

Chris E Shoop 02/18/2002 12:30 PM

To: Debbie J Mulrooney/AE/DuPont@DuPont
cc: Robert I Wevodau/SE/DuPont@DuPont, Robert F Pinchot/DEV/AE/DuPont@DuPont
Subject: Re: Case 10 Questions ☐

Debbie,

The production rates in Case 10 were from forecasts for about 2007. Both Fine Powder and FEP had to be trimmed back to keep the emissions in line with the CEG based on the expected scrubber efficiencies.

We had documents from Ta-Wei Fu for production projections that the C-8 team assembled when they were worried they were going to run out of supply. Two weeks ago I heard from Trini Garza that the Fine Powder business had re-evaluated their projections and were calling for higher production, including construction of a new line not at Washington Works.

I suggest that Rob query the business folks (down the hall?) to get these projections. If you or he send them to us we can convert them to emissions numbers for your use in the modeling.

Chris Shoop

Debbie J Mulrooney



Debbie J Mulrooney
02/18/2002 11:03 AM

To: Robert I Wevodau/SE/DuPont@DuPont
cc: Chris E Shoop/AE/DuPont@DuPont
Subject: Case 10 Questions

Bob,

One more question for you. Are the production rates in the Case 10 spreadsheet (FP at 20 MM, FEP at 24 MM and PFA at 6 MM) forecasted production rates for 2002 or 2003?

Rob is looking for a model run of what the emissions will be after the new scrubber and stack are in place, based on forecasted production rates.

Thanks,
Debbie

EDD0068651