SERVICE OF PROCESS TRANSMITTAL FORM

The Corporation Trust Company,
C T Corporation System
and Associated Companies

ST. LOUIS (City) MISSOURI (State)

MARCH 26, 1964 (Date)

(X) VIA CERTIFIED MAIL
( ) VIA CERTIFIED AIR MAIL
( ) VIA MESSENGER

TO: Mr. E. J. Putzell, Jr.
c/o Monsanto Chemical Company
800 N. Lindbergh Blvd.
St. Louis 66, Missouri

RE: **PROCESS SERVED IN THE STATE OF** MISSOURI

FOR MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY (Name of Company) — Delaware (Home State)

Enclosed are copies of legal process served upon the statutory agent of the above company as follows:

1. Title of Action: BESSIE T. BOYD vs. B. F. DRAKENFELD AND COMPANY and MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY
2. Document(s) Served: Summons and Petition
3. Court: Circuit Court, Div.1, St. Louis, Missouri, No.62852 E
4. Nature of Action: Plaintiff prays judgment against defendants and each of them in the sum of $50,000.00 and costs for alleged injuries caused by using 24-018 enamel in 487 oil as supplied by defendants while employed with International Bent Glass Company, Inc., at St. Louis, Missouri
5. On Whom Process was Served: C T CORPORATION SYSTEM, St. Louis, Missouri
6. Date and Hour of Service: March 26, 1964 at 11:00 a.m.
7. Appearance or Answer Due: 30 days after service, exclusive of day of service
8. Plaintiff's Attorney(s): McLeod and Murphy
2024 Olive Street
St. Louis, Mo.
9. Remarks:

0299658

KINDLY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT BY SIGNING AND RETURNING TO US THE ENCLOSED CARBON COPY OF THIS TRANSMITTAL FORM.

Signed C T CORPORATION SYSTEM
Per
Address 314 North Broadway
St. Louis, Missouri

NI290-T 1-62 50M Sch

HARTOLDMON0095182

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) SS:
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS,

STATE OF MISSOURI

BESSIE T. BOYD, Plaintiff, -vs- B. F. DRAKENFELD AND COMPANY, a Corporation, 45 Park Place, New York 7, New York Serve: Secretary of State, Jefferson City, Missouri, -and- MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY, a Corporation, Serve: C. T. Corporation Service, 314 North Broadway, Saint Louis 2, Missouri, Defendants.	Cause No. _____ Div. No. _____

PETITION

COUNT I.

Plaintiff, for her cause of action in Count I of this petition, states:

1. Defendant, B. F. Drakenfeld and Company, (hereinafter called "Drakenfeld") is and at all times hereinafter mentioned was a foreign corporation duly organized and existing under the laws.

2. Defendant, Monsanto Chemical Company, (hereinafter called "Monsanto") is and at all times hereinafter mentioned, was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having a registered agent and office in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, and having its principal place of business in the State of Missouri.

3. Defendant Drakenfeld is engaged in the business of manufacturing, preparing and distributing into the channels of trade paints and enamels, and among the various products so distributed by said defendant is an enamel known

0299659

HARTOLDMON0095183

as 24-018 enamel in 487 oil.

4. Defendant Monsanto is engaged in the business of manufacturing and preparing chemicals, chemical products, oils and thinners and distributing said products into the channels of trade, and among the various products so manufactured and distributed by said defendant is the product known as Aroclor 4465.

5. Defendant Drakenfeld prepares the aforesaid product 24-018 enamel in 487 oil by combining various other materials with Monsanto's product Aroclor 4465.

6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, both defendants herein did know and intend that said products would be used by the consuming public and would be handled, dealt with, touched and that fumes thereof would be inhaled by the public, and both defendants placed said products in the channels of trade with such knowledge and intention.

7. During the years 1958, 1959, 1960 and 1961, and until the month of May, 1962, defendant Drakenfeld continuously supplied 24-018 enamel in 487 oil containing defendant Monsanto's Aroclor 4465 to the International Bent Glass Company, Inc., in St. Louis, Missouri, and said product was used daily by said latter company in the process of making its products. At all times during which 24-018 enamel in 487 oil was so used in the manufacturing processes of International Bent Glass Company, Inc., both defendants herein did impliedly warrant and represent that the products 24-018 enamel in 487 oil and Aroclor 4465 were fit and safe for such use by the public, but both defendants and each defendant knew that such products contained chlorinated biphenyls and chlorinated triphenyls, with a high amount of chlorination; said defendants, and each of them, knew that said products would from time to time be heated or baked in ovens, and that use by the public of said products was likely to cause liver damage, skin eruptions, rashed acne, cysts and dermatitis of various kinds.

8. Plaintiff, beginning in the year 1959 and continuing until the month of September, 1963, made use of 24-018 enamel in 487 oil, as supplied by

0299660

HARTOLDMON0095184

defendants in her employment with International Bent Glass Company, Inc., at St. Louis, Missouri, and, in connection therewith, handled said product, touched same, and inhaled the fumes thereof, all in reliance on the skill and judgment and aforesaid warranty of both defendants, being wholly unaware of the toxic and dangerous qualities of such products.

9. During the year 1959, after a period of use of said product, plaintiff began to suffer eruptions of plaintiff's skin over and about plaintiff's neck, shoulders, chest, back, buttocks, face, ears and eyelids; said areas became covered with comedones, cysts, acne, infectious lesions, papules and a condition know as chloracne; plaintiff's eyelids and periorbital skin became erythematous, edematous and scaly; plaintiff's liver became injured, damaged and diseased. Plaintiff continued to suffer these aforesaid conditions through the period of time during which she used 24-018 enamel in 487 oil, still suffers the residual effects of the same, and will suffer said residual effects permanently.

10. At various times throughout the period of time heretofore mentioned, diligent attempts were made by plaintiff, her employer, International Bent Glass Company, Inc., its representatives, and other employees similarly injured, and the representatives, to determine, discover and ascertain the nature, extent and cause of her disease and injury through the use of various skilled medical experts and specialists, but said medical experts and specialists were unable to determine, discover or ascertain the same. During the month of May, 1962, The Occupational Health Research and Training Facility, Division of Occupational Health, Public Health Service, United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare, as a result of an investigation conducted by its representatives in March, 1962, determined, discovered and ascertained for the first time that the direct and proximate cause of plaintiff's condition, as heretofore alleged, was the use of defendant Drakenfeld's 24-018 enamel in 487 oil, containing defendant Monsanto's Aroclor 4465, and communicated its finding to plaintiff in the month of May, 1962, thus constituting plaintiff's first knowledge of the

0299661

HARTOLDMON0095185

direct and proximate cause of her condition as heretofore alleged.

11. As a result of the aforesaid actions of defendant Drakenfeld, said defendant has engaged in a continuous course of tortious conduct commencing in 1958 and not terminating until May, 1962, and thus defendant Drakenfeld has committed a tort in whole or in part against the person of the plaintiff in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, after the effective date of V. A. M. S., 1959, Section 351. 630, to-wit, October 13, 1961, and has thereby agreed that the Secretary of State of Missouri shall be its agents for the service of process, all as is provided in said V. A. M. S. 1959, Section 351. 630.

12. Plaintiff has become obligated for large sums of money for medical attention for the aforesaid conditions and will become obligated for additional such sums in the future in an amount not now ascertainable.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, plaintiff prays judgment against the defendants and each of them, on this Count I of the petition for Fifty Thousand Dollars ($50, 000. 00) and for her costs.

COUNT II.

Plaintiff for her cause of action in Count II of the petition, states:

1. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of Count I of this petition.

2. During the years 1959, 1960 and 1961, and until the month of May, 1962, defendant Drakenfeld continuously supplied 24-018 enamel in 487 oil containing defendant Monsanto's Aroclor 4465 to the International Bent Glass Company, Inc., in St. Louis, Missouri, and said product was used daily by said latter company in the process of making its products.

3. Both defendants and each of them knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known that said products, containing highly chlorinated biphenyls and triphenyls, are, and for many years have been, known to be of a toxic and dangerous nature in that they were reasonably likely to cause abnormal reactions, skin eruptions, rashes, liver damage, dermatitis and diseases, and defendants and each of them were under a duty to give an adequate warning of such dangers and risks to the public who used such products,

0299662

HARTOLDMON0095186

but both defendants and each of them negligently breached said duty by failing and omitting to give an adequate warning of such dangers and risks,

4. Plaintiff restates and realleges each and every allegation of Paragraphs 8, 9, and 10 of Count I of this petition.

5. Plaintiff was injured in the particulars alleged hereinabove in Count I, Paragraph 9, and as herein realleged in Paragraph 4 of this Count, as a direct and proximate result of defendant's negligence as heretofore alleged.

6. Plaintiff restates add realleges each and every allegation of Paragraphs 11 and 12, Count I of this petition.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, plaintiff prays judgment on this Count II of this petition against the defendants, and each of them, in the sum of Fifty Thousand Dollars ($50,000.00) and for her costs.

ARTHUR E. McLEOD

By: William R. Murphy
William R. Murphy
Attorney for Plaintiff
2024 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri. 63103
CHestnut 1-1717.

0299663

HARTOLDMON0095187

Form No. 75

Circuit Court for the City of St. Louis

State of Missouri

Bessie T. Boyd

Plaintiff

vs.

B.F. Drakenfeld and Company, a Corporation et al

Defendant

No. 62852 F

Div. 1

SUMMONS

The State of Missouri to Defendant Monsanto Chemical Company, a corporation

You are hereby summoned to appear before the above-named court and to file your pleading to the petition, copy of which is attached hereto, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon

McLeod and Murphy attorney for plaintiff, whose address is 2024 Olive Street

all within 30 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

Dated March 25th, 19 64

PHELIM O'TOOLE
Circuit Clerk.

By C. Fitzmaurice
Deputy Clerk.

(Seal of Circuit Court)

0299664

HARTOLDMON0095188

RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS

I hereby certify that I have served the within summons:

(1) By delivering on the.....day of....., 19.....

a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to each of the within-named defendants.....

.....

.....

.....

(2) By leaving on the.....day of....., 19.....

for each of the within-named defendants.....

.....

a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the respective dwelling place or usual place of abode of said defendants with some person of his or her family over the age of 15 years;

(3) By.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

All done in.....County, Missouri,

Sheriff's fees:

Summons.....$ Sheriff of.....County, Missouri,

Non est.....$

Mileage.....$_____

Total.....$ By.....

Deputy Sheriff.

DIRECTIONS TO SHERIFF

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant. For methods of service in all classes of suits see Sec. 57 Civil Code.

No. 62852 P

Div. 1

SUMMONS

Civil Code, Form No. 1

In the Case of

Plaintiff

vs.

Mercantile Mercantile et al

Defendant

In the Circuit Court of the

City of St. Louis

STATE OF MISSOURI

0299665

HARTOLDMON0095189