

**To:** Black, Noel W.[NWBLACK@southernco.com]  
**Cc:** Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]  
**From:** Gunasekara, Mandy  
**Sent:** Wed 5/31/2017 2:23:12 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Cell

No worries - have a good night and look forward to chatting tomorrow morning.

-----Original Message-----

From: Black, Noel W. [mailto:NWBLACK@southernco.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 10:21 PM  
To: Gunasekara, Mandy <Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>  
Cc: Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>  
Subject: Re: Cell

Mandy,

Just seeing this my apologies.

My cell is **Ex. 6 - Personal**

I'll give you a call in the morning.

Looking forward to talking.

Thanks, Noel  
Southern Company  
**Ex. 6 - Personal**

Please excuse any typos...this is coming from my iPhone.

On May 30, 2017, at 8:09 PM, Gunasekara, Mandy  
<Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov<mailto:Gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>> wrote:

Hey Noel,

I hope you are well. What's the best number to reach you? We are setting up the CEO utility round table with the Administrator for June 19th at EPA and we'd love Mr. Fanning to attend.

Give me a call when you have a sec: **Ex. 6 -**

Best,  
Mandy

Sent from my iPhone

**To:** Greenwalt, Sarah[greenwalt.sarah@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Fotouhi, David[fotouhi.david@epa.gov]; Schwab, Justin[schwab.justin@epa.gov]; Jackson, Ryan[jackson.ryan@epa.gov]  
**From:** John Hall  
**Sent:** Tue 7/11/2017 9:17:44 PM  
**Subject:** RE: 14-1150 Center for Regulatory Reason v. EPA "Per Curiam Order Filed (Merits Panel)" (EPA-04/02/14 Letter) - Administrative resolution

Sarah

Any thoughts on when we can have a meeting on this request?

Thanks

John

John C. Hall

Hall & Associates

1620 I Street, NW, Suite 701

Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-463-1166

Fax: 202-463-4207

E-Mail: [jhall@hall-associates.com](mailto:jhall@hall-associates.com)

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for use by the individual or entity named. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to this e-mail and destroying the original e-mail and any attachments thereto.

**From:** John Hall  
**Sent:** Friday, July 07, 2017 10:38 AM  
**To:** 'Greenwalt, Sarah'  
**Cc:** 'Fotouhi, David'; 'Schwab, Justin'; 'jackson.ryan@epa.gov'  
**Subject:** RE: 14-1150 Center for Regulatory Reason v. EPA "Per Curiam Order Filed (Merits Panel)" (EPA-04/02/14 Letter) - Administrative resolution

Sarah,

For your records - This was the prior support letter from the major municipal organizations asking for nationwide application of the Iowa League case, as well as the letter from Senators Grassley and Vitter urging the same.

**John**

John C. Hall

Hall & Associates

1620 I Street, NW, Suite 701

Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-463-1166

Fax: 202-463-4207

E-Mail: [jhall@hall-associates.com](mailto:jhall@hall-associates.com)

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for use by the individual or entity named. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to this e-mail and destroying the original e-mail and any attachments thereto.

**From:** John Hall

**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 2:18 PM

**To:** 'Greenwalt, Sarah'

**Subject:** RE: 14-1150 Center for Regulatory Reason v. EPA "Per Curiam Order Filed (Merits Panel)" (EPA-04/02/14 Letter) - Administrative resolution

Sarah

The letter we discussed has gone into Admin. Pruitt. Please let me know when a meeting on the issue can be arranged with management.

PS – R3 recently sent a letter on Scott’s behalf to Congressman Dent that stated blending was “a form of “bypass” under Clean Water Act regulations”. I trust that characterization had not been approved by your office. We have EPA affidavits saying that the Agency has not decided that blending should be classified as a bypass outside the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit. Those may be helpful in your internal discussions with program staff on this matter.

Thanks for your help on this matter.

**John**

John C. Hall

Hall & Associates

1620 I Street, NW, Suite 701

Washington, DC 20006

Phone: 202-463-1166

Fax: 202-463-4207

E-Mail: [jhall@hall-associates.com](mailto:jhall@hall-associates.com)

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and intended only for use by the individual or entity named. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to this e-mail and destroying the original e-mail and any attachments thereto.

**From:** Greenwalt, Sarah [mailto:greenwalt.sarah@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 13, 2017 5:15 AM  
**To:** John Hall  
**Subject:** Re: 14-1150 Center for Regulatory Reason v. EPA "Per Curiam Order Filed (Merits Panel)" (EPA-04/02/14 Letter) - Administrative resolution

John,

We appreciate the heads up and will be looking out for your letter.

Best,

Sarah

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 12, 2017, at 9:39 PM, John Hall <jhall@hall-associates.com> wrote:

David, Justin and Sarah

As I'm sure you know, the DC Circuit decided not to rehear the Petition. In light of how the case was dismissed (e.g., please sue EPA somewhere else) and EPA's prior arguments (no final decision was made by the Agency – EPA will decide at the time of permitting) we will be asking Administrator Pruitt to decide whether the *ILOC V. EPA* case will be followed nationwide (1) to ensure national uniformity on NPDES program implementation (2) confirm to APA rulemaking prerequisites and (3) to avoid *ultra vires* action on the blending issue.

It will probably be 2-3 weeks before that letter arrives at your doorstep.

Regards,

John