DR. SIDNEY McCURDY,
SUPERVISOR OF
MEDICAL SECTION

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION
THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO
MEDICAL SECTION
COLUMBUS

Dr. R. A. Kehoe
University of Cincinnati
College of Medicine
Cincinnati, Ohio

7-10-39

Claim No. 12816 OD

NAME [redacted]

ADDRESS 1776 E. 93rd St.

Cleveland, Ohio

Dear Doctor:-

We are referring the above claimant to you for examination and diagnosis to determine the degree of present disability, if any, due to former occupation and advise as to treatment.

You may notify the claimant at the address given above when to appear at your office for this purpose.

We are enclosing, herewith medical report

which will give you a history of the case, as shown by our files. Kindly send your report in TRIPLICATE, together with your fee bill, direct to the Medical Section, as soon as possible. The extra form enclosed is for your files.
In preparing your report, please use the following subheadings in the order given:-

(1.) HISTORY—of injury and treatment, past medical history, previous injuries, family history (if applicable).

(2.) PATIENTS COMPLAINTS—describe in detail even if they have no apparent connection with the injury.

(3.) EXAMINATION—include all objective findings, clinical, laboratory and X-ray.

(4.) DISCUSSION—summary and treatment indicated.

(5.) OPINION—extent of disability (total or partial). If total how soon will he be able to work. If partial, estimate degree on percentage basis if possible.

Use the Form (C-111)enclosed and continue your report on the reverse if necessary.

Very truly yours,
DR. SIDNEY McCURDY,
Supervisor of Medical Section.

S:H:mpr

IN REPLYING, ALWAYS GIVE CLAIM NUMBER.
NOTIFY THE CHIEF IF YOUR INQUIRIES ARE NOT ANSWERED WITHIN TEN DAYS.

MED. 11—5M—5-39.

2816 C.D.

[redacted]
1776 E. 93rd St.,
Cleveland, Ohio.

Ferro Enamel Corp.

OFFICE
SIDNEY McCURDY, M.D.
SUPERVISOR, MEDICAL SECTION

June 23, 1939

REPORT

Claimant now has on file an application for modification of award, alleging that he is still disabled as a result of lead poisoning.

Dr. H. V. Paryzek, M.D., internist, who has examined claimant on three occasions, October 19, 1936, August 6, 1938 to August 13, 1938 (St. Alexis Hospital) and February 6, 1939 to February 20, 1939 (St. Alexis Hospital) was of the opinion that claimant could return to work where he would not be exposed to lead, inasmuch as there were no symptoms of lead intoxication evident during that time, and that he had had adequate treatment for his chronic plumbism.

Dr. C. L. Hartsock of the Cleveland Clinic was of the opinion that claimant has an anemia secondary to lead poisoning, which might be the cause of his shortness of breath, together with some achlorhydria and vitamin deficiency, and recommended active treatment for lead poisoning, namely, diet, hydrochloric acid and liver extract.

COMMENT:

Claimant alleges lead poisoning on August 7, 1935, and has only worked one day since. It would seem that withdrawal from the effects of lead should in this length of time have caused a cessation of symptoms referable to same.

We note that claimant was recently at the Shaker Sanatarium for treatment of an alcoholic debauche. The question naturally arises how much of the symptomatology referable to lead intoxication might be due to alcoholic intoxication, namely gastritis (alcoholic), vitamin deficiency and achlorhydria, anemia, etc. There is also a history of gall bladder disease which Dr. Paryzek felt was unrelated to the lead poisoning.

Dr. Paryzek feels that claimant is able to work. Dr. Hartsock feels that claimant has some chronic lead poisoning, but his report does not show evidence of total disability.

June 23, 1939

R E P O R T

Claimant now has on file an application for modification of award, alleging that he is still disabled as a result of lead poisoning.

Dr. H. V. Paryzek, M.D., internist, who has examined claimant on three occasions, October 19, 1936, August 8, 1938 to August 13, 1938 (St. Alexis Hospital) and February 8, 1939 to February 20, 1939 (St. Alexis Hospital) was of the opinion that claimant could return to work where he would not be exposed to lead, inasmuch as there were no symptoms of lead intoxication evident during that time, and that he had had adequate treatment for his chronic plumbism.

Dr. C. L. Hartsock of the Cleveland Clinic was of the opinion that claimant has an anemia secondary to lead poisoning, which might be the cause of his shortness of breath, together with some achlorhydria and vitamin deficiency, and recommended active treatment for lead poisoning, namely diet, hydrocloric acid and liver extract.

COMMENT:

Claimant alleges lead poisoning on August 7, 1935, and has only worked one day since. It would seem that withdrawal from the effects of lead should in this length of time have caused a cessation of symptoms referable to same.

We note that claimant was recently at the Shaker Sanatarium for treatment of an alcoholic debauche. The question naturally arises how much of the symptomatology referable to lead intoxication might be due to alcoholic intoxication, namely gastritis (alcoholic), vitamin deficiency and achlorhydria, anemia, etc. There is also a history of gall bladder disease which Dr. Paryzek felt was unrelated to the lead poisoning.

Dr. Paryzek feels that claimant is able to work. Dr. Hartsock feels that claimant has some chronic lead poisoning but his report does not show evidence of total disability.

OPINION:

We are inclined to believe that any disability now present as a result of the alleged lead poisoning is less than ten percent and should not prevent claimant from returning to work where he is free from the effects of lead; however, it might be well to refer claimant to another disinterested internist outside of Cleveland, and if so, preferably R. A. Kehoe, M.D., Asst. Prof. of Physiology (specialist in Occupational Diseases), University of Cincinnati Medical School.

Respectfully submitted,
F. W. KOEPF, M.D.

KE 0016886