PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT
GF-1929

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE
GAF Corporation

DATE July 11, 1975

TO: Plant Managers at:
Baltimore - C. L. Underwood
Dallas - Mr. P. M. Herbst
Erie Lake - Mr. J. C. Anderson
Joliet PSM - J. E. Kennedy
Kansas City - Mr. J. C. Wilcock
Millis - Mr. H. M. Cox
Minneapolis - W. Becker
Mobile - H. L. Drawdy
St. Louis - G. G. Hemminghaus
Houston - D. G. Berg
Long Beach - Mr. A. Vardakis
Vails Gate - J. K. Cahill
Whitehall - Mr. J. P. Lasley
Erie 16th St. - H. L. Rosebrugh
Warren - C. White
Research Operations - Mr. P. S. Bettoli

JUL 16 1975

THIS COPY FOR

Mr. C. F. Bien
Mr. J. J. Boyle
Mr. T. A. Dent
Mr. R. T. Dixon
Mr. L. J. Faneuf
Mr. J. B. H. Fitzwilliam
Mr. T. F. Gedettis
Mr. A. Geduldig
Mr. W. E. Greig
Mr. E. C. Gutzwiller
Mr. J. Ketchledge
Mr. M. Klik
Mr. M. J. McGettrick
Mr. F. W. McNabb, Jr.
Mr. W. H. Page
Mr. M. A. Pomeranz
CEED Files

FROM: H. J. Holloway
Corporate Environmental Engineering
Wayne

SUBJECT: DISPOSAL OF ASBESTOS—CONTAINING WASTES

The State of New Jersey is forcing GAF to cover and seed asbestos cement wastes that were deposited by the South Bound Brook plant over a period of many years on plant property. Disposal at the site was discontinued three years ago, with State approval to leave it uncovered. The State's position changed, however, when a letter from a local resident, who claimed that the exposed wastes were a potential health hazard from asbestos fibers, precipitated a series of newspaper articles that were very unfavorable to GAF and indirectly critical of the State. Although no air or water pollution from the site has been shown, and no health hazard demonstrated, the State now is of the opinion that possibly the wastes material might constitute a health hazard, and that it must be covered.

A similar situation could develop at other of our plants disposing of any asbestos-containing waste material, not only asbestos-cement. In order for us in some degree to assess GAF's vulnerability in this area, we ask that you advise us, at your earliest convenience, of your disposal practices for asbestos wastes. The information should include:

Joliet - BLDG - INS PRODUCTS

1. Type of waste.
   15# asbestos roll paper - same as Erie & Whitehall
2. Physical characteristics (wet, dry, crumbly, solid, sweepings, raw fiber, etc.).
   DRY - SOLID - sheet form
3. Is waste sealed in containers before leaving plant?
   NO
4. Hauler and type of vehicle, method of loading.
   LOCKPORT TRUCKING - OPEN TRUCK - SOLID BODY & SIDES
5. Hauling precautions to prevent material from becoming airborne in transit or during dumping.
   NOT POSSIBLE - covered with Tabs & shingle scrap.
6. Daily volume.
   Once every 2 weeks -
7. Active disposal sites.

   a. GAF property, community landfill, (other)
   b. Covered (daily) or at other intervals?
   c. Fenced or access otherwise (restricted)
   d. If storm run-off could contain fibers, what is the receiving body of water or sewer system? LIMESTONE PIT - EPA approved -

8. Inactive disposal sites. None

   a. Whose property?
   b. Fenced or access otherwise restricted?
   c. Approximate area occupied.
   d. Extent and type of cover, if any.
   e. If storm run-off could contain fibers, what is the receiving body of water or sewer system?

If you do not dispose of any asbestos-containing waste material, please advise us to that effect. We will also appreciate any additional comments that bear on your situation, such as any agency approvals that you may have, the proximity of the waste disposal site to a stream, any publicity about your waste or disposal methods, and the like.

By J Thomas 7/23/75

W. J. Holloway

jd

H. J. Holloway