FINAL REPORT

Mr. Callahan
Dr. Hathaway.
FYI

T. Stewart
From AIA

Copy to
J. Charm

on

ANALYSIS OF THE FEASIBILITY OF REPLACING ASBESTOS IN AUTOMOBILE AND TRUCK BRAKES

Prepared for the

Environmental Protection Agency

April 15, 1987

by

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers

This is a report of an expert panel assembled by ASME to address the technical issues associated with the removal of asbestos from vehicle friction products. This panel convened on December 3 and 4, 1986, to discuss issues of vehicle safety, friction material availability and performance, and future trends in the development of asbestos-free friction products.

Panel Members

Panel Chairman

Dr. L. S. (Skip) Fletcher
Associate Director
Texas Engrg. Experiment Station
The Texas A&M University System
301 Engineering Research Center
College Station, TX 77843
(409) 845-7270

Members

Mr. Arnold E. Anderson
Ford Motor Company
20000 Rotunda Drive
P.O. Box 2053
Dearborn, MI 48121-2053

Mr. John Fobian
Director, Automotive Engineering
American Automobile Association
8111 Gatehouse Road
Falls Church, VA 22047

Dr. Serge Gratch
32475 Bingham Road
Birmingham, MI 48018

Mr. Jerry McCullough
Head, Development Section
Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center
Houston, TX 77058

Mr. Robert Nelson
Manager, Technical Service
ABEX Corporation
3001 West Big Beaver Rd/Suite 710
Troy, MI 48084

Dr. Michael J. Rabins
Assoc. Dean-Graduate
Engrg. Programs
Wayne State University
731 Science Library
Detroit, MI 48202

Mr. Richard Radlinski
Vehicle Stability &
Control Branch
Nat'l Hwy Traffic Safety Admin.
P.O. Box 37
East Liberty, OH 43319

Dr. Ernest Rabinowicz
Prof. of Mechanical Engrg.
Mass. Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Draft Report Prepared by:

Mr. Scott Barber
Mr. Jeff Hadden
Mr. Joseph Hoess
Mr. Keith Dufrane
Mr. Jerry Francis

Battelle Columbus Division
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Due to reported health problems associated with the use of asbestos, the Office of Toxic Substances has proposed a regulation to ban the use of asbestos over a 10-year phase-down period. Since asbestos is currently a critical constituent in some vehicle friction products, the Environmental Protection Agency is interested in determining whether the proposed ban could have adverse effects on vehicle braking safety.

In order to assess the potential effects of the proposed ban on vehicle brake system operation and vehicle safety, a panel of individuals knowledgeable in the various aspects of vehicle brakes and friction materials was assembled by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME). The panel addressed technological issues associated with the removal of asbestos from friction materials, specifically:

(1) Identification of substitute brakes and systems,

(2) Influence of the ban on motor vehicle safety standards,

(3) Problems associated with replacing asbestos friction materials in aftermarket vehicles, and

(4) Pace of research and commercialization and effects on phase-out.

This report addresses the technical issues related to the proposed ban considered by the panel to be the most important.

Conclusions

The panel reached the following conclusions:

(1) **Because vehicle controllability during braking is strongly affected by friction material performance, unqualified substitution of friction materials in either vehicle disc pads or drum linings may have an adverse effect on vehicle brake balance and controllability*.**

Vehicle controllability during braking is affected by both driver and brake system performance. Generally, vehicle front-to-rear braking ratios are adjusted on a per-model basis to provide optimal braking. Too much braking on an axle results in premature wheel lock-up, and this can lead to loss of steering control (front lock-up) or spin-

---

* Information supporting this conclusion is presented in Chapter 2 of this report.

out (rear lock-up). While the effectiveness of disc brakes is directly proportional to pad-disc friction levels, the effectiveness of drum brakes can be greatly affected by changes in lining-drum friction. Friction materials that exhibit reduced effectiveness also can result in brakes having insufficient torque needed to stop the vehicle. Different drum brake designs exhibit dramatic differences in effectiveness. Brake effectiveness can also be affected by the friction properties of the friction material. For these reasons, automobile and truck manufacturers have strongly opposed the immediate ban of asbestos in aftermarket friction materials for older vehicles.

(2) **No simple bench-top tests are available to evaluate the performance characteristics of friction materials or to demonstrate their compliance with Motor Vehicle Safety Standards**.**

The qualification of original equipment braking systems is regulated under Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) 105 and 121. For many original equipment manufacturers, these requirements represent minimal standards of performance, and supplemental qualifications are usually satisfied due to customer demand. Satisfactory compliance with FMVSS 105 and 121 requires vehicle and inertial dynamometer test facilities to evaluate brake system performance on a per-model basis. To test compliance with these requirements, vehicle manufacturers submit braking systems and friction products to numerous levels of qualification tests. Results obtained using scaled-down laboratory apparatus, such as friction material testing machines (FMTM) or friction assessment screening test (FAST) machines, have been shown to correlate poorly with vehicle test results. The performance of aftermarket friction materials is not regulated by law.

** Information supporting this conclusion is presented in Chapter 3 of this report.

(3) **Qualified, non-asbestos friction materials are not yet available for all current applications*.**

Non-asbestos friction material technology is advancing rapidly. Several new asbestos-free car, light truck, and heavy vehicle brake systems have been released for OEM applications in the past 3 years. More new vehicle brake systems will be released with non-asbestos friction materials for the 1988 model year.

Original equipment vehicle manufacturers in the United States and Europe are rapidly moving toward asbestos-free brake systems for all new cars, light trucks, and heavy trucks. Adequate non-asbestos friction material formulations presently are not available for all vehicle systems. However, with present progress continuing, most new passenger cars should be equipped with totally non-asbestos frictional systems by 1991, and most light trucks and heavy trucks with S-cam brakes, by 1992. However, a few low-volume new vehicle applications may not have acceptable non-asbestos friction materials at that time. Heavy truck wedge brake blocks, medium truck drum brake linings and many off-road vehicle brake linings may not be developed by 1992.

New classes of non-asbestos friction materials have unique compositions which may have unexpected failure mechanisms. In many cases, unique failure modes are revealed only through extensive vehicle testing.

(4) **The substitution of unqualified non-asbestos friction materials in the aftermarket poses the largest potential safety issue**.**

A large number of older vehicles in the United States have brake systems designed for asbestos friction products. The use of unproven materials in place of proven asbestos materials in existing systems could result in a loss of vehicle controllability during braking.

Currently, there are no required performance tests for aftermarket brake friction materials. In addition, most of the aftermarket, non-

---

* Information supporting this conclusion is presented in Chapter 4 of this report.

** Information supporting this conclusion is presented throughout the report

asbestos material suppliers lack the facilities to evaluate their materials under dynamometer and vehicle braking test conditions.

Non-asbestos friction materials that have been developed to date have provided some new and unexpected failure modes and mechanisms due to their unique combinations of new raw materials and manufacturing processes. Mandating aftermarket non-asbestos friction materials for vehicles that were originally equipped with asbestos-based linings would lead to a potentially serious customer safety risk, unless stringent friction material qualification specification tests are included.

(5) **The majority of the automobiles sold in the United States now are fitted with front disc brakes and rear drum brakes, and this trend will most likely continue for some time*.**

Because disc brakes maintain effectiveness at high braking speeds, 4-wheel disc brakes have been used in the past on high performance European vehicles designed to operate at high speeds on unregulated European highways. This is one reason behind the European use of 4-wheel disc systems. In addition, non-asbestos drum brake materials for automobile drum brakes have been difficult to qualify in the past. For these reasons, several European automakers use 4-wheel disc brakes in conjunction with semimetallic pad materials as a means of eliminating asbestos.

Because of differences between qualification standards, cost, driver preference, and driving conditions in the United States and Europe, American and Japanese automakers are utilizing rear drum brakes. Suitable non-asbestos materials are not available for all of these applications, and industry-wide substitution of non-asbestos materials in all existing brake designs would require considerable development. It is unrealistic to assume that all automakers will redesign all passenger car and truck braking systems around disc brakes in order to utilize semimetallic materials.

The adoption of FMVSS 135 and the failure to qualify rear brake drum linings exhibiting consistent levels of friction over a wide

---

* Information supporting this conclusion is presented in Chapter 5 of this report

range of performance conditions may affect the design philosophy of American and Japanese automotive engineers. Forced to ensure front bias in the system, automakers may move to using less effective disc brakes on the rear and increasing the front-to-rear braking ratio to ensure consistent performance, if proven rear lining materials are not found.

(6) **Industry gave mixed response to the proposed EPA action*.**

Numerous automobile, truck, and friction material manufacturers responded with written comments to the Federal Register announcement of the proposed EPA action. While most of the automobile and truck manufacturers were optimistic about the future availability of asbestos-free materials, they were unanimous in their opposition to a proposed phase-down schedule in which the amount of allowable asbestos would be phased out over a 10-year period. Instead, they favored a 5-year lead time prior to any ban. In addition, they were unanimously opposed to banning asbestos-containing materials for older aftermarket vehicles. Although suitable substitutes have been found for automobile front disc brakes, material qualification for rear automobile drum brakes and medium truck drum brakes is still in progress.

Friction product manufacturers gave mixed response to the issues. One manufacturer indicated that for many applications, suitable substitutes are available, although for many vehicle applications no qualified materials exist. Another manufacturer indicated that suitable substitutes were produced by their company, although no FMVSS 105 or FMVSS 121 qualification capabilities existed at their facility.

Recommended Future Work

Industry trends for the next 5 years appear to be directed toward the elimination of asbestos in all new vehicles. For these applications, the use qualified non-asbestos friction materials may not present a vehicle safety problem over the life of the friction product. However, the use

---

* Information supporting this conclusion is presented in Chapter 6 of this report.

of unqualified non-asbestos materials for the aftermarket still remains a safety issue. If the eventual elimination of all asbestos in friction products is to be accomplished, additional future studies are required. Several possible research tasks are briefly outlined in the following sections.

Task 1. Determine Populations of Aftermarket Vehicle Classes and Brake System Designs in the United States

The purpose of this task would be to determine and project, over the next 10 years, the general classes of brake designs found on older vehicles (passenger cars and light trucks, medium trucks, and heavy trucks) that will require aftermarket friction materials. For example, it would be useful to determine how many passenger cars in the 3000-3500 lb weight range are fitted with duo-servo drum brake and how many utilize leading-trailing drum brakes. In addition, the population of vehicles having 4-wheel drum-brakes as opposed to rear wheel drum-front wheel disc brakes could be determined. Thus, vehicle populations would be assessed with respect to weight range, brake design, and front-to-rear braking balance.

This information would help the EPA to determine where the qualification of non-asbestos friction materials for the aftermarket would have the greatest impact on asbestos elimination. For example, if the results indicate that 80 percent of the passenger vehicles using asbestos materials are fitted with duo-servo rear drum brakes and front disc brakes, and are within the 3300-3800 lbs weight range, then the requalification of non-asbestos materials for these vehicles would have the greatest impact on asbestos elimination.

Task 2. Conduct Dynamometer and Vehicle Qualification Tests on Non-Asbestos Materials Using Representative Vehicles

The purpose of this task would be to determine whether current non-asbestos materials could be safely used in aftermarket vehicles designed for use with asbestos friction products. This task would draw upon the results of Task 1 by limiting the vehicle studies to these vehicles known

to represent the majority of vehicles now in service. In this way, the study could be conducted in a more cost-effective manner.

This experimental study would use dynamometer and vehicle tests to determine friction product effectiveness under vehicle service conditions. The ability of brake systems and friction materials to satisfy Federal motor vehicle safety standards would also be assessed.

This second task would determine (1) whether safe effective substitute materials are available for some aftermarket applications and (2) what range of effectiveness currently exists among suppliers of aftermarket non-asbestos materials.