

Message

---

**From:** Pat Michaels [PMichaels@cato.org]  
**Sent:** 12/5/2017 9:41:13 PM  
**To:** Bennett, Tate [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1fa92542f7ca4d01973b18b2f11b9141-Bennett, E]  
**Subject:** RE: Info for you (pt 1)  
**Attachments:** LIST OF FIFTY POTENTIAL RED TEAM MEMBERS.docx

Attached.

---

**From:** Bennett, Tate [mailto:Bennett.Tate@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 05, 2017 4:13 PM  
**To:** Pat Michaels <PMichaels@cato.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Info for you (pt 1)

Hey Pat! Good to see you today. Thanks for following up with me here. Do you have the list of scientists handy?

---

**From:** Pat Michaels [mailto:PMichaels@cato.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 5, 2017 4:10 PM  
**To:** Bennett, Tate <Bennett.Tate@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Info for you (pt 1)

Here's with regard to the "anticipated acceptable range"

First, a primer on what happened:

<http://science.sciencemag.org.mutex.gmu.edu/content/354/6311/401.full>

Now the tell-all paper

<http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/full/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00135.1>

"It would also be valuable to produce and document two or more versions of the same model that would differ only by their tuning. One can imagine changing a parameter that is known to affect the sensitivity, keeping both this parameter and the ECS in the **anticipated acceptable range** and retuning the model otherwise with the same strategy toward the same targets"

Attached is the climate chapter from my upcoming book "Science versus Liberty" which goes into detail about how to take down the technical support document for the EF.

More to come.