacrifice			
	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	100 ppm
Ancho 1242	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	30 Day Recovery Period												♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀
	0.06		2	0.07	0.05														0.06	0.1		5
		2		0.4	0.2														0.7	2		45
	26 17		1430/1231																4			8
Ancho 1254		5	38								3	0.6	0.5		26	30	19	24				
		0.9	0.7																	0.1		
		0.8																		0.9		27
																					73	
Ancho 1260															68	75	36					
	0.7		132												2	0.5	0.4			0.04		2
		0.2																			13	
																						104
Ancho 1260	153 15		6235/1157																10			
	1	13					1	0.7			13	8										

TABLE XXX

IBT #J7300 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

		Residue Sacrifice						30 Day Chick Sacrifice						1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	Final Sacrifice					
		1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm		1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm		1 ppm				10 ppm				100 ppm				1 ppm		10 ppm		100 ppm	
		♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀	30 Day Recovery Period												♂	♀	♂	♀	♂	♀
Aroclor 1242	Muscle		13				901	9	12																	8		30		699	
	Liver				52			14	12																	10		28		1500	
	Fat	30	22			2460	1310																			4				9	
	Eggs			37		472										33	5	5					383	361	247	368					
Aroclor 1254	Muscle			517	240			2																				61			
	Liver		18				1475	4																		7				1529	
	Fat			892	199																							78			
	Eggs	10				1410								14	6	5									1324	626	832				
Aroclor 1260	Muscle		40				6080	5	125																		13				827
	Liver				86			6	6																			58			
	Fat	183	16			7580	1308																				71			1084	
	Eggs	12		146										13	7			135	71												

NEW 027331

TABLE XXXI

IBT #J7300 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

Predicted ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

	Residue Sacrifice			30 Day Chick Sacrifice			30 Day Recovery Period								Final Sacrifice		
	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1st wk	2nd wk	3rd wk	4th wk	1 ppm	10 ppm	100 ppm
	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀									♂ ♀	♂ ♀	♂ ♀
Andar 1242	20	12	196	119	69	1104	1152								10	6	92
Liver	12	7	114	69	69	1104	669								12	7	104
Fat	20	12	196	119	119	1899	1152								10	6	92
Eggs	3	40	498					2	0.9	0.6	0.4	25	16	10	7		
Andar 1254	68	22	657	213	6368	2041									26	8	244
Liver	34	11	326	106	3463	1027									25	8	243
Fat	68	22	657	213	6368	2041									26	8	244
Eggs	7	92	1141					6	5	4	3	80	66	54	44	1007	881
Andar 1260	124	29	1116	284	11645	2762									42	10	379
Liver	57	24	552	131	5366	1275									42	10	366
Fat	124	29	1116	284	11645	2762									42	10	379
Eggs	11	140	1728					12	13	14	15	137	135	133	131	1543	1270

Chicken Regression Equation $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = -0.5311 + 0.9858 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.7344 (\text{if female}) + 0.0438 (\% \text{ Cl}_2)$
 $+ 0.2908 (\text{if rec. liver}) - 0.0056 (\% \text{ Cl}_2) \text{ if liver} - 0.0078 (\% \text{ Cl}_2) \text{ if rec.} - 0.0226$
 $(\% \text{ Cl}_2) \text{ if female}$

Egg Regression Equation $\log \text{ ppm PCB} = -0.7478 - 1.1794 (\text{rec. time}) + 1.0920 (\log \text{ ppm fed}) + 0.0300 (\% \text{ Cl}_2) + 0.5316 (\text{rec. time} \times \log \text{ ppm fed} \times \% \text{ Cl}_2)$

Chick Regression Equation - insufficient data

IBT # 8746 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

NEV 027333

IBT #8746 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

1974 114 01 - 1 - 1 1974 114 01 - 1 - 1
1974 114 01 - 1 - 1 1974 114 01 - 1 - 1

TABLE XXXIV

IBT #8746 Toxicity, Reproduction and Residue Study of Aroclor in White Leghorn Chickens

Predicted ppm PCB in Chicken Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

	Residue Sacrifice				30 Day Chick Sacrifice				Final Sacrifice									
	2 ppm	4 ppm	8 ppm	8 ppm	2 ppm	4 ppm	8 ppm	8 ppm	2 ppm	4 ppm	8 ppm	2 ppm	4 ppm	8 ppm	2 ppm	4 ppm	8 ppm	
Muscle	8½ g	8½ g	8½ g	8½ g	7	9	11											
	31	62	123															
Liver	18	36	71	15	15	15	15											
	31	62	123	6	6	6												
Fat	7	15	32															
	4	2	1	0.5	8	5	5	1	19	12	7	4						

TABLE XXXV

Bionomics Inc. Acute Toxicity of Aroclor to Bluegill and Channel Catfish

% PCB in Fish Tissues (Wet Weight Basis)

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice	
			0.02 ppm	0.04 ppm	0.06 ppm	0.11 ppm	0.18 ppm	0.30 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1016	Bluegill		0.01	0.005	0.007	0.04	0.04		
	Channel Catfish		0.01	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.1	0.2	

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice	
		0.014 ppm	0.023 ppm	0.038 ppm	0.064 ppm	0.108 ppm	0.180 ppm	0.300 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1242	Bluegill	0.004	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.07			
	Channel Catfish	0.009	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.2	0.3	0.3	

NEV 027336

740591

TABLE XXXVI

Bionomics Inc. Acute Toxicity of Aroclor to Bluegill and Channel Catfish

% PCB in Fish Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		0.02 ppm	0.04 ppm	0.06 ppm	0.11 ppm	0.18 ppm	0.30 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1016	Bluegill	0.8	0.5	0.7	3.8	3.2		
	Channel Catfish	0.8	0.3	3.8	3.3	5.3	7.5	

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice
		0.014 ppm	0.023 ppm	0.039 ppm	0.064 ppm	0.108 ppm	0.180 ppm	0.300 ppm
Aroclor 1242	Bluegill	0.5	0.8	1.6	1.6	5.6		
	Channel Catfish	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0	4.2	5.6	6.6

NEV 027337

740592

TABLE XXXVII

Bionnaces Inc. Acute Toxicity of Aroclor to Bluegill and Channel Catfish

Predicted % PCB in Fish Tissues (Lipid Weight Basis)

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice	
		0.02 ppm	0.04 ppm	0.06 ppm	0.11 ppm	0.18 ppm	0.30 ppm	Exposure Level	
Aroclor 1016	Bluegill	0.5	0.9	1.6	3.0	5.6			
	Channel Catfish	0.3	0.6	1.2	2.4	4.6	9.0		

Material	Species	21 Day Sacrifice						Sacrifice	
		0.014 ppm	0.023 ppm	0.038 ppm	0.064 ppm	0.108 ppm	0.180 ppm	0.300 ppm	Exposure Level
Aroclor 1242	Bluegill	0.5	0.8	1.3	2.3	4.0			
	Channel Catfish	0.3	0.5	0.9	1.7	3.2	5.8	10.6	

Regression Equation $\log \% \text{ PCB} = 1.6253 + 1.0635 (\log \text{ ppm PCB in H}_2\text{O}) + 0.1063$
 (1.2 ppm PCB in H₂O if channel catfish) + 0.1232 (log ppm PCB in H₂O if 1016)

Table 7

Biodegradability of Different Chlorinated Biphenyls

- Semi Continuous Activated Sludge Test, 2×10^8 cells/cc -
(observations after preconditioning sludge to Aroclor tested)

<u>Aroclor</u>	<u>% Chlorine</u>	<u>% Disappearance in 48 hrs</u>
Monochlorobiphenyl	18.5	100
1221	21	88
MCS 1043	30	55
MCS 1016	41	35*
1242	42	25
Distillate of MCS 1016	51	15
1254		15
DDT		<10
Linear Alkyl Sulfonate-Standard		100

* Noted concentrating of 4-, 5-, and 6- chlorinated isomers

- Single Cultures, C-2 and C-3, with specific isomers (Europe). -
Both cultures have approximately the same effect..

<u>Chlorinated Biphenyl</u>	<u>Time for 50% Disappearance</u>
di and tri	~26 days
tetra	~30 days

% COMPOSITION OF POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL PRODUCTS*

<u>HOMOLOG</u> <u># C1/BIPHENYL</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1221</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1016</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1242</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1254</u>
0	10.8	-	-	-
1	51.0	1.0	0.7	-
2	32.0	19.4	15.6	0.2
3	4.2	64.5	54.5	0.6
4	1.6	15.0	22.5	20.2
5+	0.3	0.2	6.7	79.0

*PER CENT (W/W) BY GC/MASS

NEV 027340

740595

RESIDUE STUDY OF AROCLOR 1242 IN BEAGLE DOGS

TWO YEAR CHRONIC ORAL EXPOSURE

ORAL EXPOSURE LEVEL	PPM PCBs*			HOMOLOG DISTRIBUTION									
	1	10	100	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
RESIDUE, IF TOTAL FED RETAINED	519	5186	51865	-	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	6	-	-	-	-
RESIDUE, 2 YEAR EXPOSURE	2	6	12	-	-	(3)	(4)	5	6	(7)	(8)	-	-
RESIDUE, 30 DAY RECOVERY	1	4	10	-	-	-	-	5	(6)	(7)	(8)	-	-
RESIDUE, 60 DAY RECOVERY	0.8	3	6	-	-	-	-	5	6	(7)	(8)	-	-

*AVERAGE PPM IN LIPID - ALL TISSUES

() ELECTRON CAPTURE PEAKS GREATER THAN 5% OF TOTAL

NEV 027341
740596

OBJECTIVES

1. Progress report on laboratory biodegradation of PCBs.
2. Residue accumulation studies in fish, birds and mammals.
3. To show reduction in less biodegradable PCBs as a result of Monsanto actions on sales and products.
4. Progress report on Monsanto PCB plant effluent.

OBJECTIVES

1. Progress report on laboratory biodegradation of PCBs.
2. Residue accumulation studies in fish, birds and mammals.
3. To show reduction in less biodegradable PCBs as a result of Monsanto actions on sales and products.

MONSANTO PCB ACTIONS

1. Phase-out of PCB sales worldwide in all applications except Dielectrics.
2. Conversion of Dielectric Fluids to less biodegradable PCBs.

NEV 027344

740599

LESS BIODEGRADABLE PCB

Based on data presented:

- Less biodegradable PCB defined as Pentachloro- and higher PCB
- Pentachloro- and higher PCB determined by specific GLC/MS Test Method
- Pentachloro- and higher PCB are not totally non-biodegradable.

NEV 027345

740600

MONSANTO PCB SALES IN U.S.A.

(Million Pounds)

	<u>Dielectrics</u>	<u>All Others</u>	<u>Total</u>
1969	37.0	30.0	67.0
1970	40.5	33.5	74.0
1972 (Est.)	34.0	-	34.0

NEV 027346

740601

EFFECT OF PHASE-OUT POLICY

PCB sales reduced in U. S. A. by
30 to 33 million pounds,
or 45%.

NEV 027347

740602

PCB PRODUCT CHANGES IN DIELECTRICS

CAPACITORS

97% conversion to Aroclor 1016

TRANSFORMERS

Elimination of Aroclor 1260

NEV 027348

740603

PCBs USED IN CAPACITORS

<u>PCB</u>	<u>Major Use Period</u>	<u>% Less Biodegradable PCB</u>
Aroclor 1254	1930-1952	77.0
Aroclor 1242	1952-Sept. 1971	9.0
Aroclor 1016	Oct. 1971-	1.0

NEV 027349

740604

Capacitor Fluids

Why Convert To Aroclor 1016?

1. 9-fold reduction in less biodegradable PCBs.
2. Minimum industry testing required.

NEV 027350

740605

Capacitor Fluids

What Is The Effect of Aroclor 1016?

U.S. Capacitor Fluid Sales (1972) : ~ 20 M Lbs.

<u>If Aroclor Was</u>	<u>% Less Biodegradable PCBs Would Be</u>
1254	15,400,000 pounds
1242	1,800,000
1016	200,000*

²⁰⁰
*This does not mean 200M lb. less biodegradable
PCBs enter environment.

NEV 027351

740606

PCBs Present In Askarel Transformer Fluids

	<u>% Less Biodegradable PCBs</u>	<u>Major Use Period</u>
Aroclor 1260	100	1930-1972
Aroclor 1254	77	1969-
Aroclor 1242	9	1968-

740607

NEV 027352

PCB Changes In Transformer Fluids

1. Aroclor 1260 being phased out now.
2. Aroclor 1016 cannot be used.
3. Incineration of scrap PCBs - 1971.
4. PCBs used in tightly sealed systems.

TYPICAL % COMPOSITION OF POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL PRODUCTS*

<u>HOMOLOG</u> <u># Cl/BIPHENYL</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1221</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1016</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1242</u>	<u>AROCLOR</u> <u>1254</u>
0	11	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
1	51	1	1	<0.1
2	32	20	16	<0.5
3	4	57	49	1
4	2	21	25	21
5	<0.5	1	8	48
6	ND	<0.1	1	23
7	ND	ND	<0.1	6
8	ND	ND	ND	ND

*PER CENT (W/W) BY GC/MASS USING AREA CORRECTION FACTORS
BY HOMOLOG RESPONSE

NONE DETECTED, <0.01% = ND

740609
NEV 027354