

March 24, 1966

Bernard B. Brodie, Ph.D.
Chief, Laboratory of Chemical Pharmacology
National Heart Institute
National Institutes of Health
Public Health Service
Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Dear Doctor Brodie:

Having returned to my desk some weeks ago, I have now the opportunity of catching up with my correspondence, and I note with thanks your response of September 8 to my letter concerning the alleged carcinogenicity of tetraethyllead. I think it unlikely that many persons examined the references in your article with sufficient care to note the statement, but I wonder, in view of the fact that the unexpected often happens, whether some correction of the statement is in order. It may be that this would excite more of a problem than it would prevent, but I am mindful of the authority that is vested in the written word, and how frequently this operates in medico-legal matters.

Incidentally, this is hardly likely to make any trouble for me, but it might well create a serious issue in the tetraethyllead (antiknock) industry, which is often, and even now, under examination in other matters. I wonder, therefore, if an unobtrusive note in *SCIENCE*, for the record, would not be appropriate.

Sincerely yours,

Robert A. Kehoe, M.D.

RAK:wp

KE 0019971