

**To:** Jeff Sadosky[[jsadosky@forbes-tate.com](mailto:jsadosky@forbes-tate.com)]; Dravis, Samantha[[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)]  
**From:** Lyons, Troy  
**Sent:** Thur 9/21/2017 1:33:03 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Quick Request re Marine Manufacturers and the HFC 134a rule

Sorry for the delay in response. Happy to visit. Let me know when

**From:** Jeff Sadosky [<mailto:jsadosky@forbes-tate.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 14, 2017 6:39 PM  
**To:** Lyons, Troy <[lyons.troy@epa.gov](mailto:lyons.troy@epa.gov)>; Dravis, Samantha <[dravis.samantha@epa.gov](mailto:dravis.samantha@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Quick Request re Marine Manufacturers and the HFC 134a rule

Hey guys,

Earlier this year, our client the National Marine Manufacturers had a very productive meeting with your team at the EPA focused on E15 issues. At that meeting, we also raised an issue regarding something called HFC 134a.

NMMA member company Structural Composites is using HFC 134a to produce some extraordinary new products – ultra-light weight composite material being used to make boats and truck trailers primarily, but they are hindered by EPA regulatory issues. Last month DC Circuit Court made a ruling pertaining to the use of 134a by chemical companies – it basically vacated the existing EPA rule on this and compelled you guys to embark on new rulemaking. The signals we've seen so far, which indicate you're looking at revising certain parts of the rule, fail to address the company's unique use of HFC 134a, which is very different than that of other companies/industries.

Any chance we might be able to arrange for a meeting with you guys or others on Administrator Pruitt's team to talk through their issue and get a better sense of where the rulemaking is heading?

Thanks in advance and hope all is going well.  
Best,  
Jeff

Jeffrey C. Sadosky

Forbes Tate Partners

777 6th Street NW

8th Floor

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 340-8586 cell