

[REDACTED]

From: ChemG <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 16 June 2021 09:20
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: AW: Chemicalwatch PFAS event - substitute speaker for polymer exposure discussion?

CAUTION: This email originated from outside ECHA. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Check the email address of the sender. It is possible that the name of the sender is known to you (e.g. a colleague), but the actual sender is someone else.

AZ: 72165/03.00854-R

Dear [REDACTED]

CW also asked us. However, due to the RiME meeting and other meetings at the same time, no one from our side can take part.

Best regards,

for the German CA

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
b a u a :
Bundesanstalt für Arbeitsschutz und Arbeitsmedizin (BAuA)
Bundesstelle für Chemikalien
Chemikalienbewertung und Risikomanagement
Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Federal Office for Chemicals
Evaluation of Chemicals and Risk Assessment
Friedrich-Henkel-Weg 1 – 25
D – 44149 Dortmund, Germany
phone: [REDACTED]
fax: [REDACTED]
e-mail: [REDACTED]

Von: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Gesendet: Dienstag, 15. Juni 2021 18:04
An: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> ChemG <[REDACTED]>
Betreff: Chemicalwatch PFAS event - substitute speaker for polymer exposure discussion?

Dear [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

I'd agreed to participate in the ChemicalWatch PFAS event next Wednesday, specifically the discussion session on fluoropolymer exposure. I think that some of you are participating too.

Unfortunately, because of a hastily organised RAC and SEAC training event on the evaluation of restriction proposals, I won't be able to attend.

Before I inform Chemical Watch, I wonder if there is an opportunity to suggest an alternate panel member from across the 5 countries working on UPFAS.

Would you have any ideas for a speaker? I'd need to let Chemical Watch know by COB Thursday I think.

The questions posed to the panel are:

1. Given that fluoropolymer exposures vary widely, how should we be thinking about exposure?
2. What is driving the presence of highly stable chemistries in products with different life cycles?

I thought that I'd be able to focus on two issues 1. the release of PFAS impurities from polymers during service life (standard approaches in REACH like ERCs/spERC/diffusion modelling, including residual monomers). 2. The formation of stable PFAS from inappropriate disposal of fluoropolymers (i.e. low temperature disposal).

I hope that you can help and I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours,

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted]
Senior Scientific Officer / Restriction Process Coordinator
Risk Management I / D3
European Chemicals Agency
P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki, Finland
Tel. [Redacted]

<http://echa.europa.eu/>



The above represents the opinion of the author and is not an official position of the European Chemicals Agency. This email, including any files attached to it, is intended for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify the author as soon as possible and delete the message.