

JOSEPH E. HADLEY, JR.
ATTORNEY AT LAW

RECEIVED

JUN 07 1984

Environmental Affairs

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: CMA-VDC Panel
FROM: Joseph E. Hadley, Jr.
DATE: June 4, 1984
RE: Vinylidene Chloride - Transcript of SAB Proceedings

Enclosed please find a copy of Page 149 which was inadvertently left out of the transcript of EPA's Science Advisory Board - Environmental Health Committee meeting held on April 27, 1984. Please insert this page into the transcript we mailed to you on May 16, 1984.

gnl

Enclosure

Joe Hadley

SL 062961

EPA

4/27/84

JUN 1 1984

LaRoe, Winn & Moerman

1 measurements, encompassing days, and extensive numbers of
2 days, down to measurements which encompass one hour.

3 We're not told how many measurements are in each
4 of those. But we are told that some of these are grab
5 measurements, and I assume that some of them are integrated
6 measurements.

7 The authors have put a quality on each of these
8 measurements to find, at the bottom of the table, one is
9 excellent, two is good, three is acceptable, four is
10 questionable. And we're not sure what that means, either.

11 But, they then arbitrarily, for the purposes of
12 translating these measurements to table 7-2, average all data
13 with a rating of three or lower to come up with exposure
14 estimates by rural, remote, urban, suburban, or source areas.

15 And they do tell us in that table how many
16 measurements were involved. Now, if you compare table 1 and
17 table 2, there are just very, very few categories of high
18 numbers.

19 And these tend to be one day measurements. Due
20 to the ratings of three or lower from table 7-1, those
21 numbers have been carried forward. In other words, they've used the
22 base of the quality of the data rather than the base of time. So that
23 numbers that may represent very short duration are going to have an extra-
24 ordinary effect on the outcome because of their high numerical value. And
25 they have been, based on their rating of quality, given them all equal
weight.