

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 11 September 2020 16:15

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: ECHA comments on essential use paper

Attachments: Internal discussion paper 'essential use'_draft final_ECHA_input.docx

Dear colleagues,
please find attached some comments from [REDACTED] and myself on your essential use paper. (Feel free to share with the group, also I should notice that [REDACTED] has not yet have a chance to read the paper but will do so as soon as [REDACTED] finds the time.) In a nutshell, we see merit in the paper. However, we feel that in order to be useful for the PFAS restriction work you have to define clearly a strategy for how you want to deal with uses that you identify as being essential/non-essential. Maybe, as I alluded to in the conf call this would be easier outside a specific case (albeit I reckon that the Cousins paper was exactly written with view of PFAS). Anyway, we are happy to further discuss.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]
Coordinator for Socio-Economic Analysis
Risk Management Directorate
European Chemicals Agency
P.O. Box 400, FI-00121 Helsinki, Finland
Tel. [REDACTED]

<http://echa.europa.eu/>



The above represents the opinion of the author and is not an official position of the European Chemicals Agency. This email, including any files attached to it, is intended for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify the author as soon as possible and delete the message.