

FILE NAME: Household Contact (HC)

DATE: 1999

DOC#: HC020

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION: Journal Article - Mesothelioma: Cases Associated with Non-Occupational and Low Dose Exposures

Mesothelioma: cases associated with non-occupational and low dose exposures

Gunnar Hillerdal

Abstract

Objectives—To estimate the importance of low dose exposure to asbestos on the risk of mesothelioma.

Methods—A review of the literature.

Results and conclusions—There is no evidence of a threshold level below which there is no risk of mesothelioma. Low level exposure more often than not contains peak concentrations which can be very high for short periods. There might exist a background level of mesothelioma occurring in the absence of exposure to asbestos, but there is no proof of this and this "natural level" is probably much lower than the 1–2/million/year which has been often cited.

(*Occup Environ Med* 1999;56:505–513)

Keywords: low exposure; asbestos; mesothelioma

Mesothelioma is an incurable disease which is almost exclusively due to inhalation of asbestos fibres. Asbestos has been extensively used in industry and construction in the 20th century, especially during and after the second world war, and even if the mineral is no longer used in most rich western countries the total world production remains high. There is a worldwide pollution with asbestos, as indicated by the finding of the mineral in samples of Greenland ice¹ and on the Yorkshire Moors,² and every citizen in the world has been exposed to some extent. Consequently, asbestos fibres can be found in most lungs at necropsy.³ It is thus understandable that there is concern about the risk of mesothelioma for the general population.

However, it should be remembered that mesothelioma is a rare disease with incidence in industrialised countries ranging from 1 to 5/million/year among women and values for

men 5–10 times higher (see table 3). Even in cohorts with a very heavy exposure to asbestos most people will die from other causes. In people with certified asbestosis—that is, with a heavy exposure—up to 10% will develop mesothelioma; among insulators in the United States and Canada, also a heavily exposed group, 9.3% of the deaths have been due to this disease; and in amphibole miners in South Africa or Australia, this figure is 2–4% (table 1). Clearly, with exposure concentrations several magnitudes lower, as occurs in the general population, the risk is very small, often impossible to measure.

A discussion of the risks from low exposure must include the dose-response curve; the existence or non-existence of a threshold, and thus a background concentration; and should try to define low exposure and estimate to what degree that really means a low concentration. From conflicting findings and opinions attempts must be made to make a meaningful conclusion.

The different types of asbestos seem to differ considerably in their ability to cause mesotheliomas. Chrysotile is considered by many authors to be a weak carcinogen in humans,¹¹ whereas the two amphiboles crocidolite and tremolite are much more dangerous according to many studies.¹² The third of the more important amphiboles, anthophyllite, was long considered not to cause mesothelioma, but such tumours have now been reported although the risk seems to be small.¹³ There is, none the less, a minority opinion that chrysotile is in fact responsible for most of the pleural mesotheliomas in society¹⁴ or should at least be considered to carry the same risk.¹⁵ This discussion, however, falls outside the present review and is not important for the conclusions drawn here.

Definition and diagnosis of mesothelioma

Mesotheliomas are, by definition, tumours that arise from mesothelial cells and can thus arise from any body cavity: the pleura, the peritoneum, the pericardial sac, and even the tunica vaginalis testis. Pleural mesotheliomas are the most common and pathologically the best defined ones. Pleural mesotheliomas have a male to female rate of about five to one, whereas for peritoneal tumours this ratio is 1.5 to 1.¹⁶ Thus, either the aetiology is different, the

Department of Lung Medicine, Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
G Hillerdal

Correspondence to:
Dr Gunnar Hillerdal,
Department of Lung Medicine, Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

Accepted 8 March 1999

Table 1 Proportionate mortality from mesothelioma in various cohorts

Cohort	Deaths (n)	Deaths due to mesothelioma (%)	Reference
Amphibole miners	1225	2	4
Chrysotile miners	118	4	5
		6	6
Asbestosis workers	4951	9	7
Patients with asbestosis	283	9	8
	40	10	9
	39	10	10

Table 2 Possible risk factors and mediators of risk of mesothelioma (other than asbestos)

Factors	Comments	References
Erionite	Very high incidence of mesothelioma environmental exposure in Turkey	19
Chronic inflammation	Pleural scars (tuberculosis, pleurisy, therapeutic pneumothorax)	20
Radiation	Single cases after Thorotrast injection or radiotherapy; causality not proved	21 22
	One case in atomic bomb survivor	23
Beryllium	Two doubtful cases described	18
Vegetable fibres	No proof in humans	
Hereditary factors	Familial cases (explained by common asbestos exposure?)	24-26
	Correlation with parental cancer	27
Immunological factors	Rapidly progressive cases in patients with HIV infection	28 29
Dietary factors	Provitamin A, β -carotene may decrease the risk	30 31
Viruses	Mesotheliomas in animals	
	Simian virus 40 DNA sequences reported in mesotheliomas	32

diagnosis is more difficult in women, or women exposed to asbestos are more likely to develop a peritoneal than a pleural mesothelioma. In fact, one type of mesothelioma occurring almost exclusively in women is the so called multicystic mesothelioma. This tumour has a better prognosis, is more sensitive to cytostatic drugs, and seems to have no connection with exposure to asbestos.¹⁷

The pathological diagnosis of mesotheliomas requires experience, and confusion can occur with both benign pleural lesions and with metastatic pleural diseases.¹⁸ Many countries now have "mesothelioma panels", which has meant a great improvement in the diagnosis. In most national cancer registries, most likely both overdiagnosis and underdiagnosis occur.

Suggested causes of mesothelioma other than mineral fibres

Apart from mineral fibres, radiation (for example, through the contrast medium Thorotrast) has been suggested to cause human mesothelioma. However, in a large retrospective study of more than 250 000 women treated for mammary carcinoma, a quarter of them initially with radiotherapy, no association between radiation and mesothelioma could be found.²² As can be seen from table 2, other causes or contributing factors have also been suggested.

Viruses can cause mesotheliomas in animals, but this has not been described in humans. DNA sequences associated with Simian virus 40 (SV 40) transforming factors have been reported in a high proportion of mesotheliomas from some countries.³² This suggests that there is a connection between SV40, which was a contaminant of live polio vaccines in 1959-61, and later development of mesothelioma. Thus, SV 40 might be a cofactor to asbestos in some patients with mesothelioma, but the results have not been confirmed and are still disputed.

In summary, then, as far as is known today, factors other than mineral fibres can only explain a very small proportion of mesotheliomas, and can for practical purposes be disregarded. Thus, a malignant mesothelioma can be regarded either as caused by asbestos or belonging to a normal background level—that is a spontaneously occurring tumour. The relative importance of these two factors has been debated and will be further explored in this

Incidence of mesothelioma

There is a large variation in the incidence of mesothelioma in different countries and in most places a steadily rising number of cases with time. In table 3, the incidence or mortality from mesotheliomas in different countries at various times can be seen. As mortality for practical purposes is the same as the incidence for this disease, both figures have been used in the table. Some of the differences between the countries are probably due to diagnostic difficulties, but most of the variations can be explained by the use of asbestos in the particular society some decades earlier.

Dose-response and latency time

Most researchers agree that there is a positive dose-response curve for mesothelioma—the heavier the exposure to asbestos, the greater the risk. This is found in cohort studies as well as in analyses of amphibole asbestos fibres in the lungs.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ It was realised early that time since first exposure was of great importance, and therefore the "cubic residence-time model" was suggested by Doll and Peto in their report in 1985⁴⁹:

$$I(T) = c \times F \times (T^4 - (T-D)^4)$$

Where, $I(T)$ = incidence at the time T after exposure; c = a constant depending on the process, F = intensity of exposure, and D = duration of exposure. This equation has been used in many studies with an acceptable fit for normal occupational exposure concentrations. F in the equation is the total exposure—a combination of fibre concentrations and exposure time—usually measured in fibre-years. (1 fibre-year = a mean of 1 fibre/ml air for 1 working year). Thus, the dose-response curve is supposed to be linear, but the result is heavily influenced by the time factor.

Unfortunately, the exact value of F is often uncertain, even in well defined highly exposed cohorts. The equation is thus rarely useful especially with low doses, in which F is usually a crude guess only. As can be seen from table 1, even with heavy exposure only up to 10% of a cohort will die from mesothelioma—so the formula is not applicable in these cases either. With very heavy exposure, most patients will die from pulmonary insufficiency due to asbestosis before there has been sufficient time to develop a mesothelioma.

Even more troublesome is the time factor T which quickly becomes very important in this

Table 3 Incidence or mortality of mesothelioma in various countries and areas over time (million inhabitants / year)

Country or area	Year	Male	Female	Reference
United States	1968-81	2.1	0.8	33
North America	1972	2.8	0.7	34
Nantes-Saint-Nazaire, France	1956-74	5.2	0.2	35
	1976-80	5.8	2.1	36
San Francisco, United States	1970s	4.4-11.1	1.2-3.8	37
United States	1986	7-13	1-2	38
Barcelona, Spain	1983-90	8.3	4.7	39
Great Britain	1968-71	8.4	2.3	40
Finland	1990-94	10	2.9	41
Great Britain	1972-76	12.6	2.8	40
Nantes-Saint-Nazaire, France	1975-84	17.2	0.8	35
United Kingdom	1983	17.5	3.2	16
Denmark	1978-80	14.7	7.0	42
Nantes-Saint-Nazaire, France	1985-92	19.4	4.0	35
Great Britain	1968-71	20.7	4.3	40
Australia	1982-88	28.3	3.3	43
Great Britain	1982-86	30.5	4.9	40
Great Britain	1987-91	44.0	6.4	40
Australia	1994	49.9	4.8	44

would increase steeply with time, making early childhood exposure of great importance.⁵⁰ However, there are clear indications that mineral fibres clear from the lung, albeit with different half lives for the different types of asbestos. Chrysotile has the shortest half life, and crocidolite is generally accepted to have the longest. The half life of crocidolite has been estimated to 7-8 years.⁵¹ This clearing of fibres would, at least theoretically, tend to actually decrease the risk of mesothelioma and other diseases with time.

In conclusion, the value of the cubic residence-time formula is in practice low and it should not be used for extrapolations, at least not at the extreme ends of exposure.

The latency time varies in different cohorts, and is dependent on how long a cohort is followed up. In 370 necropsy cases from Italy, latency time could be calculated in 312.⁵² Latency time was also dependent on exposure, varying from 29.6 years for insulators (with the highest exposure) to 51.7 in women with domestic exposure.

The threshold value and the background concentration

There have been strong arguments for the existence of a threshold value (a minimal exposure required for development of a mesothelioma).⁵³⁻⁵⁵ In most studies, several patients with mesothelioma do not report any occupational or other exposure to asbestos,^{51 56 60} and thus there seems to be a small spontaneous basal or background incidence of the tumour. In a large study from England, consisting of 185 cases and 159 controls who were very carefully interviewed, 5% of the cases (and 27% of the controls) seemed not to have any kind of exposure to asbestos.⁵⁹ This included domestic and even residential exposure. However, it is of course possible that some of these background cases might in fact be due to occupational, domestic, or even environmental exposure, unknown to (or forgotten by) the patients themselves.

There are authors who claim that the presumed background level must be very low, and retrospective searches for the tumour in the medical literature yield no convincing cases

of mesothelioma before 1946,⁶¹ although such negative evidence is of questionable value. McDonald and McDonald, in a recent review, estimated the background level to be 1-2/ million/year; they came to this figure by extrapolating backwards from epidemiological studies from various countries.⁶²

Malignant mesothelioma can occur in children,⁶³ and such cases can be considered as proof of non-asbestos (spontaneous) aetiology, as the latency time with necessity must be very short in these cases. Asbestos fibres have, however, been reported in the lungs of children, even in stillborn ones,^{64 65} showing that asbestos fibres spread in the human body and even penetrate through the placenta. Even if a latency time of only a few years is extremely rare in mesothelioma related to asbestos,⁶⁶ it can occur. There are some published examples of latency times of only 5 years.⁶⁶

Mesotheliomas also occur in animals, from baboons⁶⁷ and domestic dogs⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ to fish.⁷¹ Dogs are exposed environmentally to asbestos just like their human masters, which might explain some of the tumours,^{68 69 72} but in fish it would be difficult to blame asbestos for the tumour. Thus, as in other animals, there is probably a background level of spontaneous mesotheliomas in humans.

Levels of exposure

Although many authors write about low level exposure to asbestos, there is rarely a definition of this term. In fact, in many articles low level exposure seems to be synonymous to non-occupational exposure, which, as described later, is certainly not true in many cases. Occupational as well as non-occupational exposure can be anything from very heavy to very low.

Occupational exposure to asbestos

It must be realised that occupational exposure to asbestos occurs or has occurred not only in the "classic" industries, such as asbestos mines and factories, shipyards, insulating business, asbestos cement industry, building and construction etc, but also in very many other occupations and trades. Examples are pulp and paper industry,⁷³ oil refineries,⁷⁴ electrical industry,⁷⁵ jewellery workers,⁷⁶ sugar refineries,⁷⁷ and cigarette filter workers.⁷⁸ Seamen and fishermen can have been exposed to asbestos used as insulation in their boats. In the reprocessed textile industry, bags heavily contaminated with asbestos could be reused for various other purposes, for instance covering heaps of rags; in an Italian investigation of such an industry, mesotheliomas and lung cancer were found to be fairly common among rag sorters.⁷⁹

Given the extensive use of the mineral, many people have been occupationally exposed to asbestos. This exposure can have been only brief but perhaps intense during that short period. In many or most instances the workers have no idea of the exposure and it can be impossible or almost impossible to elucidate it. Also, the level of exposure is often very difficult to estimate, should the information be available.

Non-occupational exposure to asbestos DOMESTIC EXPOSURE

The family of an asbestos worker could be exposed to considerable amounts of asbestos brought home on his working clothes, which all too often it was the duty of the wife or daughter to clean. Pleural thickening, calcifications, and pulmonary fibrosis have been described in such cases, as well as mesotheliomas.⁸⁰⁻⁸²

AIR POLLUTION FROM ASBESTOS MINES, FACTORIES, DOCK YARDS, ETC

Asbestos mines used to be great environmental nuisances. They could be seen from a distance because of the dust cloud. Asbestos fibres can spread over a distance many kilometres from a mine, and the tailings from the mines were used for paving roads, parking areas, playgrounds, etc. In the crocidolite mining areas in South Africa, the incidence of mesotheliomas was increased to at least 10 times that expected even among women, proving a non-occupational exposure. However, whether some of this exposure was domestic (see later) is not clear.⁸³

Another example is the formerly active crocidolite mine at Wittenoom, Western Australia. At least 5000 people lived in the township of Wittenoom without working in the mines, and in 1993 27 cases of mesothelioma had occurred among these people.^{6 48 84} It has been estimated that 1.1% of child residents and 1.9% of the female residents of Wittenoom have died or will die from mesothelioma, whereas among the workforce this figure would be 6%.⁶

Around the chrysotile mines in Quebec, Canada, there have been at least 53 occupational mesotheliomas from the mines, nine domestic, and two with general environmental exposure only.⁸⁵ In the nearby towns, the lungs of residents who have never worked in the mines have a fibre concentration which is 10 times higher than that of the average Canadian.⁸⁶

Mesotheliomas have also been reported from the surroundings of asbestos factories⁸⁷ and in people living near dockyards. However, the risk from residential exposure is probably low. In a large study from England, this factor accounted for only 3% of all the identified cases.⁵⁹

CONSUMER GOODS

Asbestos has been introduced into various goods used by the public. Examples are wall paints and spackling and jointing compounds. Another is a hand held hair drier, of which 13 million were sold in the United States and which has been described as a "small asbestos spray gun".⁸⁸ In commercially produced Kent filter cigarettes, crocidolite was used in the filters from 1952-6. The sale of these cigarettes was 11.7 billion, and in the commercials the health effects of this filter was emphasised.^{78 89}

Once asbestos is introduced into a home, it will spread to all rooms and is almost impossible to remove even with a vacuum cleaner. It will easily be disturbed into the air from the slightest movement, and sedimentation is very

URBAN AIR POLLUTION

The air in cities contains a very low concentration of asbestos. Near to construction or demolition work the concentrations are higher. It has been suggested that the air of London during the blitz was heavy with asbestos. Asbestos is also released on braking, and close to a motorway the fibre concentrations in the air will be higher than the background, but still well below the industrial threshold values. A risk not often appreciated is in dumps. In the vicinity of a waste disposal site the concentration of asbestos fibres can be 10-1000 times above the background concentration.⁹¹

Drinking water can contain asbestos or asbestiform fibres from natural sources or pollution. The use of asbestos cement pipes can cause a considerable number of fibres in the drinking water. Small amounts of asbestos can be found in some wines, beers, liquors, and other beverages, probably deriving from the filtration process. Fibres that are ingested will to some extent also enter the blood stream as seen from animal experiments.^{92 93} In humans, there are few reports. However, when amphibole fibres were found in drinking water from Lake Superior, a very small portion could be traced in urine,⁹⁴ and when chrysotile occurred in the drinking water, very small amounts were again found in urine.⁹⁵ However, most ingested mineral fibres will never be absorbed but will be cleared in the normal way, and the harmful effects of asbestos in drinking water or drinks is probably minuscule (and much smaller than the risk of drinking the alcohol).

Even if ingested asbestos is not dangerous, it has to be realised that it is only a very small portion of the tap water that we actually drink. Most of it is used for other purposes. Once the water has dried after washing, cleaning, taking showers etc the asbestos fibres will spread in the air. Such amounts are small but not insignificant and will add to the normal background exposure.⁹⁶

ASBESTOS IN PLACE = BUILDINGS CONTAINING ASBESTOS

A mixture containing asbestos was popular after the second world war for spraying on ceilings and walls for insulation and decoration. This was used well into the 1960s. There is now a public danger because of the plaster falling down from natural wear and tear, vandalism, or "artistic" carving in schools, etc. In many industrial buildings, asbestos was also used for spraying on the underside of the roof, and with natural wear and tear (and for instance birds building nests!)⁹⁷ there is now release of fibres to the surroundings. Asbestos was also extensively used in walls or around plumbing for insulation purposes, in cement to strengthen it, or just as a cheap filler.

In a modern city, asbestos can often be found in many places: in the cellar, where steam pipes are insulated; in storage or laundry rooms; in air conditioning sets; in theatres, museums, restaurants, etc.⁹⁸ Whenever there is damage to any construction or machine that contains asbestos, the possibilities of exposure, some-

Table 4 Reported mesothelioma cases after exposure to "asbestos in place"

Occupation or exposure	Comments	Reference
School teachers	9/487 patients with mesothelioma 1 case each 4 cases	99 100-103 104 102
Attended school		102
Office worker		105
Asbestos insulation at home	6/262 patients with mesothelioma	106

It has been claimed that up to 1000 premature deaths from lung cancer or mesothelioma will occur in the future among school children from schools where asbestos was used in the walls⁵⁰—calculations which, however, had to be built on extrapolations and assumptions. Several case reports have been published on patients with mesothelioma, in which the only exposure to asbestos that was reported was "in place" (table 4). From various cohorts with such exposure, significant increases in radiological findings from the lungs—such as pleural plaques—have also been reported, indicating exposure to asbestos, but these results are not undisputed and there is a probable overdiagnosis, as control groups are missing.¹⁰⁷

ENVIRONMENTAL MESOTHELIOMAS FROM LOCAL DEPOSITS OF FIBROUS MINERALS

"Endemic pleural plaques" were first described from Finland and since then many such findings have been reported. In these areas, there are small local pockets of asbestos which sometimes have been quarried, often for generations, for some local use. The most common use is whitewashing of houses with tremolite, which has resulted in an extremely high incidence of mesothelioma in some villages (table 5). When the exposure is due to whitewashing of the houses the risk will disappear when this procedure is stopped, but due to the long latency time this will take many decades.¹²²

A non-asbestos fibre, the zeolite erionite, has been found in some Turkish villages. Roads, buildings, etc, can contain this fibre in small amounts. Erionite is even more dangerous than crocidolite and the incidence of mesotheliomas in these unfortunate villages is extremely high.

Endemic plaques are of interest also in other countries, as many people born in these places and living there in their childhood and youth now have moved to other places, taking with them not only the plaques but also the risk of mesothelioma.¹³¹

Concentrations of exposure

OCCUPATIONAL CONCENTRATIONS

The concentration of exposure which the first workers exposed to asbestos have experienced can only be guessed. Estimated or recreated values from the past suggest fibre concentrations from 25 up to occasional values of 1000–2000 fibres/ml. With his own recalculations, Harries in 1970 estimated the fibre concentrations in the dockyard in 1951 as follows: sprayed asbestos insulation 171–322 fibres/cc; stripping asbestos 334; sweeping 353; adjacent passage 83; and in the passageway to the shower 25. Bagging debris 564; pipe lagging 194–200; removal of pipe lagging 171. Snap samples showed values up to 1000–2000 fibres/cc.¹³² These values are similar to the ones published by McMillan in 1983, who recreated values from the past: engine room 88; delagging in boilers room 171; bagging debris 353 f/ml.¹³³

Measurements from working places in the 1960s often showed peak doses of 20 fibres/ml and much less in more recent years. Where asbestos is still used, many countries have adopted a concentration of 1–2 fibres/ml as the upper legal concentration of exposure. These figures should be compared with the few available non-occupational measurements (table 6). A problem with the legal concentration is that most asbestos use today occurs in developing countries, many of which have adopted standards which they cannot enforce. As a result, actual exposures may be much higher than the standard in these countries.

NON-OCCUPATIONAL CONCENTRATIONS

The fibre concentrations in domestic exposure might in fact be as high as in occupational exposure. Brushing clothes might give peaks of ≥ 100 fibres/ml.⁵¹ Ordinary vacuum cleaning is not effective in removing asbestos fibres, which

Table 5 Local deposits of mineral fibres (asbestos or erionite), occurrence of plaques, and of malignant mesothelioma

Country or area	Type of fibre	Plaques (% of investigated inhabitants)	Mesothelioma risk ($\times 10^6$ /y)	Comment	Reference
Afghanistan	Tremolite	—	—	Case report only	108
Austria	Tremolite	5.3	Not increased	Vineyard and field workers	109 110
Bulgaria	Anthophyllite	2.8 women	Not increased	Tobacco growers	111 112
	Tremolite	5.6 men			
Corsica	Tremolite	41 (>50 y)	High	General pollution	113 114
Cyprus	Tremolite		High	General pollution	115
Czechoslovakia	Unknown	2.7–6.6	—	Farmers	116
Finland	Anthophyllite	6.5–9.0	Not increased		117 118
Greece:					
Metsovo	Tremolite	46.9	280	White washing houses	119–121
			140 (1985–94)		122
SW Aridea, Macedonia		24.2 (>40 y)	High		123
New Caledonia $\times 2$			8.3*	White washing houses	124
			300†		125
S Africa	Amosite crocidolite	2.5–6.6	High	Population around mine	4
TU	Tremolite	1.2–25	High	White washing houses	126–128
	Erionite	65		Farmers	19, 128, 129
USSR	Unknown	Locally high			130

*12 cases/145 000 inhabitants/10 y.

†Occurrence in local area.

Table 6 Fibre concentrations in air and lungs with non-occupational exposure to asbestos

Type of exposure	Fibres in air (fibre/l)	Fibres in lungs ($\times 10^3$ /g dry tissue)	Reference
Domestic exposure (paraoccupational)		5.3-319.5 0.0049	11 102
Near asbestos mines, factories, etc:			
In Wittenoom:			48
When mine operating	0.5		
After closure of mine	0.01-0.21		
Farmers near mine in Canada		1.2-26.8	134
Local asbestos findings:			
Greece:			123
In the yard of an abandoned house	0.01		
In a newly whitewashed room	0.02-17.9		
Corsica	*	21 $\pm$ 11	114
New Caledonia:			124, 125
While sweeping floor	78		
Road dust, clouds	0.06-0.67		
Asbestos in place:			
Teacher's aid		0.0043	102
Female office worker		31 (TEM)	103

*39 ng/m³ (100 times higher than controls). TEM = transmission electron microscopy.

can remain for years in the house and be airborne again whenever disturbed. Thus, domestic exposure is not low exposure.

Environmental concentrations in the villages where whitewashing occurs, low values are reported when there is no disturbance, but in a newly whitewashed room and while sweeping floors, the concentrations can be quite considerable (table 6).

With asbestos in place, as long as the asbestos is undisturbed the concentrations in the air are very low (zero or hardly measurable: ≤ 0.001 fibres/ml), but once deterioration takes place values can go up to 15 fibres/ml, and when being removed there can be even higher values. In schools in the United States, the mean concentration was estimated to be 0.003 fibres/ml, and in federal buildings 0.006.¹³⁵

Thus, with so called non-occupational exposure, the typical exposure is a low or very low, almost unmeasurable, background concentration, but occasional high exposure when there is a disturbance of some kind. It follows, firstly, that retrospective estimation of cumulative exposure from history alone is an impossibility in most cases; but secondly, and perhaps more importantly, that any person living or working in (or even temporarily visiting) buildings where asbestos has been used in construction or otherwise might well have been exposed to high concentrations of airborne asbestos fibres once or many times in their lives, and in most instances unknowingly. This includes most of us!

A better way of estimating lifelong exposure might be analysis of fibres in the lungs, but as already mentioned fibres do clear from the lungs. How big the differences in clearance are between people is unknown. Thus, the correlation between lifetime cumulative exposure and fibre concentrations in the lungs is not excellent, but the findings from the lungs probably give a better estimation of exposure than even a careful retrospective analysis of the patient's history, at least in low grade exposure. In most studies, there is a clear dose-response relation between exposure and the number of

Fibres in the lungs of patients with non-occupational mesothelioma

As has been mentioned, asbestos fibres can be found in the lungs of the general population without any known exposure. In Germany, the upper normal limit was estimated to be 300 000 fibres/g dry lung. In a large study of 324 malignant mesotheliomas, from which 46 lung samples were available, it was found that even at a fibre concentration of 100 000-200 000 fibres/g, there was a fivefold increased risk of mesothelioma, which was significant.¹³⁶ In this study (which has unfortunately only been published in German) as in many others, the mean number of fibres in the lungs of patients with malignant mesothelioma is much higher than the normal values, but there are usually patients with values that lie within the normal level.^{25 137-141}

Discussion

Any asbestos fibre found in a lung must have been inhaled. As far as is known, no truly unexposed group can be found in the world. There is no proof of a threshold value—that is, a minimal lower limit below which asbestos fibres cannot cause the tumour—and thus it is plausible that even such low exposure can cause mesothelioma (even if the risk is extremely low). Patients with mesothelioma whose lungs show fibre concentrations within the normal range cannot be dismissed as background cases,—that is, not due to asbestos. The only way to prove such a hypothesis would be to compare the incidence of mesothelioma in a group with such background exposure with the incidence in a truly non-exposed group. This is not possible, as no such group can be found.

It is nevertheless possible that there is a background level of mesothelioma,—that is, that the tumour can occur even in the complete absence of asbestos (or erionite) fibres. However, the data reviewed here indicate that if so, this background level must be very low—probably much <1 case/million people/year. This figure comes from studies of industrialised countries, where background exposure to asbestos is unavoidable. What the true figure is can only be guessed.

What, then, are the consequences for the public health? From the studies of non-occupational exposures it seems probable that the occasional high level exposure situations are the ones that are most important. Although the background, hardly measurable, concentrations of fibres in the air cannot be completely dismissed, the cumulative risk of these exposures is probably minor—and what is more, there is no way to reduce these concentrations. It is the high concentration situations which should be avoided. By knowing where asbestos occurs, such risks could be identified. Any source of pollution by asbestos which releases significant amounts of fibres should be eliminated as soon as it is discovered, using correct equipment and techniques. Correct techniques are also necessary whenever rebuilding or tearing down of structures containing asbestos to

the asbestos is well contained and not disturbed, it is usually better to leave it in place. In many instances, encapsulation is also better than removal.¹⁴²

- 1 Langer AM. Inorganic particles in human tissue and their association with neoplastic disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 1974;9:229-33.
- 2 Gloag D. Asbestos fibres and the environment. *BMJ* 1981;282:623-6.
- 3 Churg A. Fiber counting and analysis in the diagnosis of asbestos-related disease. *Hum Pathol* 1982;13:381-92.
- 4 Sluis-Cremer GK, Liddell FDK, Logan WDP, et al. The mortality of amphibole miners in South Africa, 1946-80. *Br J Ind Med* 1992;49:566-75.
- 5 Cookson WOCM, Glancy JJ, de Klerk NH, et al. Benign and malignant pleural effusions in former Wittenoom miners and millers. *Aust N Z J Med* 1985;15:731-7.
- 6 Rogers A, Nevill M. Occupational and environmental mesotheliomas due to crocidolite mining activities in Wittenoom, Western Australia. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1995;21:259-64.
- 7 Selikoff IJ, Seidman H. Asbestos-associated deaths among insulation workers in the United States and Canada, 1967-87. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:1-14.
- 8 Berry G. Mortality of workers certified by pneumoconiosis medical panels as having asbestosis. *Br J Ind Med* 1981;38:130-7.
- 9 Navratil M. Schicksal von Patienten mit Asbestose in Verlauf einer 25jährigen präventiven Gesundheitspflege. *Zentralblatt Arbeitsmedizin und Arbeitsschutz* 1979;29:259-64.
- 10 Courts II, Gilson JC. Mortality in cases of asbestosis diagnosed by a pneumoconiosis panel. *Thorax* 1987;42:111-6.
- 11 Gibbs AR, Griffiths DM, Pooley FD, et al. Comparison of fibre types and size distributions in lung tissues of paracoccupational and occupational cases of malignant mesothelioma. *Br J Ind Med* 1990;47:621-6.
- 12 Rogers A, Leigh J, Berry G, et al. Relation between lung asbestos fiber type and concentration and relative risk of mesothelioma. A case-control study. *Cancer* 1991;67:1912-20.
- 13 Karjalainen A, Meurman LO, Pukkala E. Four cases of mesothelioma among Finnish anthophyllite miners. *Occup Environ Health* 1994;51:212-15.
- 14 Smith AH, Wright CC. Chrysotile asbestos is the main cause of pleural mesothelioma. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;30:252-66.
- 15 Stayner LT, Dankovic DA, Lemen RA. Occupational exposure to chrysotile asbestos and cancer risk: a review of the amphibole hypothesis. *Am J Public Health* 1995;86:179-86.
- 16 Jones RD, Smith DM, Thomas PG. Mesothelioma in Great Britain in 1968-83. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1988;14:145-52.
- 17 Weiss SW, Tavassoli FA. Multicystic mesothelioma. An analysis of pathologic findings and biologic behaviour in 37 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1988;12:737-46.
- 18 Hillerdal G. Malignant mesothelioma 1982: review of 4710 published cases. *Br J Dis Chest* 1983;77:321-43.
- 19 Baris YI, Simonato L, Arvinli M, et al. Epidemiological and environmental evidence of the health effects of exposure to erionite fibers: a four-year study in the Cappadocian region of Turkey. *Int J Cancer* 1987;39:10-17.
- 20 Hillerdal G, Bergh J. Malignant mesothelioma secondary to chronic inflammation and old scars. Two new cases and review of the literature. *Cancer* 1985;55:1968-72.
- 21 Cavazza A, Travis LB, Travis WD, et al. Post-irradiation malignant mesothelioma. *Cancer* 1996;77:1379-85.
- 22 Neagut AI, Ahsan H, Antman KH. Incidence of malignant pleural mesothelioma after thoracic radiotherapy. *Cancer* 1997;80:948-50.
- 23 Mizuki M, Yukishige K, Abe Y, et al. A case of malignant pleural mesothelioma following exposure to atomic radiation in Nagasaki. *Respirology* 1997;2:201-5.
- 24 Mårtensson G, Larsson S, Zettergren L. Malignant mesothelioma in two pairs of siblings: is there a hereditary predisposing factor? *Eur J Respir Dis* 1984;65:179-84.
- 25 Dawson A, Gibbs A, Browne K, et al. Familial mesothelioma: details of 17 cases with histopathologic findings and mineral analysis. *Cancer* 1992;70:1183-7.
- 26 Hirvonen A, Pelin K, Tammilehto L, et al. Inherited GSM1 and NAT2 defects as concurrent risk modifiers in asbestos-related human malignant mesothelioma. *Cancer Res* 1995;55:2981-3.
- 27 Huncharek M, Kelsey K, Muscat J, et al. Parental cancer and genetic predisposition in malignant pleural mesothelioma: a case-control study. *Cancer Lett* 1996;102:205-8.
- 28 Behling CA, Wolf PL, Haghghi P. AIDS and malignant mesothelioma: is there a connection? *Chest* 1993;103:1268-9.
- 29 Idemiyor V, Cherubin CE. Rapidly progressive mesothelioma in an HIV-positive patient. *Ann Pharmacother* 1992;26:429.
- 30 Schiffman M, Pickle LW, Fonham E, et al. Case-control study of diet and mesothelioma in Louisiana. *Cancer Res* 1988;48:2911-15.
- 31 Muscat JE, Huncharek M. Dietary intake and the risk of malignant mesothelioma. *Br J Cancer* 1996;73:1122-5.
- 32 Stenton SC. Asbestos, Simian virus 40 and malignant mesothelioma. *Thorax* 1997;52(suppl 3):S52-7.
- 33 Enterline PE, Henderson VL. Geographic patterns for pleural mesothelioma deaths in the United States, 1968-81. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1987;79:31-7.
- 34 McDonald AD, McDonald JC. Malignant mesothelioma in North America. *Cancer* 1980;46:1650-6.
- 35 Chailleur B, Pioche D, Chopra S, et al. Épidémiologie du mésothéliome pleural malin dans la région de Nantes-Saint-Nazaire. Evolution 1956-92. *Rev Mal Respir* 1995;12:353-7.
- 36 Weiss NS, Martin J, Suarez L, et al. Review of mesothelioma incidence and mortality in Texas. *Texas Med* 1988;84:44-8.
- 37 Hinds MW. Mesothelioma in the United States. Incidence in the 1970s. *J Occup Med* 1978;20:469-71.
- 38 Spirtas R, Beebe GW, Connolly RR. Recent trends in mesothelioma incidence in the United States. *Am J Ind Med* 1986;9:397-407.
- 39 Grupo de Estudio del Mesotelioma en Barcelona. Mortalidad por mesotelioma pleural en la provincia de Barcelona. *Med Clin (Barc)* 1993;101:565-9.
- 40 Peto J, Hodgson JT, Matthews FE, et al. Continuing increase in mesothelioma mortality in Britain. *Lancet* 1995;345:535-9.
- 41 Karjalainen A, Pukkala E, Mattson K, et al. Trends in mesothelioma incidence and occupational mesotheliomas in Finland in 1960-95. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1997;23:266-70.
- 42 Andersson M, Olsen H. Trend and distribution of mesothelioma in Denmark. *Br J Cancer* 1985;51:699-705.
- 43 Leigh J, Corvalán CF, Grimwood A, et al. The incidence of malignant mesothelioma in Australia 1982-8. *Am J Ind Med* 1991;20:643-55.
- 44 Leigh J, Hull B, Davidsson P. Australian mesothelioma register report. The incidence of mesothelioma in Australia 1992-4. Sydney: National Institute of Occupational Health and Safety, 1996.
- 45 McDonald JC, Armstrong B, Case B, et al. Mesothelioma and asbestos fiber type. Evidence from lung tissue analyses. *Cancer* 1989;63:1544-7.
- 46 Roggli VL, Pratt PC, Brody AR. Asbestos content of lung tissue in asbestos related diseases. A study of 110 cases. *Br J Ind Med* 1986;43:18-28.
- 47 Churg A, Wright JL, Vedral S. Fiber burden and patterns of asbestos-related disease in chrysotile miners and millers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148:25-31.
- 48 Hansen J, de Klerk NH, Musk AW, et al. Environmental exposure to crocidolite and mesothelioma. Exposure-response relationships. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;157:69-75.
- 49 Doll R, Peto J. Effects on health of exposure to asbestos. A report to the Health and Safety Commission. London: The Stationery Office, 1985.
- 50 Landrigan PJ. A population of children at risk of exposure to asbestos in place. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:283-6.
- 51 de Klerk NH, Musk AW, Williams V, et al. Comparisons of measures of exposure to asbestos in former crocidolite workers from Wittenoom Gorge, W Australia. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;30:579-87.
- 52 Bianchi C, Giarelli L, Garndi G, et al. Latency periods in asbestos-related mesothelioma of the pleura. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1997;6:162-6.
- 53 Browne K. Asbestos-related mesothelioma: epidemiological evidence for asbestos as a promoter. *Arch Environ Health* 1983;38:261-6.
- 54 Ilgren BB, Wagner JC. Background incidence of mesothelioma: animal and human evidence. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1991;13:133-49.
- 55 Ilgren BB, Browne K. Asbestos-related mesothelioma: evidence for a threshold in animals and humans. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 1991;13:116-32.
- 56 Ashcroft T. Epidemiological and quantitative relationships between mesothelioma and asbestos on Tyneside. *J Clin Pathol* 1973;26:832-40.
- 57 Chellini E, Fornaciari G, Merler E, et al. Pleural malignant mesothelioma in Tuscany, Italy (1970-88): II. Identification of occupational exposure to asbestos. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;49:599.
- 58 Spirtas R, Heinemann EF, Bernstein L, et al. Malignant mesothelioma: attributable risk of asbestos exposure. *Occup Environ Med* 1994;51:804-11.
- 59 Howell D, Arblaster L, Swinburne L, et al. Routes of asbestos exposure and the development of mesothelioma in an English region. *Occup Environ Med* 1997;54:403-9.
- 60 Yates DH, Corrin B, Stidolph PN, et al. Malignant mesothelioma in south east England: clinicopathological experience of 272 cases. *Thorax* 1997;52:507-12.
- 61 Mark EJ, Yokoi T. Absence of evidence for a significant background incidence of diffuse malignant mesothelioma apart from asbestos exposure. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:196-204.
- 62 McDonald JC, McDonald AD. The epidemiology of mesothelioma in historical context. *Eur Respir J* 1996;9:1932-42.
- 63 Fraire AE, Cooper S, Greenberg SD, et al. Mesothelioma of childhood. *Cancer* 1988;62:838-47.
- 64 Haque AK, Kanz MF. Asbestos bodies in children's lungs. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1988;112:514-18.
- 65 Haque AK, Vrazel DM, Burau K, et al. Is there transplacental transfer of asbestos? A study of 40 stillborn infants. *Ped Pathol Lab Med* 1996;16:877-92.
- 66 Booth SJ, Weaver EJM. Malignant pleural mesothelioma five years after domestic exposure to blue asbestos. *Lancet* 1986;ii:435.

- 67 Fortman JD, Manaligod JR, Bennett BT. Malignant mesothelioma in an olive baboon (*Papio anubis*). *Lab Animal Sci* 1993;43:503-5.
- 68 Glickman LT, Domanski LM, Maguire TG, et al. Mesothelioma in pet dogs associated with exposure of their owners to asbestos. *Environ Res* 1983;32:305-13.
- 69 Harbison ML, Godleski JJ. Malignant mesothelioma in urban dogs. *Vet Pathol* 1983;20:531-40.
- 70 Smith DA, Hill FW. Metastatic malignant mesothelioma in a dog. *J Comp Pathol* 1989;100:97-101.
- 71 Herman RL. Mesothelioma in rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 1985;8:373-6.
- 72 Mirabella F. Studio epidemiologico del mesothelioma spontaneo canino: sua possibile utilita' in riferimento alla patologia umana. *Med Lavoro* 1987;78:28-32.
- 73 Järholm B, Malker H, Malker B, et al. Pleural mesothelioma and asbestos exposure in the pulp and paper industries: a new risk group identified by linkage of official registers. *Am J Ind Med* 1988;13:561-7.
- 74 Finkelstein MM. Asbestos-associated cancers in the Ontario refinery and petrochemical sector. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;30:610-15.
- 75 Imbernon E, Goldberg M, Bonenfant S, et al. Occupational respiratory cancer and exposure to asbestos: a case-control study in a cohort of workers in the electricity and gas industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1995;28:339-52.
- 76 Kern DG, Hanley KT, Roggli VL. Malignant mesothelioma in the jewelry industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;21:409-16.
- 77 Maltoni C, Pinto C, Valenti D, et al. Mesotheliomas following exposure to asbestos used in sugar refineries: report of 11 Italian cases. In: A Mehlman, A Upton, eds. *Advances in modern environmental toxicology*. Vol XXII. *The identification and control of environmental and occupational diseases: hazards and risks of chemicals in the oil refining industry*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Scientific, 1994:629-34.
- 78 Talcott JA, Thurber WA, Kantor AF, et al. Asbestos-associated disease in a cohort of cigarette-filter workers. *N Engl J Med* 1990;321:1220-3.
- 79 Quinn MM, Kriebel D, Buiatti F, et al. An asbestos hazard in the reprocessed textile industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1987;11:255-67.
- 80 Kane MJ, Chahinina AP, Holland JF. Malignant mesothelioma in young adults. *Cancer* 1990;65:1449-55.
- 81 Magnani C, Terracini B, Ivaldi C, et al. A cohort study on mortality among wives of workers in the asbestos cement industry in Casale Monferrato, Italy. *Br J Ind Med* 1993;59:779-84.
- 82 Schneider J, Weitowitz HJ. Tumors linked to para-occupational exposure to airborne asbestos. *Indoor Built Environment* 1996;5:67-75.
- 83 Botha JL, Irwig LM, Strebel PM. Excess mortality from stomach cancer, lung cancer, and asbestosis and/or mesothelioma in crocidolite mining districts in South Africa. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;123:30-40.
- 84 Musk AW, de Klerk N, Eccles JL, et al. Wittenoom, Western Australia: a modern industrial disaster. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;21:735-47.
- 85 Harrington JS. Mesothelioma among workers in the Québec chrysotile mining and milling industry. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;22:925-6.
- 86 Churg A. Lung asbestos content in long-term residents of a chrysotile mining town. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1986;134:125-7.
- 87 Hain E, Dalquen P, Bohligh H, et al. Katamnestic Untersuchungen zur Genese des Mesothelioms. Bericht über 150 Fälle aus dem Hamburger Raum. *Internationales Archiv für Arbeitsmedizin* 1974;33:15-37.
- 88 Smith RJ. Briefing. *Science* 1979;204:285.
- 89 Longo WE, Rigler MW, Slade J. Crocidolite asbestos fibers in smoke from original Kent cigarettes. *Cancer Res* 1995;55:2232-5.
- 90 Moorcroft JS, Duggan MJ. Rate of decline of asbestos fibre concentration in room air. *Ann Occup Hyg* 1984;28:453-7.
- 91 Suta BE, Levine RJ. Non-occupational asbestos emissions and exposures. In: L Micahels, SS Chissick, eds. *Asbestos*. Vol 1. *Properties, applications, and hazards*. New York: John Wiley, 1979:171-205.
- 92 Cunningham HM, Moodie CA, Lawrence GA, et al. Chronic effects of ingested asbestos in rats. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 1977;6:507-13.
- 93 Sébastien P, Masse R, Bignon J. Recovery of ingested asbestos fibers from the gastrointestinal lymph in rats. *Environ Res* 1980;22:201-16.
- 94 Cook MP, Olson GF. Ingested mineral fibers: elimination in human urine. *Science* 1979;204:195-8.
- 95 Boatman H. Use of quantitative analysis of urine to assess exposure to asbestos fibers in drinking water in the Puget Sound area. *Environ Health Perspect* 1983;53:131-9.
- 96 Webber JS, Syrotynski S, King MV. Asbestos-contaminated drinking water: its impact on household air. *Environ Res* 1988;46:153-67.
- 97 Greenberg M. Malignant mesothelioma in paper mill workers: where might the asbestos have come from? *Am J Ind Med* 1996;30:641.
- 98 Molloy LB. Asbestos in place in metropolitan New York. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:614-21.
- 99 Anderson HA, Hanrahan L, Schirmer J, et al. Mesothelioma among employees with likely contact with in-place asbestos-containing building materials. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:550-72.
- 100 Dodson RF, O'Sullivan M, Corn C. Technique dependent variations in asbestos burden as illustrated in a case of non-
- 101 Huncharek M. Occult asbestos exposure. *Am J Ind Med* 1991;20:713-14.
- 102 Roggli VL, Longo WE. Mineral fiber content of lung tissue in patients with environmental exposures: household contacts v building occupants. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:511-18.
- 103 Srebro S, Roggli VL. Asbestos-related disease associated with asbestiform tremolite. *Am J Ind Med* 1994;26:809-19.
- 104 Lillienfeld DE. Asbestos-associated pleural mesothelioma in school teachers: a discussion of four cases. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1991;643:454-8.
- 105 Stein RC, Kitajewska JY, Kirkham JB, et al. Pleural mesothelioma resulting from exposure to amosite asbestos in a building. *Respir Med* 1989;83:237-9.
- 106 Dodoli D, Del Nevo M, Fiumalbi C, et al. Environmental household exposures to asbestos and occurrence of pleural mesothelioma. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;21:681-7.
- 107 Hillerdal G. Pleural plaques: incidence and epidemiology, exposed workers and the general population. *Indoor Built Environment* 1997;6:86-95.
- 108 Vnisin C, Marin I, Brochard P, et al. Environmental airborne tremolite asbestos pollution and pleural plaques in Afghanistan. *Chest* 1994;106:974-6.
- 109 Neuburger M, Gründorfer W, Haider M, et al. Umweltbedingte endemische Pleuraplaques. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie und Hygiene, Abteil Original* 1978;167:391-404.
- 110 Neuburger M, Kundl M, Friedl HP. Environmental asbestos exposure and cancer mortality. *Arch Environ Health* 1984;39:261-5.
- 111 Burilkov T, Babadjov L. Ein Beitrag zum endemischen Auftreten doppelseitiger Pleuraverkalkungen. *Praxis für Pneumologie* 1970;24:433-8.
- 112 Zolov C, Bourilkov T, Babadjov L. Pleural asbestosis in agricultural workers. *Environ Res* 1967;1:287-92.
- 113 Rey F, Boutin C, Steinbauer J, et al. Environmental pleural plaques in an asbestos exposed population of Northeast Corsica. *Eur Respir J* 1993;6:978-82.
- 114 Rey F, Viallat JR, Boutin C, et al. Les mésotéliomes environnementaux en Corse du nord-est. *Rev Mal Respir* 1993;10:339-45.
- 115 McConnochie K, Simonato L, Mavrides P, et al. Mesothelioma in Cyprus: the role of tremolite. *Thorax* 1987;43:342-7.
- 116 Marsova M. Beitrag zur Ätiologie der Pleuraverkalkungen. *Zeitschrift für Tuberkulose* 1964;121:329-34.
- 117 Kivluoto R. Pleural calcification as a roentgenologic sign of non-occupational endemic anthophyllite asbestosis. *Acta Radiol* 1960;(suppl 194).
- 118 Raunio V. Occurrence of unusual pleural calcification in Finland. Studies on atmospheric pollution caused by asbestos. *Annales de Medicina Interna Fenniae* 1966;55(suppl 47).
- 119 Constantopoulos SH, Malamou-Mitsi VD, Goudevenos JA, et al. High incidence of malignant pleural mesothelioma in neighbouring villages of Northwestern Greece. *Respiration* 1987;51:266-71.
- 120 Bazas T, Oakes D, Gilson JC, et al. Pleural calcification in northwest Greece. *Environ Res* 1985;38:239-47.
- 121 Langer AM, Nolan RP, Constantopoulos SH, et al. Association of Metsovo lung and pleural mesothelioma with exposure to tremolite-containing whitewash. *Lancet* 1987;i:965-7.
- 122 Sakellariou K, Malamou-Mitsi V, Haritou A, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma from non-occupational exposure in Metsovo (north-west Greece): slow end of an epidemic? *Eur Respir J* 1996;9:1206-10.
- 123 Sichelidis L, Daskalopoulou E, Chioros D, et al. Five cases of pleural mesothelioma with endemic pleural calcifications in a rural area in Greece. *Med Lavoro* 1992;83:259-65.
- 124 Goldberg P, Luce D, Billon-Galland MA, et al. Role potentiel de l'exposition environnementale et domestique à la tremolite dans le cancer de la plèvre en Nouvelle Calédonie. *Rev Epidemiol Santé Publ* 1995;43:444-50.
- 125 Luce D, Brochard P, Quénel P, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma associated with exposure to tremolite. *Lancet* 1994;ii:1777.
- 126 Baris YI, Sahin AA, Ozesmi M, et al. An outbreak of pleural mesothelioma and chronic fibrosing pleurisy in the village of Karain/Urgup in Anatolia. *Thorax* 1978;33:181-92.
- 127 Baris YI, Bilir N, Artvinli M, et al. An epidemiological study in an Anatolian village environmentally exposed to tremolite asbestos. *Br J Ind Med* 1988;45:838-40.
- 128 Selcuk Z, Cöplü L, Emri S, et al. Malignant pleural mesothelioma due to environmental mineral fiber exposure in Turkey. Analysis of 135 cases. *Chest* 1992;102:790-6.
- 129 Artvinli M, Baris YI. Environmental fiber-induced pleuropulmonary diseases in an Anatolian village: an epidemiologic study. *Arch Environ Health* 1982;37:177-81.
- 130 Ginzburg EA, Silova MV, Kornejeva MJ, et al. Röntgenologie der nichtberufs-bedingten Asbestose der Pleura. *Radio-logia Diagnostica* 1973;14:307-12.
- 131 Boman G, Schubert V, Svane B, et al. Malignant mesothelioma in Turkish immigrants to Sweden. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1982;8:108-12.
- 132 Harries PG. *The effects and control of diseases associated with exposure to asbestos in a naval dockyard* [MD Thesis]. Alverstoke, Gosport, UK: Institute of Naval Medicine, 1970.
- 133 McMillan GHG. The health of welders in naval dockyards. *J Occup Med* 1983;25:727-30.
- 134 Churg A. Analysis of lung asbestos content. *Br J Ind Med* 1992;49:57-61.

- 135 U S Environmental Protection Agency. *EPA study on asbestos containing materials in public buildings*. Washington, DC: USEPA, 1986.
- 136 Woitowitz HJ, Hillerdal G, Calavrezos A, et al. *Risiko-und Einflussfaktoren des diffusen malignen Mesothelioms (DMM)*. *Schriftenreihe der Bundesanstalt für Arbeitsschutz*. Bonn: BfA, 1993. (Fb698.)
- 137 Murai Y, Kiragawa M. Asbestos fiber analysis in 27 malignant mesothelioma cases. *Am J Ind Med* 1992;22:193-207.
- 138 Tuomi T, Segerberg-Kontinen M, Tammilehto L, et al. Mineral fiber concentration in lung tissue of mesothelioma patients in Finland. *Am J Ind Med* 1989;16:247-54.
- 139 Tuomi T, Huuskonen M, Tammilehto L, et al. Relative risk of mesothelioma associated with different levels of exposure to asbestos. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1991;17:404-8.
- 140 Sakai K, Hisanaga N, Huang J, et al. Asbestos and non-asbestos fiber content in lung tissue of Japanese patients with malignant mesothelioma. *Cancer* 1994;73:1825-35.
- 141 Dodson RF, O'Sullivan M, Corn CJ. Analysis of asbestos fiber burden in lung tissue from mesothelioma patients. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 1997;21:321-36.
- 142 Ferguson D. Low-level asbestos: the priorities are wrong. *Med J Aust* 1990;152:617-18.

Occupational and Environmental Medicine - <http://www.occenvmed.com>

Visitors to the world wide web can now access Occupational and Environmental Medicine either through the BMJ Publishing Group's home page (<http://www.bmjpg.com>) or directly by using its individual URL (<http://www.occenvmed.com>). There they will find the following:

- Current contents list for the journal
- Contents lists of previous issues
- Members of the editorial board
- Subscribers' information
- Instructions for authors
- Details of reprint services.

A hotlink gives access to:

- BMJ Publishing Group home page
- British Medical Association website
- Online books catalogue
- BMJ Publishing Group books.

The web site is at a preliminary stage and there are plans to develop it into a more sophisticated site. Suggestions from visitors about features they would like to see are welcomed. They can be left via the opening page of the BMJ Publishing Group site or, alternatively, via the journal page, through "about this site".