

REDACTED

THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO

DAYTON

REGIONAL BOARD OF REVIEW

Claimant _____ Claim No. 888596-22
 Street and No. 8809 Ashbrook Drive Date of Injury 4-16-84
 City West Chester, Ohio 45069 Manual No. 4524
 Employer Sherwin-Williams Company Risk No. SI 0015-00
 Street No. 501 Murray Road
 City Cincinnati, Ohio 45217

This matter came on for hearing before the Regional Board of Review on this date on the _____

Claimant's Appeal from the order of the District Hearing Officer dated 2-14-86
 Notices were mailed to the claimant, the employer, their respective representatives, and the Administrator of the Bureau of Workers' Compensation at least 14 days prior to this date. The following were present for the hearing.

For the Claimant: Claimant: Dennis Miller, Atty.

For the Employer: Yolanda Vorys, Atty.

For the Administrator: Jill Jakob, Atty.

A prehearing conference having been dispensed with, it is the finding of the Board that the claim has been previously ~~allowed~~ disallowed for:

Disallowed.

It is therefore ordered on the evidence in the file and/or evidence adduced at the hearing, that the District Hearing Officer's order dated 2-14-86 is:

☐ Affirmed in all respect.

☒ Vacated and held for naught. Claim is allowed for chemical induced bronchial asthma
 and coronary insufficiency
☐ Modified to the following extent. resulting in aggravation of pre-existing angina.

There was no recurrent myocardial infarction.

Preponderance of the evidence establishes that the plaintiff sustained the above compensable industrial injury.

bm: (Starr Ford, M.D.; G. Reuland, M.D.;
8-15-86 Noble O. Fowler, M.D.; Stuart findings mailed:
 Brooks, M.D.; H. S. Van Ordstrand, bm - 8-19-86
 cc: Claimant M.D.; Alan E. Kravitz, M.D.)
 Employer
 A. Dennis Miller, Atty.
 Vorys, Sater & Pease, Attys.
 BWC Law

N40179

IC-10BWC
 This action based on a Motion by Mr. Heuck, Jr.
 as follows:

EIN Stamp: Kenneth Heuck, Jr., Chairman
Elmo Parrish, Member
 EIN Stamp: Salvatore J. Spalla, Member
 EIN Stamp: 23083

Mr. Parrish

Yes

(Yes or No)

Yes

(Yes or No)

as to coronary
 No insufficiency
 (Yes or No) resulting
 in aggravation
 of pre-existing
 angina.

An Appeal (IC-12) may be filed within 20 days of the receipt of this order.
 Entered at Hamilton, Ohio Date: 7-1-86