

UAH-CENCOGEN: Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of no Significant Impact

June 17, 1986.

		Project No.		Project No.
UAH-CENCOGEN.....		9159-000	Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT.....	9648-000
Loree Nelson.....		9718-000	Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT.....	9649-000
Aquenergy Systems, Inc.....		2428-001	Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT.....	9650-000
The City of Nashville, Arkansas and the City of Broken Bow, Oklahoma.....		3657-001	In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), has reviewed the applications for major and minor licenses (or exemptions) listed below and has assessed the environmental impacts of the proposed developments.	
Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority.....		3865-003		
John A. Dodson.....		7656-001		
ESI Hydropower Co. Inc.....		7887-001		
Rivers Electric Co. Inc.....		8289-001		
Prodek, Incorporated.....		8517-001		
Robert Packrell.....		8646-001		

Project No.	Project name	State	Water body	Nearest town or county	Applicant
Exemptions					
9159-000	West Delaware Tunnel	NY	West Delaware Tunnel	Neversink	UAH-CENCOGEN
9718-000	South Fork Deep Creek	MT	South Fork Deep Creek	Livingston	Loree Nelson
Licenses					
2428-001	Piedmont	SC	Saluda River	Piedmont	Aquenergy Systems, Inc. The City of Nashville, Arkansas and the City of Broken Bow, Oklahoma. Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority. John A. Dodson.
3657-001	Pine Creek Dam	OK	Pine Creek Dam	Wright City	
3865-003	Canyon Dam	TX	Guadalupe River	New Braunfels, Highlands Falls	ESI Hydropower Co., Inc. Rivers Electric Co. Prodek, Incorporated. Robert Packrell.
7656-001	Buttermilk Falls	NY	Buttermilk Falls Brooks	Marlborough	
7887-001	Minnewawa	NH	Minnewawa Brook	Tuxedo Park	
8289-001	Tuxedo Falls	NY	Ramapo River	Mancos	
8517-001	Jackson Gulch	CO	West Mancos River	Preston	
8646-001	Mink Creek	ID	Mink Creek	Springfield	Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT. Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT. Westinghouse Electric Corporation and Town of Springfield, VT.
9648-000	Fellows Dam	VT	Black River	Springfield	
9649-000	Lovejoy Dam	VT	Black River	Springfield	
9650-000	Gilman Dam	VT	Black River	Springfield	

Environmental assessments (EA's) were prepared for the above proposed projects. Based on independent analyses of the above actions as set forth in the EA's, the Commission's staff concludes that these projects would not have significant effects on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, environmental impact statements for these projects will not be prepared. Copies of the EA's are available for review in the Commission's Division of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-13997 Filed 6-19-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP86-514-000, et al.]

Northwest Central Pipeline Corp., et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Northwest Central Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP86-514-000]

June 18, 1986.

Take notice that on May 28, 1986, Northwest Central Pipeline Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP86-514-000 a request pursuant to

§157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to replace The Kansas Power and Light Company (KPL Gas Service) Southridge town border setting and appurtenant facilities and to replace approximately 0.3 mile of 16-inch lateral pipeline with a 12-inch pipeline in Wyandotte County, Kansas, under the certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to section 7 of the National Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that due to gradual load increase since the town border setting was installed in 1931, such setting is no longer adequate. The new facilities would allow for additional growth and increased operating flexibility. The current volume of deliveries through the facilities is 752,265 Mcf annually with a peak day requirement of 7,126 Mcf. Any increase in deliveries through the proposed facilities would have a minimal impact on annual and peak day deliveries. Applicant states that it would abandon by reclaim approximately 0.1 mile of the obsolete 16-inch lateral and to abandon in place approximately 0.2 miles of the 16-inch lateral. Applicant further states that the 0.2 mile of 16-inch lateral proposed to be abandoned in place would be used as casing for the 12-inch pipeline, thus reducing overall construction damage.

Applicant states that it makes sales to KPL Gas Service under its F. C. and I rate schedules and under an underlying service agreement which provide that Applicant will supply all of the requirements of KPL Gas Service. Applicant asserts that the total volumes to be delivered to KPL Gas Service under the requested authorization would not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. It is stated that KPL Gas Service has agreed to the proposed replacements of the existing facilities.

The total cost to reclaim the town border setting and the 0.3 mile of pipeline is \$9,600 with an estimated salvage value of \$2,570. The estimated total cost of construction is \$142,570, which will be paid from treasury cash.

Applicant states that this change is not prohibited by an existing tariff and it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries specified without detriment or