You have testified before, and you agree with me, won't you, Doctor, that this was a flawed study; isn't that correct?

A As we look back on it, it was flawed in that the duration of exposure was too short for the vast majority of workers.

Q It was flawed because they didn't take into account the concept of latency; isn't that what you testified to before?

A Well, they didn't take into account the concept even though people didn't have disease; if they were exposed, they might develop it. What they reported is what they found.

As I said before, Selikoff did his study in '45, I suspect he would have found what Fleischer-Drinker found, which is not might.

Q Okay, well, let me go and ask you this:

You will agree with me that -- you consider Dr. Lanza to be an expert in the field of asbestos-related disease, didn't you?

A He did some important work, sure.