

fibres and small bundles, the greater the risk. There is also no evidence of a dose response relationship for asbestosis and bronchial cancers and thus, if the dust levels are kept within the new standards, the risks of asbestosis and bronchial cancers in the future should be very small.

The risk of developing mesotheliomas has a different relation to fibre type. It is probably highest with crocidolite and lowest with chrysotile. No cases clearly related to rhophyllite have been reported, despite careful search. The risk with amosite probably lies between crocidolite and chrysotile. The evidence for a dose response relationship is less clear in the case of mesotheliomas and since the threshold limit value is more difficult to assess, the Asbestos Regulations 1969¹⁵ the standard for crocidolite is set at one-tenth of that for other types of asbestos.

COMPARISON OF OCCUPATIONAL RISKS

What is the magnitude of the risk of developing ill-health from asbestos? No single index provides a satisfactory measure of injury to health.

Thus the excess risk of death before a specified age may be a useful index for those diseases causing sudden or rapid death, but it is an inappropriate index for diseases causing long period of disability but little shortening of life. The cancers associated with asbestos exposure fall into the first group and asbestosis the second group. In different occupational groups comparisons of mortality are easier to make than those of illness. But even for mortality the comparisons are not straightforward. For example, the more the selection is limited to a definable group with a high past exposure, the worse the risk will appear. Allowance has also to be made for the effects of age, length of exposure, and how long the occupational group has been followed.

When such allowances are made the excess mortality from 'all causes' in groups of workers heavily exposed to the more damaging types of asbestos dusts in the past is closely comparable to that of coalminers who have developed the severer form of coalworkers' pneumoconiosis or that of deep-sea fishermen who have the highest rates of accidental deaths of any occupation. However, the excess mortality from 'all causes' in these occupational groups is less than that of male smokers of twenty cigarettes and more a day compared with non-smokers.

This is the pattern for deaths from 'all causes', but deaths from specific causes, such as mesotheliomas, asbestosis, or lung cancers relative to that of the general public, are of course proportionately much more increased. This is because mesotheliomas and asbestosis are extremely rare in those who have not worked with asbestos. Confusion sometimes arises between the proportion of individuals exposed who develop a disease and the excess risk of a particular disease compared in individuals compared with the general public. The former may be relatively small and the second extremely large.

THE FUTURE

As in many cases, the diseases proof of the efficacy of new preventive measures including the validity of the current standards for asbestos can only come from linking information on the nature of the manufacturing process and the types of fibres and other materials used, the mea-

surements of exposure, the medical records of those exposed. Computers now make it easy to store this information, but we still need the foresight and administration to see that it is achieved. If it is not done we may still in 20 years or so be in no better position to answer important questions which are at present unanswerable because of the paucity of past records.

CONCLUSION

The recent increase in the number of cases of asbestosis and other diseases related to past exposure to asbestos is the result of relatively heavy exposures to the dust, particularly in parts of the asbestos industry not covered by the 1931 Asbestos Regulations.

Much new information about the biological effects of asbestos has been acquired recently. The new Asbestos Regulations 1969 based on this information, if correctly applied, should greatly reduce the risks in the future.

The selection of which types of asbestos to use in new processes should take into account their biological effects if the risk of dust exposure is likely to occur during manufacture or in the use of the products.

When working with extremely fine fibres of any material which may become airborne, caution is needed. An examination of the possible biological effects is required if the product is to be widely used and risks of damage to health are to be avoided in the future.

Proof of the efficacy of present preventive measures depends on much better record keeping than has been the case in the past.

REFERENCES

1. Noro Leo, *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, Vol 29, p 195 (1968).
2. Merewether E. R. A. and Price C. W., 'Report on the effects of asbestos dust on the lungs and dust suppression in the Asbestos Industry', London, HMSO (1930).
3. Dreesen W. C., Dallavalle J. M., Edwards T. L., Milder J. W., Sayers R. R., 'A study of asbestosis in the asbestos textile industry', US Treasury Department, Public Health Service, Public Health Bulletin No 241 (August 1936).
4. Merewether E. R. A., Annual Report, Inspector of Factories, London, HMSO (1947).
5. Doll Richard, *British Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol 12, p 51 (1955).
6. Knox J. F., Holmes S., Doll R., and Hill I. D., *British Journal of Industrial Medicine*, Vol 25, p 297 (1968).
7. Selikoff I. J., *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol 204, p 104 (1965).
8. Wagner J. C., Gibson J. C., Berry G., and Tunnicliffe V., *British Medical Bulletin*, Vol 27, p 71 (1971).
9. Gibson J. C., 'Asbestos health hazards', in Shapiro, H. A. (editor), 'Pneumoconiosis', Proceedings of the International Conference, Johannesburg, 24 April-2 May 1969, p 173. Cape Town etc., Oxford University Press (1970).
10. Webster L., 'Asbestos exposure in South Africa', *ibid.*, p 123.
11. Cunningham H. M. and Pontefract R., *Nature*, Vol 232, p 332 (1971).
12. Becklake Margaret R., Fournier-Massey Gisèle, McDonald J. C., Siemiatycki J., and Rossiter C. E., *Bulletin de Physiopathologie Respiratoire*, Vol 6, p 637 (1970).
13. McDonald J., Corbett, McDonald Alison D., Gibbs Graham W., Siemiatycki Jack, and Rossiter Charles E., *Archives of Environmental Health*, Vol 22, p 677 (1971).
14. Jordan Giles, Gibbs G. W., Macklem P. T., McDonald J. C., Becklake Margaret R., *American Review of Respiratory Diseases*, Vol 102, p 128 (1971).
15. Statutory Instruments 1969 No 890, Factories, The Asbestos Regulations 1969. London, HMSO (1969).