STATE OF MISSOURI)
) SS
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS

STATE OF MISSOURI

WALTER FRANK MILLER,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
-vs-	)	
	)	
B.F. DRAKENFELD AND COMPANY,	)	
a corporation,	)	
45 Park Place	)	
New York 7, New York	)	
Serve: Secretary of State	)	No. ,
Jefferson City, Missouri	)	
	)	Div. No. 1
and	)	
	)	
MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY,	)	
a corporation,	)	
Serve: C.T. Corporation Service	)	
314 N. Broadway	)	
St. Louis 2, Missouri,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

PETITION

COUNT I

Plaintiff, for cause of action in Count I of this Petition, states:

1. Defendant, B. F. DRAKENFELD AND COMPANY, (hereinafter called "Drakenfeld") is and was a foreign corporation duly organized and existing under law at all times hereinafter mentioned; as hereinafter more particularly alleged, DRAKENFELD has committed a tort against the person of Plaintiff, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and has thereby agreed that the Secretary of State of Missouri shall be its agent

0416934

HARTOLDMON0095284

for the service of process, all as is provided in V.A.M.S., 1949, Section 351.630.

2. Defendant, MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY (hereinafter called "Monsanto") is and at all times hereinafter mentioned was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a registered agent and office in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and having its principal place of business in the State of Missouri.

3. Defendant DRAKENFELD is engaged in the business of manufacturing, preparing and distributing into the channels of trade paints and enamels, and among the various products so distributed by said Defendant is an enamel known as 24-018 enamel in 487 oil.

4. Defendant MONSANTO is engaged in the business of manufacturing and preparing chemicals, chemical products, oils and thinners and distributing said products into the channels of trade, and among the various products so manufactured and distributed by said Defendant is the product known as Aroclor 4465.

5. Defendant DRAKENFELD prepares the aforesaid product 24-018 enamel in 487 oil, by combining various other materials with Monsanto's product Aroclor 4465.

6. Both Defendants herein did know and intend that said products would be used by the consuming public and would be handled, dealt with, touched and the fumes thereof would be inhaled by the public, and both Defendants placed said

0416915

HARTOLDMON0095285

products in the channels of trade with such knowledge and intention.

7. Both Defendants herein did impliedly warrant and represent that the products 24-018 enamel in 407 oil, and Aroclor 4465 were fit and safe for such use by the public; but both Defendants and each Defendant knew that such products contained chlorinated biphenyls and chlorinated triphenyls, with a high amount of chlorination; said Defendants, and each of them, knew that said products would from time to time be heated or baked in ovens, and that use by the public of said products was likely to cause liver damage, skin eruptions, rashes, acne, cysts and dermatitis of various kinds.

8. Plaintiff, ~~xxxxxxxx~~ in the year 1958 began to make use of said products in his employment with International Bent Glass Company, Inc. at St. Louis, Missouri, handling such products, touching the same, and inhaling the fumes thereof, all in reliance on the skill and judgment and aforesaid warranty of both Defendants, being wholly unaware of the toxic and dangerous qualities of such products.

9. After a period of such use of such products, the same, contrary to and in breach of the warranty of both Defendants, directly and proximately caused Plaintiff to become ill and diseased, in that Plaintiff suffered eruptions of Plaintiff's skin over and about Plaintiff's neck, shoulders, chest, back, buttocks, face, ears and eyelids, said areas have become covered with comedones, cysts, acne, infectious lesions,

0416936

HARTOLDMON0095286

papules and a condition known as chloracne; Plaintiff's eyelids and periorbital skin became erythematous, edematous and scaly; Plaintiff's liver was injured, damaged and diseased; these conditions are painful, irritating and [illegible]; all of said conditions are permanent.

10. Plaintiff has become obligated for large sums of money for medical attention for the aforesaid conditions and will become obligated for additional such sums in the future, in an amount not now ascertainable.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, Plaintiff prays judgment against the Defendants and each of them, on this Count I of the petition for SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($17,500.00), and for Plaintiff's costs.

COUNT II

Plaintiff for cause of action in Count II of this Petition states:

1. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Count I of this petition.

2. Both Defendants and each of them knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known that said gaskets containing highly chlorinated biphenyls and tripheny[illegible], and for many years have been known to be of a toxic and dangerous nature in that they were reasonably likely to cause abnormal reactions, skin eruptions, rashes, liver damage, dermatitis and diseases, and Defendants and each of them

0416937

HARTOLDMON0095287

under a duty to give an adequate warning of such dangers and risks to the public who used such products, but both Defendants and each of them negligently breached said duty by failing and omitting to give an adequate warning of such dangers and risks.

3. Plaintiff began to make use of such products in 1959 in his employment at St. Louis, Missouri with International Bent Glass Company, and as a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff was injured in those particulars alleged hereinabove in Count I, Paragraph 9.

4. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation in Count I, Paragraph 10.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, Plaintiff prays judgment on this Count II of this Petition against the Defendants, and each of them, in the sum of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($17,500.00), and for his costs.

CARUTHERS AND MONTROY

BY _____
JOHN P. MONTROY
430 Paul Brown Bldg.
St. Louis 1, Missouri
GArfield 1-2777
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

0416938

HARTOLDMON0095288

Form No. 75

Circuit Court for the City of St. Louis

State of Missouri

Walter Frank Miller

Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Drakenfeld & Co., et al

Defendant

No. 47814 E

Div. 1

SUMMONS

The State of Missouri to Defendant Monsanto Chemical Co., a Corp.

You are hereby summoned to appear before the above-named court and to file your pleading to the petition, copy of which is attached hereto, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon

Caruthers & Montrey attorney S for plaintiff ,

whose address is 430 Paul Brown Bldg.

all within 30 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

Dated June 29, 19 62

PHELIM O'TOOLE
Circuit Clerk.

By D. White
Deputy Clerk.

(Seal of Circuit Court)

0416934

HARTOLDMON0095289

RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS

I hereby certify that I have served the within summons:

(1) By delivering on the.....day of....., 19....

a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to each of the within-named defendants .....

.....

.....

.....

(2) By leaving on the.....day of....., 19....

for each of the within-named defendants .....

.....

.....

a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the respective dwelling place or usual place of abode of said defendants with some person of his or her family over the age of 15 years;

(3) By.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

All done in.....County, Missouri.

Sheriff's fees:

Summons ... $

Non est.....$

Mileage .....$

Total .....$

Sheriff of.....County, Missouri,

By.....
Deputy Sheriff.

DIRECTIONS TO SHERIFF

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant. For methods of service in all classes of suits see Sec. 27 Civil Code.

No. 4731-E

Div. 1

SUMMONS

Civil Code, Form No. 1

In the Case of

.....Plaintiff

vs.

.....Defendant

In the Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis STATE OF MISSOURI

0416940

HARTOLDMON0095290