

1 McCUTCHEN, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN
JOHN W. FOWLER
2 STUART C. WALKER
601 California Street
3 San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 981-3400
4 Attorneys for Defendant
GAF CORPORATION
5
6
7

8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10	ESMAEL P. VELASQUEZ,	)	
		)	
11	Plaintiff,	)	No. 681-172
		)	
12	v.	)	RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT
		)	GAF CORPORATION TO
13	FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS	)	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET
	CORPORATION, a corporation,	)	<u>OF INTERROGATORIES</u>
14	et al.,	)	
		)	
15	Defendants.)	)	
		)	
16		)	

17 Defendant GAF CORPORATION (GAF) responds to
18 plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories as follows:

19 Interrogatory A. Please state the name and
20 official title or position in defendant corporation of
21 the person or persons answering these interrogatories
22 and signing the same.

23 Response. Counsel for GAF prepared the answers
24 to these interrogatories. Jonathan Berger, Assistant
25 Secretary of GAF, verified the same.
26

RECEIVED

OCT 16 1975

McCutchen, Doyle, Brown, W. F. & Larsen

1 Interrogatory B. For the period of time running
2 from January 1, 1943 through January 31, 1974 (hereinafter
3 referred to as "the period in question"):

4 1. Did you manufacture any products which con-
5 tained asbestos? If so,

6 a) Please identify by name and address
7 each of your facilities, located either in
8 California or elsewhere, which manufactured
9 such products for shipment to points in California.

10 b) Please state the period of time during
11 which each such facility was engaged in the manu-
12 facture of such products.

13 c) Please given the name and present address
14 of each individual who was in charge at each such
15 facility during the period in question, and the ap-
16 proximate period of such service for each such in-
17 dividual.

18 2. If you manufactured or supplied products con-
19 taining any asbestos, for shipment to points in
20 California,

21 a) Were they distributed in California by
22 you? If so, please identify each such distribu-
23 tion point in California, including the name
24 under which it was operated, its address, and
25 the period during which it was operated.

26 b) Did you supply such products to others

1 circumstances and considerations which
2 motivated you to use such warnings.

3 4) Please point out and describe
4 any changes you made in such warnings, the
5 dates of such changes and the reasons for
6 such changes.

7 5) Please state the names, present
8 addresses and title of all persons on whose
9 opinions you relied in determining to put
10 such warnings on your products or their
11 containers.

12 d) Did you distribute, publish or otherwise
13 disseminate any warnings of the possible health
14 hazards of asbestos or products containing
15 asbestos, other than by putting such a warning
16 on such products or their containers? If so,

17 1) Please state the precise wording
18 of such warning or warnings.

19 2) Please state the dates and
20 methods used to disseminate each such
21 warning.

22 3) Please state the name and address
23 of each person, corporation or entity to whom
24 such distribution, publication or dissemi-
25 nation was made.

26

1 Response.

2 1. Yes.

3 a) No GAF plant manufactured industrial
4 insulation products containing asbestos specifically for ship-
5 ment to or within California.

6 b) Not applicable.

7 c) Not applicable.

8 2. a) GAF did not distribute, as that term is
9 commonly used, industrial insulation products containing
10 asbestos in California.

11 b) GAF did not have control over others who
12 may have shipped or sold GAF industrial insulation products
13 containing asbestos to or in California.

14 3. a) Subject to its answer to Interrogatory
15 B.1.a., GAF states that it manufactured the following in-
16 dustrial insulation products containing asbestos.

17 (i) Calsilite - high temperature pipe cover-
18 ing and block. The product contained 10% asbestos and the
19 balance consisted of diatomaceous earth and hydrous lime
20 silicate.

21 (ii) 7M Cement - this product was composed
22 almost entirely of asbestos fibers.

23 (iii) T/NA100 - Tedlar neoprene asbestos
24 insulating jacketing. This product contained 40% asbestos
25 and the remainder consisted of Tedlar (poly vinyl flouride and
26

1 neoprene).

2 (iv) Asbestos paper and millboard. The asbestos
3 content of these products varied from time to time.

4 b) (i) Amosite

5 (ii), (iii) and (iv) Crysotile

6 c) Yes

7 (1), (2) In approximately 1965 defendant GAF began
8 placing warning notices on the packaging of its industrial
9 products which contained asbestos. Such notices employed
10 the following language:

11 "CAUTION"

12 "CONTAINS ASBESTOS FIBER. INHALATION IN
13 EXCESSIVE QUANTITIES OVER LONG PERIODS OF
TIME MAY BE HARMFUL. AVOID BREATHING DUST.

14 IF ADEQUATE VENTILATION IS NOT POSSIBLE,
15 WEAR RESPIRATORS APPROVED BY THE U.S.
16 BUREAU OF MINES FOR PNEUMOCONIOSIS PRO-
DUCING DUST."

17 "CAUTION. This product contains asbestos
18 fiber. Inhalation of asbestos in excessive
19 quantities over long periods of time may be
20 harmful. If dust is created when this pro-
21 duct is handled, avoid breathing the dust.
If adequate ventilation control is not pos-
sible, wear respirators approved by the U.S.
Bureau of Mines for pneumoconiosis producing
dust."

22 (3), (5) In using these warnings, GAF was following
23 other manufacturers in the industry, who used such cautionary
24 notices after some opinions were expressed by members of the
25 medical profession that there might be a health risk to some
26 persons who installed industrial insulation products contain-

1 asbestos from the inhalation of excessive quantities of
2 asbestos fibers over prolonged periods of time under certain
3 conditions.

4 (4) Commencing in 1972, pursuant to the re-
5 quirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of
6 1970, defendant GAF placed a notice employing the follow-
7 ing warning on the packaging of its industrial insulation
8 products containing asbestos which were required to be labeled
9 pursuant to such Act:

10 "CAUTION"

11 "CONTAINS ASBESTOS FIBERS
12 AVOID CREATING DUST
13 BREATHING ASBESTOS DUST MAY CAUSE
14 SERIOUS BODILY HARM"

15 d) No.

16 Interrogatory C. Do you presently manufacture or
17 supply products which contain any asbestos for shipment to
18 points in California? If so,

19 1. Please identify each such product by
20 stating its trade name and by describing it and
21 its contents with particularity.

22 2. Please indicate whether each such
23 product contains either amosite or chrysotile
24 asbestos, or both.

25 3. Do you put on such products or their
26 containers any warning of their hazards to health
by virtue of the asbestos content of such
products? If so,

1 a) Please describe each such warn-
2 ing with particularity, with regard to size,
3 nature and content. If possible, please en-
4 close a photograph thereof.

5 b) Please state when you began using
6 such warnings.

7 c) Please state all of the facts, cir-
8 cumstances and considerations which motivated
9 you to use such warnings.

10 d) Please point out and describe any
11 changes you have made in such warnings, the
12 dates of such changes, and the reasons therefor.

13 e) Please state the names, present ad-
14 dresses and titles of all persons on whose
15 opinions you relied in determining to put such
16 warnings on your products or their containers.

17 Response. GAF does not presently manufacture or
18 supply any industrial insulation products containing asbestos.

19 Interrogatory D. Have you ever "recalled" or sought
20 the return to you of any of your asbestos-containing products
21 from those to whom such products were supplied? If so,

22 1. Please state the dates of each such recall.

23 2. Please state the trade names of the products
24 which were recalled.

25 3. Please state the facts, circumstances and
26 considerations for each such recall.

1 Response. No.

2 Interrogatory E. Have you ever discontinued the
3 production of any asbestos-containing product which you
4 had previously manufactured? If so,

5 1. Please state the trade names of the products
6 discontinued.

7 2. Please state the dates on which said production
8 was discontinued.

9 3. Please state all of the facts, circumstances
10 and considerations for such discontinuances.

11 Response. All products listed in GAF's answer to
12 Interrogatory B.3.a have been discontinued. Manufacture
13 of such products was discontinued because they were not
14 profitable.

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

1 Interrogatory F. Have you received any complaints
2 of hazard or potential injury to human beings from the use or
3 exposure to your products containing asbestos from any:

4 a) Person

5 1. If so, please state the name and
6 address and phone number of said person making
7 said complaint.

8 2. Please state if said complaint was
9 reduced to writing.

10 3. If the complaint was in writing, please
11 state if you will voluntarily attach a copy of
12 the same to these interrogatories.

13 b) Corporation

14 1. If so, please state the name and
15 address and phone number of said person making
16 said complaint.

17 2. Please state if said complaint was
18 reduced to writing.

19 3. If the complaint was in writing, please
20 state if you will voluntarily attach a copy of
21 the same to these interrogatories.

22 c) Partnership or other entity

23 1. If so, please state the name and
24 address and phone number of said person making
25 said complaint.

26 2. Please state if said complaint was

1 reduced to writing.

2 3. If the complaint was in writing, please
3 state if you will voluntarily attach a copy of
4 the same to these interrogatories.

5 d) Governmental body, either federal, state or
6 local.

7 1. If so, please state the name and address
8 and phone number of said person making said complaint.

9 2. Please state if said complaint was re-
10 duced to writing.

11 3. If the complaint was in writing, please
12 state if you will voluntarily attach a copy of the
13 same to these interrogatories.

14 Response. GAF has had knowledge of deaths or lung
15 diseases among its employees which have been attributed to
16 the inhalation of asbestos dust or fibers over long periods
17 of time under certain environmental conditions that existed
18 prior to GAF's acquisition of facilities utilizing asbestos.
19 To the best of GAF's knowledge, claims related to these deaths
20 or diseases, which arose in the states of Vermont, Missouri
21 and New Jersey, were all filed with appropriate workmen's com-
22 pensation agencies, which files are a matter of public record
23 and equally available to plaintiff and GAF. Such files would
24 normally contain the information requested by this interrogatory.

25 GAF has been or is a defendant in the lawsuits listed
26 in Exhibit A attached hereto which allege damages as a result

1 of injury to plaintiff's or plaintiff's decedent's pulmonary
2 system. The court files in these cases are a matter of public
3 record and are equally available to plaintiff and GAF. Such
4 files would normally contain the information requested by this
5 interrogatory.

6 b), c) No.

7 d) The following GAF plants have received medi-
8 cal citations pursuant to the Occupational Safety and Health
9 Act of 1970, 29 C.F.R. §1910.93a(j), for failure to provide
10 medical examinations for employees exposed to asbestos:

- 11 1) St. Louis, Missouri
- 12 2) Whitehall, Pennsylvania
- 13 3) Kansas City, Missouri

14 The files relating to these citations are a matter of public
15 record and are equally available to plaintiff and GAF. Such
16 files would normally contain the information requested by this
17 interrogatory.

18 Interrogatory G. Have you distributed within house
19 or to any of your distributors any reports from doctors,
20 members of the healing arts or physical or biological
21 scientists concerning hazards or dangers to humans by
22 virtue of exposure to asbestos materials?

- 23 1. If so, please state the date and general
24 content of each such report.
- 25 2. The present custodians thereof.
- 26 3. The author of said report.

1 4. The name, address, phone number and job
2 title of the custodian of said report and of
3 the author of said report.

4 5. To whom said report or reports were
5 distributed.

6 6. If you will do so without a motion to
7 produce, please attach a copy of each said
8 report to your answers to these interrogatories.

9 Response. No such reports have been submitted to
10 GAF from doctors, members of the healing arts or physical
11 or biological scientists. GAF has obtained reports pro-
12 vided by consulting physicians in particular lawsuits in-
13 volving GAF, which reports are protected by the attorney-
14 client privilege and the work-product privilege.

15 Interrogatory H. What efforts have been made by
16 you to reduce the danger or hazard from the materials
17 manufactured by you which contain asbestos?

18 1. Please state in detail all materials which you
19 have substituted for asbestos, if this is the method which
20 you have followed.

21 2. If the product has simply been modified, please
22 state all ingredients that have either been removed or the
23 names of all ingredients which have been added by way of sub-
24 stitution.

25 Response. Not applicable. GAF does not presently
26 manufacture industrial insulation products containing asbestos

1 fibers.

2 Interrogatory I. Did any of your directors,
3 officers, employees, agents, attorneys or paid consultants
4 appear and/or testify as witnesses in a lawsuit captioned
5 United States of America vs. Reserve Mining Company, et al.
6 found in 5-72 Civil 19 in the U.S. District Court for the
7 District of Minnesota and reported in 380 F. Supp. 11 (1974)?

8 1. If so, please state the following:

9 a) The name and present address of each
10 individual.

11 b) The capacity in which each such in-
12 dividual appeared and testified in said proceed-
13 ing.

14 c) Please describe with particularity the
15 subject matter of each such individual's testi-
16 mony and if he testified as an expert, the basis
17 for his expertise.

18 Response. No.

19 Interrogatory J. Do you or your attorneys possess
20 copies of pleadings, exhibits and/or transcripts of testimony
21 from said lawsuit? If so, are you willing to make said docu-
22 ments available to plaintiff for copying at plaintiff's expense?

23 Response. No.

24 Interrogatory K. Have you ever been named as a party
25 defendant in a lawsuit other than this one which alleged that
26 the plaintiff or a decedent had been harmed by asbestos con-

1 tained in your products?

2 1. Please state the caption and case number
3 of each lawsuit and the court in which each such
4 lawsuit was brought.

5 2. If any such lawsuit was tried in a court
6 other than the one in which it was brought, please
7 state the caption, case number and court in which
8 each such lawsuit was tried.

9 3. Was judgment rendered against you in any
10 such lawsuit? If so, please state, with regard to
11 each:

12 a) The amount of such judgment.

13 b) Whether such judgment was affirmed on
14 appeal.

15 c) Whether such judgment was reversed on
16 appeal.

17 4. Please state the caption and citation of
18 each reported decision in each such lawsuit.

19 Response. See Exhibit A attached hereto. The only
20 case in which judgment was rendered was Borel v. Fibreboard et al.
21 Judgment in that case was affirmed on appeal.

22 Dated: October 14, 1975

23 McCUTCHEN, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN
24 JOHN W. FOWLER
25 STUART C. WALKER

26 By Stuart C. Walker
Attorneys for Defendant
GAF Corporation

1 STATE OF NEW YORK)
2 COUNTY OF NEW YORK) : SS.:
3

4
5 JONATHAN BERGER, being duly sworn according to
6 law, deposes and says that he is Assistant Secretary of
7 defendant GAF Corporation; that he is duly authorized to
8 make this affidavit on behalf of said corporation; and that
9 all of the statements set forth in the foregoing Response
10 to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories are true and
11 correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.
12

13  Jonathan Berger
14

15 Sworn to and subscribed
16 before me this 7th
17 day of October, 1975.

18
19 
20 Notary Public

21 My Commission Expires:

22 DIANE LAWRENCE
23 NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York
No. 41-4603279
Qualified in Queens County
Certificate filed in New York County
Commission Expires March 30, 1977.
24
25
26

- 1 Antholz vs. Fibreboard Paper Products, et al.
United States District Court for District of Minnesota -
2 Fifth Division
Case No. 5-70 Civ. 105
- 3 Barrett vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
4 Circuit Court of Missouri, Sixteenth Judicial Circuit
Case No. 784937
- 5 Bell vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., et al.
6 United States District Court for Eastern District of Texas
Case No. B-74-CA-50
- 7 Bellot vs. Fibreboard Corp., et al.
8 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas - Beaumont Division
9 Case No. B-74-253-CA
- 10 Borel vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
11 Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 6449
- 12 Breedlove vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
13 United States District Court - Northern District of Ohio -
Eastern Division
14 Case No. C-74-1130
- 15 Broussard vs. Fibreboard Papers Products Corporation, et al.
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
16 Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-73-CA-300
- 17 Burke vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
18 United States District Court for the Northern District of
Ohio - Eastern Division
19 Case No. C73-239
- 20 Buscaino vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City
21 and County of San Francisco
Case No. 687-191
- 22 Chaddock vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
23 United States District Court for the Northern District of
Ohio - Eastern Division
24 Case No. C-75-102
- 25 Crawford vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
26 Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 6492

- 1 Dunn vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al.
2 United States District Court for the Southern District of
3 Texas - Houston Division
4 Case No. 73-H-1072
- 5 Foster vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
6 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
7 Texas - Beaumont Division
8 Case No. B-74-204-CA
- 9 Frith vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al.
10 United States District Court for the Western District of
11 Louisiana - Shreveport Division
12 Case No. 73-H-1072
- 13 Gibson vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
14 District Court in and for the County of Boulder, Colorado
15 Case No. 74-12-6-2
- 16 Gustafson vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
17 United States District Court for the Fifth Division - District
18 of Minnesota
19 Case No. 5-71-40
- 20 Hallinan vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
21 United States District Court for the Southern District of
22 Indiana - Evansville Division
23 Case No. 75-25-C
- 24 Hamilton vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
25 In the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri at Kansas City
26 Case No. 784,986
- 27 Hartwell vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
28 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
29 Texas - Beaumont Division
30 Case No. 7513
- 31 Jeane vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al.
32 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
33 Texas - Beaumont Division
34 Case No. B-74-337-CA
- 35 Johnson vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
36 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
37 Texas - Beaumont Division
38 Case No. B-74-124-CA
- 39 Karjala vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
40 United States District Court for the District of Minnesota -
41 Fifth Division
42 Case No. 5-71-18

- 1 Kearns vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
2 United States District Court for the Northern District of
3 Ohio - Eastern Division
4 Case No. C73-70
- 5 La Grappe vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al.
6 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
7 Texas - Beaumont Division
8 Case No. B-74-66-CA
- 9 McDaniel vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
10 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
11 Texas - Beaumont Division
12 Case No. 6967
- 13 McGinnis vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
14 United States District Court for the Northern District of
15 Ohio - Eastern Division
16 Case No. C-74-1077
- 17 McLaughlin vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
18 United States District Court for the Northern District of
19 Ohio - Eastern Division
20 Case No. C-74-923
- 21 McNeeley vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
22 United States District Court for the Northern District of
23 Ohio - Eastern Division
24 Case No. C-74-74
- 25 Matthews vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
26 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
27 Texas - Beaumont Division
28 Case No. B-73-CA-309
- 29 Measor vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
30 United States District Court for the Northern District of
31 Ohio - Eastern Division
32 Case No. C73-238
- 33 Mitchell vs. United Asbestos Corporation, et al.
34 State of Illinois - Circuit Court of the Third Judicial Circuit -
35 Madison County
36 Case No. 74-L-676
- 37 Moran vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al.
38 Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
39 Case No. 1699
- 40 Muntean vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
41 United States District Court for the Northern District of
42 Ohio - Eastern Division
43 Case No. C73-199

- 1 Potter vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
2 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3 Texas - Beaumont Division
4 Case No. 6329
- 5
6 Ricci vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
7 United States District Court for the Northern District of
8 Ohio - Eastern Division
9 Case No. C72-507
- 10
11 Roderman vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
12 United States District Court for the Northern District of
13 Ohio - Eastern Division
14 Case No. C72-390
- 15
16 Sedlock vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
17 United States District Court for the Northern District of
18 Ohio - Eastern Division
19 Case No. C72-395
- 20
21 Spell vs. Fibreboard Corp., et al.
22 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
23 Texas - Beaumont Division
24 Case No. E-74-81-CA
- 25
26 Strickland vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al.
27 United States District Court for the Southern Division of
28 Texas - Houston Division
29 Case No. 75-H-492
- 30
31 Velasquez vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
32 Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
33 Case No. 681 172
- 34
35 Wimberly vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al.
36 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
37 Texas - Beaumont Division
38 Case No. B-74-224-CA
- 39
40 Jacomino vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al.
41 Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County
42 Case No. 1507
- 43
44 Baumann vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
45 Superior Court of the State of California in and for the
46 City and County of San Francisco
47 Case No. 688-553
- 48
49 Steele vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
50 United States District Court for the Northern District of
51 Ohio, Eastern Division
52 Case No. C-75-359

- 1 McLaughlin (Harry) vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
2 United States District Court for the Northern District of
3 Ohio, Eastern Division
- 4 Sandoval vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
5 Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
6 Case No. 689-959
- 7 Murphy vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
8 United States District Court for the Northern District of
9 Ohio, Eastern Division
10 Case No. C-75 453
- 11 Harter vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
12 United States District Court for the Northern District of
13 Ohio, Eastern Division
14 Case No. C-75 452
- 15 Farrar vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co.,
16 et al.
17 United States District Court for the Southern District of
18 Texas, Houston Division
19 Case No. 75-H-862
- 20 Kyburz, Jr. vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating
21 Company, et al.
22 United States District Court for the Southern District of
23 Texas, Houston Division
24 Case No. 75-H-863
- 25 Dickey vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating
26 Company, et al.
27 United States District Court for the Southern District of
28 Texas, Houston Division
29 Case No. 75-H-864
- 30 Wesberry vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating
31 Company, et al.
32 United States District Court for the Southern District of
33 Texas, Houston Division
34 Case No. 75-H-865
- 35 Byerly vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating
36 Company, et al.
37 United States District Court for the Southern District of
38 Texas, Houston Division
39 Case No. 75-H-866
- 40 Viator vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating
41 Company, et al.
42 United States District Court for the Southern District of
43 Texas, Houston Division
44 Case No. 75-H-867

- 1 Gaspard vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al.
- 2 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 3 Case No. 75-H-868
- 4 Freeman vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al.
- 5 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 6 Case No. 75-H-869
- 7 Burke v. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al.
- 8 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 9 Case No. 75-H-870
- 10 Plitt vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al.
- 11 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 12 Case No. 75-H-906
- 13 Trahan vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al.
- 14 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 15 Case No. 75-H-905
- 16 Curtin vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al.
- 17 Circuit Court of the Third Judicial Circuit, Madison County
- 18 Case No. 75-L-146
- 18 Luker vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al.
- 19 United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
- 20 Case No. 75-H-342
- 20 Palermo vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
- 21 United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division
- 22 Case No. B-75-192-CA
- 23 Dolce vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al.
- 24 United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division
- 25 Case No. B-75-191-CA
- 25 Nolan vs. Johns-Manville Asbestos & Magnesia Materials Company, et al.
- 26 Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois
- Case No. 75 L 8672

- 1 Howard vs. Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corporation, et al.
2 United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3 Texas, Sherman Division
4 Case No. S-75-58-CA
- 5
- 6 Hutson vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al.
7 United States District Court for the Southern District of
8 Florida, Miami Division
9 Case No. 75-1365-Civ.-JLK
- 10
- 11 Daniels vs. GAF Corporation, et al.
12 United States District Court for the Eastern Division of
13 Tennessee, Northern Division
14 Case No. 3-75-188
- 15
- 16 Featherstone vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al.
17 United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas,
18 Marshall Division
19 Case No. M-74-44-CA
- 20
- 21 McKee vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al.
22 Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Erie
23 Index No.
- 24
- 25 Estep vs. Combustion Engineering, et al.
26 State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County
27 Case No. 2-474-75
- 28
- 29 Nave vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc. et al.
30 State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County,
31 Division III
32 Case No. 3-491-75
- 33
- 34 Daniels vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
35 State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County,
36 Division I
37 Case No. 1-492-75
- 38
- 39 Starnes vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al.
40 United States District Court for the Eastern District
41 of Tennessee, Northeastern Division
42 Case No. 2-75-12
- 43
- 44 Holton vs. Standard Asbestos Manf. & Insulating Co., et al.
45 United States District Court for the Southern District of
46 Texas, Houston Division
47 Case No. 75-II-1635
- 48
- 49 Kirchner vs. Standard Asbestos Manf. & Insulating Co., et al.
50 United States District Court for the Southern District of
51 Texas, Houston Division
52 Case No. 75-II-1634