

INTERROGATORY NO. 66: With respect to each product identified in response to Interrogatory No. 65 as having been accompanied by a warning, state whether, subsequent to the first warning described, above, any different warning was ever placed upon said product. Any alteration, change or modification in the language, working, capitalization, punctuation, style of type or printing, size, color, or location on the package or container, of the warning constitutes a different warning.

SECOND AMENDED ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 66:

Abex objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, compound, vague and ambiguous and calls for speculation.

Abex also objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it purports to shift the burden of establishing causation from plaintiffs to Abex.

Abex further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it purports to seek information or materials regarding time periods and products that are not at issue in these cases, on the ground that such information or materials lack relevance and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Abex objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that the information or materials it purports to seek otherwise lack relevance to the issues arising in these cases and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Abex also objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks to impose upon Abex a legal duty or obligation to which it was not subject.

Subject to and without waiving these objections, and to the best of current and reasonably available information and belief, no.

INTERROGATORY NO. 67: With respect to each different warning which accompanied each product listed in response to Interrogatory No. 65:

- (a) State the date on which any order directing that such different warning be placed on said product first issued;
- (b) Identify any and all persons participating in the decision to issue that order;