

To: Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
Cc: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
From: Dravis, Samantha
Sent: Thur 3/23/2017 6:30:30 PM
Subject: FW: Distributional analysis

From: McGartland, Al
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 2:29 PM
To: Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>
Cc: Rees, Sarah <rees.sarah@epa.gov>; Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Distributional analysis

Yes. Shall we ask robin to set up a time?

This is an area that needs to be better represented in our Economic Guidelines that govern how we do economic analysis.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 23, 2017, at 2:18 PM, Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov> wrote:

Can you explain to me when you get a moment, please?

From: Benton, Donald
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 12:56 PM
To: Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Distributional analysis

I was at a meeting yesterday where this issue came up and I asked the guy to email me specifics. I thought we could use them! Helpful I think.

Don

Don

Senator Don Benton

Senior White House Advisor

Office of the Administrator

202.564.4711

<image001.png>

From: Phil Kerpen [<mailto:phil@americancommitment.org>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 11:39 AM

To: Benton, Donald <benton.donald@epa.gov>

Subject: Distributional analysis

Under OMB circular A-4 proposed regs are supposed to include a distributional analysis but it's almost never done. It would be great for us to follow it and show the impact of onerous regs on lower income brackets, and on the flipside the distributional benefits of rolling back regs. Could also take next step and show statistical health/mortality impacts of job/income losses at lower income brackets.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.