

TABLE 1. AVERAGE MAXIMUM WATER MAIN TEMPERATURES^a

STATE	CITY	TEMP. F	STATE	CITY	TEMP. F
Ala.	Birmingham	84	Mass.	Lowell	50
	Mobile	73		Lynn	68
Ariz.	Phoenix	81		New Bedford	70
	Tucson	80		Salem	68
Calif.	Anaheim	60		Worcester	76
	Berkeley	69	Mich.	Detroit	77
	Fresno	72		Flint	70
	Fullerton	75		Grand Rapids	84
	Glendale	68		Highland Park	77
	Los Angeles	75		Jackson	56
	Oakland	69		Kalamazoo	53
	Ontario	70		Lansing	64
	Pasadena	82		Saginaw	82
	Pomona	75	Minn.	Duluth	55
	Riverside	78		Minneapolis	80
	Sacramento	72		St. Paul	77
	San Bernardino	65	Mo.	Jefferson City	82
	San Diego	82		Kansas City	84
	San Francisco	62		St. Joseph	84
	Whittier	75		St. Louis	85
Colo.	Denver	75		Springfield	70
Conn.	Bridgeport	66	Nebr.	Lincoln	63
	Hartford	73		Omaha	87
	New Haven	76	Nev.	Reno	61
	Waterbury	72	N. H.	Manchester	76
D. C.	Washington	84	N. J.	Jersey City	63
Del.	Wilmington	83		Newark	74
Fla.	Jacksonville	80		Paterson	78
	Miami	80		Trenton	79
	Tampa	77	N. Y.	Albany	68
Ga.	Atlanta	87		Buffalo	75
	Macon	80		Jamaica	56
Ill.	Chicago	76		Mt. Vernon	74
	Cicero	76		New Rochelle	75
	Evanston	73		New York	72
	Peoria	67		Rochester	70
	Rockford	59		Schenectady	60
	Springfield	82		Syracuse	74
Ind.	Evansville	86		Utica	69
	Gary	75		Yonkers	70
	Indianapolis	81	N. C.	Asheville	74
	South Bend	60		Charlotte	85
	Terre Haute	82		Winston-Salem	82
Iowa	Cedar Rapids	78	N. M.	Albuquerque	65
	Des Moines	77	Ohio	Akron	76
	Sioux City	62		Canton	50
Kans.	Concordia	57		Cincinnati	84
	Kansas City	86		Cleveland	74
	Topeka	88		Columbus	82
	Wichita	72		Dayton	60
Ky.	Louisville	85		Lakewood	82
La.	Baton Rouge	85		Springfield	72
	New Orleans	85		Toledo	83
Me.	Augusta	60	Okla.	Oklahoma City	82
Md.	Baltimore	75		Tulsa	85
Mass.	Boston	80	Ore.	Eugene	60
	Cambridge	70		Portland	64
	Fall River	76			

*These averages taken from various city water main locations, with some actual values slightly higher and some lower than values shown.

TABLE 1. AVERAGE MAXIMUM WATER MAIN TEMPERATURES^a (Concluded)

STATE	CITY	TEMP. F	STATE	CITY	TEMP. F
Pa.	Altoona	74	Va.	Fredericksburg	75
	Erie	75		Lynchburg	73
	Johnstown	74		Norfolk	80
	McKeesport	82	Wash.	Olympia	58
	Philadelphia	83		Seattle	62
R. I.	Pittsburgh	81		Spokane	51
	Providence	68		Tacoma	57
S. C.	Charleston	80	W. Va.	Charleston	85
	Greenville	81		Huntington	78
	Spartanburg	78		Wheeling	78
S. Dak.	Rapid City	55	Wis.	La Crosse	54
Tenn.	Chattanooga	84		Madison	58
	Knoxville	89		Milwaukee	70
	Memphis	70		Racine	68
	Nashville	90			
Texas	Amarillo	65	PROVINCE		
	Austin	90			
	Beaumont	86	Alta.	Calgary	64
	Dallas	86	B. C.	Vancouver	60
	Fort Worth	84	Ont.	London	50
	Galveston	90		Toronto	63
	Houston	84	P. E. I.	Charlottetown	48
	Port Arthur	83	Que.	Montreal	78
	San Antonio	76		Quebec	68
	Wichita Falls	85			
Utah	Logan	44			
	Salt Lake City	60			

*These averages taken from various city water main locations, with some actual values slightly higher and some lower than values shown.

ordinarily by first transferring the heat of the gas to water in a heat exchanger, from which water it may then be dissipated in a number of ways. If the plant is situated on the banks of a river or lake, an intake may be taken up-stream or at a considerable distance from the discharge, to prevent mixing of the heated discharged water with the inlet water. If the source of cooling water is a city supply or a well, the discharge water may be run into the nearest sewer or open waterway. Lacking an unlimited water supply, or in cases where purchased water is too expensive or where the water available contains dissolved salts which would form scale on the heat-exchanging apparatus, it is necessary to recirculate the water, and to cool it after each passage through the heat-exchanger by exposure to air in an atmospheric water cooling apparatus.

Air has a capacity for absorbing heat from water when the wet-bulb temperature of the air is lower than the temperature of the water with which it is in contact. The rapidity with which this transfer of heat occurs depends upon (1) the area of water in contact with the air, (2) the relative velocity of the air and water, and (3) the difference between the wet-bulb temperature of the air and the temperature of the water. The rate of heat dissipation is influenced further by many small factors² prevailing upon these primary ones, and complicating the design. Selection of equipment for any specified service must ultimately rest in over-all economic considerations established from reliable performance data.

²Cooling Tower Performance Studies, by L. M. K. Boelter (A.S.H.V.E. TRANSACTIONS, Vol. 45, 1939, p. 815).