

February 5, 1942.

Mr. James S. Krick,
Ethyl Gasoline Corporation,
Chrysler Building,
New York City.

Dear Mr. Krick:

I have just received a letter from a Dr. Caleb H. Smith, of Bradford, Pennsylvania, saying that he has just examined a [REDACTED] who was sent to him by you to determine whether or not he had lead poisoning.

Quite apart from the outcome of this examination, which was negative, the statement that you arranged for the examination seems such a wide departure from any of the policies of the Corporation that I am writing you at once to find out if it is true, and if so, what were the circumstances. I would ordinarily talk to Dr. Schradin about this matter before writing you, since you and he may have had some understanding about it, but since he is in the west, it seemed wise to write you directly to find out what had occurred between you and Dr. Smith.

Very truly yours,

Robert A. Kehoe, M. D.

RAK ef

KE 0004520

CALEB H. SMITH, M. D.

ODD FELLOWS BUILDING

BRADFORD, PA.

January 30, 1942

Bert A. Kehoe
ing Laboratory
sity of Cincinnati
natti, Ohio

r. Kehoe:

I recently examined [REDACTED] who has been working since 1924 with ethyl gasoline. He was sent to me by James S. Krick, of the Ethyl Gasoline Corp. to investigate for evidence of lead poisoning.

The patient has no signs or symptoms of lead poisoning. There is no basophilic stippling.

I wish to ask your advice concerning the advisability of having a lead examination of his urine and blood done. If you feel that such examinations are advisable, could I have ~~them~~ done in your laboratory.

Very truly yours,

Caleb H. Smith

Caleb H. Smith, M.D.

CHS:11

KE

0004521