

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF PORTSMOUTH

WILLIAM B. GLOVER,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	AT LAW NO. L-76-747
	)	
JOHNS-MANVILLE CORP., et al.	)	C/P #77-1
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ANSWERS OF DEFENDANT GAF CORPORATION
TO PLAINTIFF'S INITIAL INTERROGATORIES
PROPOUNDED TO ALL DEFENDANTS

Defendant, GAF Corporation, makes answer to the Plaintiff's
Initial Interrogatories propounded to all defendants, as follows:

1. Please state the name, address and job title of
each person who has supplied information used in answering
these interrogatories.

1. Phillip Bettoli, Technical Director - Research
Department, c/o GAF Corporation, South Bound Brook, New Jersey.
William Schwingen, Manager of Materials Research, c/o GAF
Corporation, Wayne, New Jersey. William Fassuliotis, Director
of Safety, Security and Occupational Health, c/o GAF Corporation,
Wayne, New Jersey.

2. Please state whether or not you are a corporation. If
so, state:

- a. Your correct corporate name;
- b. The state of your corporation;
- c. The date of your incorporation;
- d. The address of your principal place of business;
- e. Whether or not you have ever held a certificate
of authority to do business in the State of
Virginia;
- f. Whether or not you have a registered agent for
the purpose of accepting service in the State
of Virginia and if so, the name and present address
of that agent;

g. Whether or not you have or have had any subsidiary, or predecessor corporation(s), and if so;

1. The name of the subsidiary and/or predecessor
2. It's date of incorporation, if corporation;
3. It's corporate purposes.

2. Yes.

A. GAF Corporation

B. Delaware.

C. 1929

D. 140 West 51st Street, New York, New York 10020.

E. GAF does not hold a certificate of authority to do business in the State of Virginia.

F. GAF does not have a registered agent for the purpose of accepting service in the State of Virginia.

G. Yes.

1. GAF was incorporated in Delaware as the American I.G. Chemical Corporation in 1929, then in 1939 the name was changed to General Aniline & Film Corporation and then in 1968 the name was changed to GAF Corporation.

2. 1929

3. The Ruberoid Co., a New Jersey Corporation, was a New York Stock Exchange Company, engaged in the manufacture of industrial and consumer building material. On May 26, 1967, GAF merged with the Ruberoid Co.

3. State your corporate purposes.

3. See the answer to 2(G)(3) above.

4. State whether you have controlled, purchased, or in any way acquired any interest in any corporation or business entity which has mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed, and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, if so, state

a. The name and address of said corporation or business entity;

- b. The date you controlled, purchased or acquired any interest;
- c. The manner of acquisition, including percentage of ownership.

4. Yes.

A. The Ruberoid Company, 733 Third Avenue, New York, New York.

B. Pursuant to Agreement dated March 23, 1967, on May 26, 1967, GAF merged with The Ruberoid Co., a New Jersey Corporation.

C. Merger.

5. State whether you have, at any time, been engaged in the mining, manufacturing, producing, processing, compounding, converting, selling, merchandising, supplying, distributing, and/or asbestos products and/or material containing asbestos? Be specific in your answer and state:

- a. The trade name(s) of the product(s);
- b. The date you first mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce your asbestos, asbestos products and/or products containing asbestos;
- c. The date your asbestos, asbestos products and/or materials containing asbestos were first placed upon the market;
- d. The inclusive dates of mining, manufacturing, producing, processing, compounding, converting, selling, merchandising, supplying, distributing and/or otherwise placing in the stream of commerce.
- e. Whether or not the said product or products are still being mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed and/or placed in the stream of commerce, and if not, the date of discontinuance and/or withdrawal from the market, and the reason for said discontinuance;
- f. A complete description of the product or products including the type of asbestos contained in the product;
- g. The percentage of asbestos contained in said product or products.
- h. A description of the physical appearance of such product;

- i. A description of the intended uses of each such product;
- j. If you are a seller or distributor of asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, then specify from whom you purchase said products, when and in what quantity.

5. Yes.

A. "Calsilite", "115" and "214" Insulating Cements, "T/NA-100" Insulating Jacketing and Asbestos Paper and Millboard.

B. On May 26, 1967, CAF merged with the Ruberoid Co. Ruberoid began the manufacture of industrial thermal insulation products containing asbestos in the late 1920's or early 1930's.

C. "Calsilite" - first manufactured at Gloucester, New Jersey from the mid 1940's until mid 1970 at which time CAF commenced the production of asbestos-free Calsilite ("Calsilite II").

"115" and "214" Insulating Cements - manufactured at Hyde Park, Vermont from approximately 1936 through September, 1971.

"T/NA-100" Insulating Jacketing - manufactured at South Bound Brook, New Jersey from 1962 to September, 1971.

"Asbestos Paper and Millboard" - manufactured at Erie, Pennsylvania from approximately 1923 until September, 1971.

D. See answer to 5(C).

E. None of the above are still being mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed and/or placed in the stream of commerce by CAF Corporation with the exception of asbestos paper and millboard. The dates of discontinuance and/or withdrawal are in the answer to 5(c) above.

F. "Calsilite" - high temperature pipe covering and block. Contained 10% asbestos and balance consisted of diatomaceous earth and hydrous lime silicate. Contained primarily amosite asbestos.

"115" and "214" Insulating Cements - known generically as 7H and 7K type cements, respectively, composed entirely of asbestos fibers. Contained Crysofile asbestos.

A

"T/NA-100" Insulation Jacketing - a two-ply laminated product consisting of a layer of asbestos paper in which crysotile asbestos fibers were bonded with Neoprene and a layer of polyvinyl fluoride. (Tedlar) plastic film attached by adhesive on one side. Contained Crysofile asbestos.

Asbestos paper & Millboard - crysotile insulating paper, used as a jacketing or pipe wrap. Millboard was used primarily as a commercial heat or fire barrier. Contained crysotile asbestos.

G. See answers to 5(F).

H. Calsilite - high temperature pipe covering and built up block containing approximately 10% amosite asbestos fiber and 2% crysotile fiber and remainder consisting of hydrous lime silicate and diatomaceous earth.

"115" & "214" Insulation cements - insulating cements composed of crysotile asbestos fiber.

"T/NA-100" Insulating Jacketing - two ply, laminated product consisting of layer of asbestos paper in which crysotile asbestos fibers were bonded with Neoprene and layer of polyvinyl fluoride (Tedlar) plastic film attached by adhesive on one side.

Asbestos paper and millboard - crysotile insulating paper, used as a jacketing, pipe wrap, commercial heat or fire barrier.

I. Calsilite - high temperature pipe covering and built-up block.

115 and 214 Insulating cements - insulating cements.

T/NA-100 - insulation jacketing.

Asbestos paper & millboard - insulating paper, used as a jacketing or pipe wrap. Millboard was used primarily as an industrial fire barrier.

J. N/A.

6. With respect to each asbestos product mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed, and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce by you or any predecessor or subsidiary corporation, state whether the said product was/is sold in a solid form or a powdered form or other form and state the process or procedure for actually using each of your products by the ultimate consumer/user.

6. Solid form. GAF's products were supplied in a ready to use form. However, where on-site fitting was required, the following procedures were necessary:

Calcilite - required cutting only where dimensions so dictated.

115 and 214 insulating cements - required mixing with water. In addition, a small amount of ordinary building cement was added at the user's option.

T/NA-100 - required cutting only where dimensions so dictated.

Asbestos paper and millboard - required cutting only where dimensions so dictated. GAF has no direct knowledge regarding how its products were used on the job sites.

7. Were any patents or trademarks ever applied for or granted with regard to any product listed in the previous Interrogatory? If so, for each such product state:

- a. The number of each patent;
- b. The date issued and to whom issued;
- c. The name of each patent application that is presently pending.

7. Yes.

A. T/NA-100 is covered by U.S. Patent No.

3,300,927.

B. It was issued January 31, 1967, to Philip Bertoldi

C. None.

8. State the composition of the asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, which you or any predecessor or subsidiary corporation have mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce, and since the initial date of said mining, manufacturing, producing, processing, compounding, converting, selling, merchandising, supplying, distributing and/or otherwise placing in the stream of commerce, advise whether or not there have been any alterations or changes in that composition. If there have been such alterations or changes, then as to said alterations or changes state:

- a. The trade name of each such product;
- b. The date each such product was first offered;
- c. The specific nature and date of each alteration or change of composition;
- d. The reason for each alteration or change of composition.

8. See answer to 5(F) for composition. Calsilite was changed in 1957 to facilitate its manufacturing process. In mid 1970, GAF began the production of asbestos-free Calsilite II. Minor changes in the composition of Calsilite were made.

9. Do any memoranda, specifications, blueprints or other written materials of any kind or character relating to the design and preparation of said product now exist? If so:

- a. List each such written material of document;
- b. State the name and address of the person who presently has possession of the same;
- c. Provide a copy of said memoranda, specifications, blueprints or other written materials or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

9. Yes, see answer to #7 and #44.

10. Please identify by location and product produced, each plant in which products listed in your answer to Interrogatory No. 5 have been manufactured and/or assembled and the dates said plants have been in operation.

10. See 5(C) for the plants in which the products were manufactured. These plants have been in operation as follows:

Calasilite was manufactured from the mid 1940's through September, 1970 at Gloucester, New Jersey.

115 and 214 Insulating Cements were mined at Hyde Park, Vermont from 1936 through 1975.

T/NA-100 was manufactured at South Bound Brook, New Jersey from 1962 to September, 1971.

Asbestos paper and millboard have been manufactured at Erie, Pennsylvania since 1926.

11. Please list the name and address of each business entity from whom you have received raw asbestos and the dates and amounts received.

11. GAF purchased certain asbestos fibers from Cape Asbestos Corporation and, to a small extent, Johns-Manville. The dates and amounts received of these purchases are unknown.

12. State whether you have any record of any kind indicating that any asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed, and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce by or through you were sold to or purchased from any of the defendants in this suit? If your answer is in the affirmative, state:

- a. The name of each such defendant(s);
- b. The date(s) of sale or purchase of each said product including the amount and kind of materials so sold or purchased, specifying trade name;
- c. The name, address and job classification of the individual currently having possession of such records.

12. Although certain sales records are available, the present state and condition of these documents make it virtually impossible to search for the requested information without some or all of the following items of information: (a) CAF or Ruberoid product name (b) approximate date of shipment (c) contractor or sub-contractor (d) job site and (e) regional distributor.

In the event that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to supply this information, in whole or in part, CAF will comply with this Interrogatory as far as practicable pursuant to Rule 33 (c), Fed. R. Civ. P.

13. Are or have any of your asbestos products ever been marketed and/or sold in the State of Virginia by companies other than your own? If so, state:

- a. The name and address of each such company;
- b. The date and to whom that company sold your product(s);
- c. The type of product(s) sold, including trade name;
- d. The ultimate purchaser/user of said product(s).

13. No.

14. Have you either directly or indirectly sold, distributed or delivered any products containing asbestos to the Norfolk Naval Shipyard, or any other agent or agency thereof.

14. CAF's available shipping records show no sales or shipments to the Norfolk Naval Shipyard. CAF is not familiar with "other agent(s) or agencies thereof" but will conduct a search of its available records if so advised of the names of such entities.

15. If your answer to the previous Interrogatory is in the affirmative, state:

- a. The name of the agent or agencies to whom sold;
- b. Whether sold by you directly or through an agent or subsidiary;
- c. The name and address of the agent and/or subsidiary;
- d. The date(s) sold;
- e. The trade name of the product(s) sold;
- f. The volume of sales;
- g. The amount and kind of materials sold;
- h. From whom you purchased said materials.
- i. The ultimate purchaser/user of said products.

15. N/A.

16. With regard to the preceding Interrogatory, do you have any records which would note any such sale? If so, please provide copies of same, or, in the alternative, specifically state the name, present address and job classification of the person having possession of such records, and identify such records with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

16. N/A.

17. State the names of individual or individuals (including their present job classifications, length of employment, and dates of various job titles) who has/have knowledge of whether or not any of the asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, were sold to the Norfolk Naval Shipyard, or the United States Government, Department of the Navy or to any Governmental Agency.

17. See response to interrogatory 14.

18. Describe the manner in which you have maintained records of purchases and/or sales over the past thirty years.
State:

- a. Where said records are kept;
- b. The information contained in such records;
- c. The length of time said purchase and/or sale records are maintained by your company.

18. Shipping records were originally kept at the manufacturing site. Today, available shipping records for Calsilite are kept in Gloucester and South Bound Brook, New Jersey. GAF maintains a seven (7) year document retention program.

19. List the name and address of each business entity from whom you have purchased or received raw asbestos and the date and amounts purchased or received.

19. See answer to interrogatory 11.

20. Describe in detail the type of packages in which you sold, distributed or delivered asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds in the State of Virginia, listing:

- a. The date each type of package was used;
- b. A physical description thereof including the size of the package, color, and size of any lettering on the package, including warnings of any kind;
- c. A description of any printed material or trademarks that appeared on or in said package, including a verbatim statement of any warnings or cautions.

20. Most Ruberoid and CAF industrial thermal insulation products were sold in corrugated cardboard boxes bearing the companies' respective names or logos. The insulating cement was sold in heavy-duty bags.

21. State the names and addresses of all distributors of any of defendant's products in the State of Virginia. For each distributor state:

- a. The dates products were first sold or delivered to said distributor;
- b. The type and quantity of product or products sold or delivered to said distributor;
- c. Whether any agreement concerning third party liability existed between you and the distributors; if so, and if such agreement was in writing attach a copy of such agreement; if said agreement was oral then set forth fully the terms and the names and address of the persons making such oral agreement.

21. GAF sold Calsilite to the following companies in the State of Virginia: Waco Insulation Co., C. E. Thurston & Sons, and Porter Hayden Co., whom we believe are distributors. GAF has in its possession shipping records for certain of its industrial thermal insulation products for the years 1967 - 1969 (in part) and 1970 - 1972 (whole). The partial records are presently stored at GAF's facility in Gloucester, New Jersey and the later records are in South Bound Brook, New Jersey. These records, voluminous in number, are in numerical order by invoice number. GAF is presently in the process of organizing these records by product and shipping area including distributor or contractor or sub-contractor and job-site wherever possible.

22. Did defendant at any time assign any of it's asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds to any person, firm or corporation? If so, state:

- a. The name of the product so assigned;
- b. The time period of the assignment;
- c. The nature of the assignment (whether exclusive or not).

22. Not to GAF's knowledge.

23. At the time of such assignment, was there any agreement between the parties concerning their liability in the event of future litigation concerning the product? If so, state:

- a. The nature and substance of such agreement;
- b. Who has a copy of the same.

23. N/A.

24. While engaged in the mining, manufacturing, producing, processing, compounding, converting, selling, merchandising, supplying, distributing, and/or otherwise placing in the stream of commerce of an asbestos or asbestos-containing product, did you or any defendant sell directly to insulation distributors? if so, name the said distributors, giving:

- a. Their correct corporate name;
- b. Their address;
- c. The dates sold;
- d. The specific nature of the products sold, using trade name;
- e. The percentage of asbestos fiber contained in the said product;
- f. How the said product was delivered to the distributor, including size;
- g. How the said product was packaged for delivery to the distributor, and what warnings, if any, were on the same, giving dates said warnings were used or modified;
- h. Whether the distributor was advised or instructed to use warnings in it's sales of said product.

24. Yes. See response to Interrogatory 21.

25. State whether you presently manufacture the product(s) previously listed? If not, state the date such manufacture was discontinued and the reason for such discontinuance.

25. GAF does not manufacture any industrial asbestos products now. Discontinued in September, 1971. Manufacture of such products was discontinued because they were not profitable.

26. Have any of the products listed above in Interrogatory No. 5 been altered in chemical composition since first being marketed?

26. Yes.

27. If so, please state:

- a. The trade name of each such product.
- b. The date each such product was altered.
- c. The nature of the alteration.

27. See answer to interrogatory #8.

28. What is the name, address, and the job title of each individual who participated in the design and preparation of manufacturing specifications for each such product?

28. Phillip Bettoli, Technical Director, Research Department, GAF Corporation, South Bound Brook, New Jersey and Dr. Gordon (now deceased), both men were employed in the Ruberoid Research Department.

29. Do any written memoranda, specifications, blueprints or other written materials of any kind or character relating to the design and preparation of said products now exist?

29. Yes.

30. If so, please state:

- a. List each such written material or document.
- b. Who presently has possession of each such document.
- c. Provide a copy of said memoranda, specifications, blueprints, or other written materials or identify same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

30. See answer to interrogatory #9.

31. Prior to releasing the products listed in Interrogatory No. 5 to the public for sale, were any tests conducted on same to determine potential health hazards involved in the use of materials contained therein?

31. Neither Ruberoid nor GAF had facilities nor equipment designed for medical research and therefore conducted no tests or studies of the nature specified.

32. If so, please state:

- a. The name, address, and job classification of each individual who conducted such tests.
- b. The results of such said tests.

32. See answer to interrogatory #31.

33. Do any written memoranda, specifications, blueprints or other written materials of any kind or character exist relating to the testing of said products?

33. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

34. If so, please state:

- a. List each such written material or document.
- b. Who presently has possession of each such document, and where is it located.
- c. Provide a copy of said memoranda, specifications, blueprints or other written materials or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

34. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

35. Did defendant make any design changes as a result of such tests?

35. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

36. If so, please state:

- a. The nature of the change made.
- b. The purpose of the change.
- c. The name, address, and job classification of each person in charge of making a change.

36. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

37. After releasing said products to the public were any tests conducted thereon to determine potential health hazards involved in the use of materials contained therein?

37. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

38. If so, please state:

- a. The name, address, and job classification of each person conducting said tests.
- b. The purpose of the test.
- c. The results of said tests.

38. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

39. Do any written memoranda, specifications, recommendations or other written materials of any kind or character relating to the testing of the said products exist?

39. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

40. If so, please state:

- a. List each such written material or document.
- b. Who presently has possession of each such document and where is it located.
- c. Provide a copy of said memoranda, specifications, or other written materials or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

40. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

41. Did defendant make any changes as a result of such tests?

41. See answer to Interrogatory #31.

42. If so, please state:

- a. The nature of the change made.
- b. The purpose of the change.
- c. The name, address, and job classification of each person responsible for making such a change.

42. See response to Interrogatory #31.

43. Has sales material been prepared by defendant or its agents for purposes of marketing or advertising defendant's asbestos products?

43. Yes.

44. If so, please state:

- a. The name and address of each person or entity who prepared same.
- b. The name, address, and job title of each person who presently has possession of same.
- c. The date same was prepared.
- d. The media used to disseminate the sales material.

44. GAF has produced for inspection and copying by plaintiff's attorney those copies of its relevant sales material which are presently within GAF's possession, custody or control. The persons who prepared these materials are unknown. The dates of preparation are unknown unless indicated thereon.

45. Has any written material of any kind or character been prepared by defendant or its agents indicating how defendant's products should be used and maintained?

45. Yes. See answer to interrogatory #44 and #54.

46. If so, please state as follows:

- a. The name, address, and job classification of each person who prepared same.
- b. The name, address, and job classification of each person who presently has possession of same.
- c. The dates and manner in which said material was distributed to purchasers of defendant's products.

- d. Provide a copy of said written material or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

46. See answer to interrogatory #45.

47. State the method by which you mine, manufacture, produce, process, compound, convert, sell, merchandise, supply, distribute, and/or otherwise place in the stream of commerce your asbestos product, including in your answer the nature of any raw material or any additives used and specify each step undertaken by you from the time said raw materials or additives are received by you until your product is finished. Explain fully.

47. CAF no longer manufactures industrial thermal insulation related products.

48. Do you still mine, manufacture, produce, process, compound, convert, sell, merchandise, supply, distribute, and/or otherwise place in the stream of commerce asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds? If so, state:

- a. Whether or not you have changed the composition of the product(s);
- b. The nature of the change, being specific;
- c. Whether or not you issue, or have ever issued, any warnings with regard to the use, handling, or exposure to said product(s), and,
- d. If so, attach copies of all such warnings giving the date(s) of the same and where they have appeared, or in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

48. No.

49. Describe the manner in which your product(s) is (are) shipped to the ultimate consumer.

- a. If boxed or packaged in any manner, is there any type of warning or labelling on the package? If so, state:

- b. The nature of such warning or label;
- c. The nature of all warnings that you have given on such packaging and the dates you commenced to give same;
- d. Attach copies of all warnings with dates of issuance, or in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

49. Most Ruberoid and GAF industrial thermal insulation products were sold and shipped in corrugated cardboard boxes bearing those companies' names and logos. The insulating cement was sold in heavy-duty bags. For warning language see answer to interrogatory #54. The specific description, if any, appearing on the package is unknown, except to the extent that the trade name of the product did appear thereon.

50. Does the asbestos product(s) that you mine, manufacture, produce, process, compound, convert, sell, merchandise, supply, distribute, and/or otherwise place in the stream of commerce require any further change or modification before being put to its ultimate use by the consumer? If so, state the specific nature of the change or modification.

50. See answer to interrogatory #47.

51. If you have advertised the product mined, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed, and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce by you, state:

- a. How you have advertised the same;
- b. In what journals, magazines, etc., such advertisements have appeared and when;
- c. Whether or not at any time such advertisements have carried warnings with them;
- d. Attach copies of all such advertisements, including warnings, giving the date(s) of same and where they have appeared, or in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

51. Yes, see answer to interrogatory #44.

52. When, if at all, did you first realize that the use, handling, and exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom might cause illness or disease of any kind?

52. GAF was aware in approximately 1966 of opinions to such effect expressed by members of the medical profession. Certain employees may have heard of such a potential problem in 1965.

53. With regard to the preceding Interrogatory, explain fully how you made such discovery, indicating when and what papers, journals or warnings you may have read, giving the names and dates thereof and the exact manner in which you acquired such knowledge.

53. See answer to interrogatory #52.

54. State whether you have at any time issued any warnings of any kind with regard to the possible toxic effect that asbestos or asbestos products may have upon humans and/or animals. If so, state:

- a. When each such warning was issued;
- b. The manner of issuance;
- c. The exact wording of such warning;
- d. Where it appeared;
- e. If in writing, the size of the print;
- f. Attach copies of all such warnings to this answer, of in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

54. Yes. In late 1965 or early 1966, Ruberoid began placing the following warning on packages of its industrial thermal insulation products containing asbestos fiber.

CAUTION

THIS PRODUCT CONTAINS ASBESTOS FIBER. INHALATION OF ASBESTOS IN EXCESSIVE QUANTITIES OVER LONG PERIOD OF TIME MAY BE HARMFUL.

IF DUST IS CREATED WHEN THIS PRODUCT IS HANDLED, AVOID BREATHING THE DUST. IF ADEQUATE VENTILATION CONTROL IS NOT POSSIBLE, WEAR RESPIRATORS APPROVED BY THE U.S. BUREAU OF MINES FOR PNEUMOCONIOSIS PRODUCING DUST.

In 1970, this warning label was changed to read as follows:

CAUTION

CONTAINS ASBESTOS FIBER. INHALATION IN EXCESSIVE QUANTITIES OVER LONG PERIODS OF TIME MAY BE HARMFUL.

AVOID BREATHING DUST. IF ADEQUATE VENTILATION IS NOT POSSIBLE, WEAR RESPIRATORS APPROVED BY THE U.S. BUREAU OF MINES FOR PNEUMOCONIOSIS PRODUCING DUST.

In approximately 1972, this warning was further changed to read as follows:

CAUTION

CONTAINS ASBESTOS FIBER. INHALATION IN EXCESSIVE QUANTITIES OVER LONG PERIODS OF TIME MAY BE HARMFUL. AVOID BREATHING DUST.

55. State whether you are aware that the continued unprotected exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom, can cause, or contribute to causing, illness or disease of any kind? If so, state:

- a. When you acquired such awareness;
- b. The manner in which you acquired it;
- c. What illnesses and/or diseases are caused, precipitated, or aggravated by such prolonged exposure, stating whether the illness and/or disease is, in your opinion, caused, precipitated or aggravated;
- d. Does such illness or disease create chronic condition
- e. If so, can said condition progressively worsen, even after removal from the proximity of asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and/or other dangerous substances, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom;
- f. State fully your understanding in this regard.

55. GAF is aware that the continued unprotected inhalation or ingestion of asbestos fibers can cause serious bodily harm. In 1965, GAF first became aware of medical opinions to this effect. GAF is unable and incompetent to answer those parts of this interrogatory which seek medical opinions.

56. If your answer to the preceding Interrogatory is in the affirmative, state that part or those parts of the body which is (are) or can be affected by prolonged exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom.

56. See answer to interrogatory #55.

57. Do you know the manner in which those parts are affected? If your answer to this interrogatory is in the affirmative, explain fully.

57. See answer to Interrogatory # 55.

58. State what you have done since the above knowledge was acquired to attempt to eliminate the illnesses and/or diseases that can be caused, precipitated or aggravated by prolonged exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom. In your answer, specifically state:

- a. What changes you have made in the composition of your product(s) and the dates on which said changes were made;
- b. What changes you have made in the packaging of your product(s), and the dates on which said changes were made;
- c. What changes you have made in the procedure that you recommend for persons who will be using, handling or exposed to said product(s) over a prolonged period, and as to said recommended procedure, state:
 1. Whether any respiratory apparatus is recommended to be worn;
 2. Whether any special clothing or protective outfits are recommended to be worn;
 3. Whether any air circulation, ventilation or washing procedure is recommended in areas where persons will be using, handling and exposed to said asbestos product(s).

58. GAF began placing warning labels on its industrial thermal insulation products in 1966. GAF was the first company to develop an asbestos-free pipe covering, Calsilite II, in 1971.

59. Describe specifically each safety requirement that has been initiated, and as to each state the following:

State the current standard safety requirements in the asbestos industry geared to protect persons who use, handle and are exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom over a prolonged period:

- a. When said safety requirements were first invoked;
- b. By whom said safety requirements were first invoked;

c. State how said safety requirements are enforced and whether periodic evaluations or investigations are made. If so, state:

1. Who makes said evaluations or investigations?
2. How frequently are said evaluations or investigations made?
3. Whether a written record of said evaluations or investigations is made, and if so, provide all copies of same or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

59. This interrogatory calls for a legal interpretation of present OSHA standards and requirements. CAF procedures and practices which are or were used in its manufacturing plants are irrelevant to field insulators such as plaintiff.

60. Has defendant, at any time, published and/or distributed any brochures, pamphlets or other written materials of any kind or character that contain any warnings concerning the possibility of injury resulting from the use of the products listed in Interrogatory No. 5?

60. See answer to Interrogatory #54.

61. If so, please state:

- a. The wording of each such warning.
- b. A description of each such printed material.
- c. The method used to distribute the warning to persons who are likely to use the products.
- d. The date each such warning was issued.
- e. The name, address, and job classification of each person who presently has possession of the above described documents.
- f. Provide a copy of said brochures, pamphlets or other written materials or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

61. See answer to Interrogatory #60.

62. Does defendant contend that asbestos products can be manufactured so as to eliminate all potential health hazards to workers using same?

62. GAF objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is argumentative, ambiguous and calls for expert technical and medical opinion.

63. If so, please explain.

63. See answer to interrogatory #62.

64. Did you ever at any time give advice or warnings to workers, persons or entities such as, plaintiff of any dangerous nature or possible dangerous nature of your products? If so, describe your warnings and to whom such warnings were given.

64. See answer to interrogatory #54.

65. As to asbestos products and products containing asbestos, have you ever recognized any threshold limit at any time from 1940 to present.

65. The threshold limit has been studied by the American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists. GAF does not have a specific recollection concerning the date on which it first became aware of recommended threshold limits. This defendant has always endeavored to operate within the special limits thus recommended.

66. With regard to asbestos products and products containing asbestos manufactured, sold or distributed by you during the period of 1940 to present, what do you contend to be "threshold" limits?

66. Not required by Court to be answered in other coordinated proceedings.

67. Have you ever advised or warned those using your products of threshold limits? If you did, describe exactly how you advised those using your products of such threshold limits.

67. GAF belongs to the Asbestos Information Association/ North America, Inc. ("AIA"). As it is believed that the main function of the AIA is to publish material and literature which is then forwarded or otherwise transmitted to contractors, GAF has indirectly advised contractors of such threshold limits.

68. If you did not advise those using your products of such threshold limit, explain why you did not.

68. N/A.

69. Where did you obtain such information concerning threshold limits, and when did you get such information?

69. See answer to interrogatory #65.

70. If your company is one contending that some dust count constitutes a threshold level, please explain in detail when you got such information, exactly what it is, setting out all the details which you have concerning this in its entirety, and what your company has done to advise those who might use your products.

70. Not required by court to be answered.

71. When did your company first become aware of any governmental or private agency or other person issuing guidelines suggesting threshold values for exposure to asbestos dust.

71. See answer to interrogatory #65.

72. State the name and address of the agency or person issuing the guideline and the proposed guideline and, in answering, defendant's opinion, of the purpose of the suggested limitation.

72. See answer to Interrogatory #65.

73. Has your company ever gone into the field and seen, observed, or counted, or in any way shown any interest in ascertaining how much dust is created by the worker applying your products when he is in an enclosed area such as a ship, submarine, building, factory, room, or confined space? Answer "Yes" or "No".

73. Not to GAF's knowledge.

74. If your answer is "Yes" to Interrogatory No. 73, then please explain the details of when and where such observations were taken, by whom they were taken, giving the complete name and address, the system used for such observation and the complete results, and to whom the results were reported.

74. N/A.

75. State what changes you have made at your place of business concerning the safety of your employees insofar as the procedure used by those who have, had, or will have prolonged exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom, and as to said changes, state:

- a. When said changes were put into effect;
- b. How these changes were communicated to your employees, and if in writing, please provide a copy of said notification of change or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
- c. Whether you purchased, supplied, required or recommended any special respiratory apparatus, clothing or other protective health means for your employees using, handling or being exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom, and if so, when you did same.

75. N/A. GAF does not presently manufacture industrial thermal insulation products containing asbestos fibers.

76. Have you ever given any warnings to your employees of dangers of illness and/or disease by reason of their exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. The date of each such warning;
- b. How such warnings were given;
- c. If such warnings were oral, state the names and addresses of the persons giving and receiving such warnings;
- d. If such warnings were written, state:
 1. The dates of such warnings;
 2. The present location of such warning;
 3. The names and addresses of individuals who prepared such warnings;
 4. Where such warnings were posted, published or distributed; provide copies of same.
 5. Or, in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
- e. The reasons for such warnings.

76. See answer to interrogatory #54.

77. Have you ever had your employees who have been exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and/or other dangerous substances, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom medically examined or tested? If so, state:

- a. The purpose of such medical examination;
- b. How often such examinations are made;
- c. The full description of the tests and procedure included in the examination;
- d. The names and addresses of the physicians, hospitals and laboratories who have conducted such examinations and tests.

77. Not relevant to the issues in this case as GAF never employed field insulators who performed services similar to plaintiff.

78. State whether you have received notice of any workmens compensation claim for injury, occupational disease or death to any of your employees as a result of their employment with your company and their exposure to "asbestos".

If so, state as to each:

- a. The date it was received;
- b. The name and address of the injured person or his personal representative;
- c. The name and address of his attorney, if any.

78. Although workmen's compensation claims have been filed against CAF from time to time, there is no current list of such claims nor are they relevant to working situations similar to those of plaintiff.

79. Have you ever been named as a defendant in an action for workmen's compensation benefits for injury, occupational disease, or death by any of your employees by reason of claim for exposure to "asbestos". If so, state as to each such claim;

- a. The name and address of the claimant;
- b. The date it was filed;
- c. The name and address of claimant's attorney;
- d. The name and address of the court, agency, administrative body in which it was filed;
- e. The number or term of such action;
- f. The result of such claim, including benefits paid, if any;
- g. The names and address of claimant's physicians;
- h. The name and address of your physician;
- i. The names and addresses of claimant's expert witnesses;
- j. The names and addresses of your expert witness.

79. See answer to interrogatory #78.

80. State whether you or your insurance carrier ever voluntarily or by agreement paid to any employee workman's compensation or occupational disease benefits by reason of any illness and/or disease caused by or contributed to by exposure to "asbestos" materials, containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds and the asbestos fibers, vapors or dust resulting therefrom.

80. Not relevant to the issues in this case as GAF never employed field insulators who performed services similar to those of plaintiff.

81. If so, state as to each employee:

- a. The name and address of the employee;
- b. The name and address of the employee's attorney;
- c. The date the claim was made;
- d. The date payment commenced and duration;
- e. The name and address of the insurance carrier making such payment;
- f. Whether such agreement was filed with any court agency, or administrative body, if so state:
 1. The date it was filed;
 2. The location of such filing.
- g. The current location of any documents evidencing such voluntary payment.
- h. Provide a copy of said documents or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

81. N/A.

82. State whether you or your insurance carrier has voluntarily or by agreement paid to any employee benefits for accident, sickness, health disability, or retirement by reason of exposure to "asbestos"?

82. N/A

83. If so, state as to each:

- a. The name and address of such employee;
- b. The name and address of the employee's attorney;
- c. The names and addresses of the insurance carriers making such payment;
- d. The dates the claims were made as to each separate claim by each employer;
- e. The dates payments were made.

83. N/A.

84. State the names and addresses of all your insurance carriers for workmen's compensation and occupational disease compensation from 1940 to present, and as to each insurance carrier state the period when such coverage was provided and the amount of coverage provided.

84. Will provide names of products liability insurers if required under Virginia law. Name of workmen's compensation carriers are irrelevant.

85. State the names and addresses of all your insurance carriers for accident, sickness, health and disability claims your employees from 1940 to present and as to each insurance carrier state time period when such coverage was provided and the amount provided.

85. See answer to interrogatory #84.

86. Have you ever received notice of injury or death as the result of or arising from the use, handling, or exposure to "asbestos". If so, state as to each such notice:

- a. The date it was received;
- b. The name and address of the injured person, or his personal representative;
- c. The name and address of his attorney, if any;
- d. The injuries claimed.

86. GAF has had knowledge of deaths or lung diseases among its employees which have been attributed to the inhalation of asbestos dust or fibers over long periods of time under certain environmental conditions that existed prior to GAF's acquisition of facilities utilizing asbestos. To the best of GAF's knowledge, claims related to these deaths or diseases, which arose in the states of Vermont, Missouri and New Jersey, were all filed with appropriate workmen's compensation agencies, which files are a matter of public record and equally available to plaintiff and GAF. Such files would normally contain the information requested by this interrogatory.

GAF has been or is a defendant in the lawsuits listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto which allege damages as a result of injury to plaintiff or plaintiff's decedants pulmonary system. The court files in these cases are a matter of public record and are equally available to plaintiff and GAF. Such files would normally contain the information requested by this interrogatory.

87. Have you ever been named as a defendant in any other action to recover for injuries or death as a result of using, handling or exposure to "asbestos". If so, state as to each action:

- a. The name and address of the plaintiff;
- b. The name and address of each codefendant;
- c. The date it was filed;
- d. The name of court in which it was filed;
- e. The court, term, and number of the action;
- f. The name and address of the attorney for plaintiff;
- g. The results of such action whether, settled, verdict or appeal;
- h. The names and addresses of plaintiff's physicians;
- i. The names and addresses of your physicians;
- j. The names and addresses of plaintiff's expert witnesses;
- k. The names and address of your expert witness.

87. See attached Exhibit "A".

88. Have you been investigated or participated in an investigation by any state, federal, local, governmental or independent agency with regard to health hazards arising from the continued use, handling or exposure to your product(s)?

If so, state:

- a. The name of such agency;
- b. Its address;
- c. When such investigation took place;
- d. Whether or not there was a stenographic record;
- e. Identify with such particularity the nature of said record and the person having a copy of same so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
- f. Whether or not a final report exists or existed, and;
- g. If you have a copy of the same, attach it to these answers, or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

88. Not to GAF's knowledge.

89. Have you ever recalled any part of any shipments of your asbestos product(s)? If so, state:

- a. The shipment recalled;
- b. Date of recall;
- c. Reason for recall;
- d. What was done with product(s) that were recalled.

89. No.

90. Has any governmental agency seized or ordered the recall of any of your asbestos product(s)? If so, state as to each seizure or recall:

- a. The products seized or recalled;
- b. The date of seizure or recall;
- c. The governmental agency ordering the seizure or recall;
- d. The reasons for such seizure or recall.

90. No.

91. Have you at any time advised the United States Government, or any agency thereof, of the dangerous propensities of using, handling or being exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. The manner of such advice;
- b. When given;
- c. To whom;
- d. Attach copies of any written memorandum, notes, or correspondence with regard to same, or, in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

91. Yes. In 1971 CAF attempted to bid government jobs using Calsilite II, an asbestos-free pipe covering. The government insisted upon products containing asbestos.

92. Has the United States Government ever advised you of the dangerous propensities of using, handling or being exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. The manner of such advice;
- b. When given;
- c. To whom;
- d. Attach copies of any written memorandum, notes, or correspondence with regard to the same, or, in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

92. No.

93. Did you at any time change your advertising and/or labelling to include any type of statement or warning which would indicate the possibility of the dangerous and toxic

propensities arising out of using, handling or being exposed to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. When was the decision first made to make such inclusion;
- b. When were such inclusions made and what advertising pieces, giving the date and places of publication;
- c. When was said inclusion placed on your product labelling;
- d. Attach copies of all such warnings, or, in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

93. See answer to interrogatory #54.

94. State who in your company has, or has had, any expertise with regard to the dangerous propensities arising out of the use, handling, or exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom, giving that person's full name, present address, present job title, and educational and work background. List all such persons with your company or formerly employed by your company.

94. William Passouliotis, present Director of Safety, Security and Occupational Health, c/o GAF Corporation, Wayne, New Jersey.

95. Please list each individual who has acted in a medical advisory capacity to your company for the past 25 years and the current address and job title of each such individual.

95. None.

96. Have you ever consulted experts in the defense of any claim made against you for injury or death from exposure to asbestos. If so, state:

- a. The names and addresses of such experts;

- b. His field of expertise;
- c. Whether you were furnished with a written report of his opinion;
- d. The present location of such report.
- e. Attach copies of all such reports, or, in the alternative, identify such warnings with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

96. Not required by Court to be answered in other coordinated proceedings.

97. Has any employee or agent or your corporation ever testified before any governmental agency or body regarding injury or death from exposure to asbestos?

97. See answer to interrogatory #96.

98. If so, state:

- a. The names and addresses of the persons giving such testimony;
- b. The governmental agency or body before whom such testimony was given and the dates of such testimony;
- c. Whether you prepared a written statement of your position and if so, state:
 - 1. Who prepared such statement;
 - 2. When such statement was prepared;
 - 3. Its present location;
- d. Whether a transcript was made of the testimony given.
- e. Attach copies of all such transcripts, or, in the alternative, identify such transcripts with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

98. See answer to Interrogatory #96.

99. State whether any investigation or inquiry was made of your company by any government agency or body regarding injury or death from exposure to asbestos?

99. No.

100. If so, state:

- a. By whom such inquiry or investigation was made;
- b. The dates of such inquiry or investigation;
- c. The name and address of the persons on your behalf who handled such inquiry or investigation;
- d. Whether a written memorandum was prepared by your company;
- e. The present location of such memorandum;
- f. The name and address of the person preparing said memorandum.
- g. Provide a copy of said memoranda, or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

100. N/A.

101. State whether any modifications or any changes in your asbestos products, advertising, warning, information and/or procedure of mining, fabricating, manufacturing or processing were requested or initiated as a result of such inquiry or investigation referred to in Interrogatory No. 100?

101. N/A.

102. If so, state:

- a. Whether a written directive was issued;
- b. The location of such directive;
- c. By whom such directive was issued;
- d. The date issued;
- e. The modifications or changes requested or initiated;
- f. What modifications and changes you made pursuant to such request.
- g. Provide a copy of said written directive, or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

102. N/A.

103. Have you furnished to any governmental body or agency reports of tests, examinations regarding illness or death by reason of exposure to asbestos?

103. No.

104. If so, state:

- a. The date of such report;
- b. To whom such report was furnished;
- c. The name and address of the person preparing the report;
- d. The name and address of the person conducting the examination or test;
- e. Provide a copy of said reports, or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

104. N/A.

105. Have your asbestos products at any time been subjected to:

- a. Tests or studies by a governmental agency;
- b. Tests or studies by any independent organization;
- c. Tests conducted on humans or animals on your behalf or behalf of any defendant in this action;
- d. Tests, studies or analysis conducted by or on behalf of you or any defendant in this action.

105. No.

106. If your answer to any subsection of the previous interrogatory is in the affirmative, for each test or study, state:

- a. The date it began;
- b. The date it ended;
- c. Describe the procedure of the test or study;
- d. The number of man hours spent on it;
- e. The place where it was conducted;
- f. The names and addresses and job classifications of each person who helped conduct it, indicating the part played by each person listed;

- g. The purpose of such study of test;
- h. The results obtained or the conclusions reached;
- i. The cost of the test or study;
- j. The source of authority for the performance of the test or study written.
- k. Were written records or reports made of the tests and studies;
 - 1. The present location of such record or report;
 - 2. The name and address of each person who has custody of each such record or report;
 - 3. Provide a copy of said written records or reports, or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

106. N/A.

107. State whether anyone acting on your behalf ever received any information regarding the effect of exposure to asbestos and its relation to safety and health of those persons so exposed. If so, state:

- a. The dates such information was received;
- b. The names and address of the person(s) receiving such information;
- c. The names and addresses of the persons furnishing such information;
- d. Whether any written document was prepared with respect to such information and if so, state:
 - 1. The present location of such document;
 - 2. The dates prepared;
 - 3. The names and addresses of the persons preparing them;
 - 4. Provide a copy of said written document or identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be made subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

107. See answer to interrogatory #53 and #94.

108. State whether any information received by you or anyone acting on your behalf indicated the existence or possibility of danger to health by reason of exposure to asbestos, state:

- a. The dates such information was received;
- b. The manner it was received;
- c. The names and addresses of the persons receiving it;
- d. What steps or action if any you took after receiving such information;
- e. What changes, alterations or modifications you made with respect to any asbestos product;
- f. What warnings, if any, were given to your employees, purchasers, distributors or users of your asbestos products;
- g. What steps, if any, you took to eliminate or lessen the possibility of danger to health by reason of exposure to asbestos.

108. Yes. See answer to interrogatory #107.

109. What notification, if any, was given by you to any other miner, manufacturer, fabricator, processor, seller, or distributor of the existence of or the possibility of danger to health by reason of exposure to asbestos and state:

- a. The date it was given;
- b. Name and address to whom it was given;
- c. Name and address of person who gave notice;
- d. Provide a copy of said notice or state in full the contents thereof, or in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

109. See answer to interrogatory #54.

110. State the names, addresses, employment addresses, present whereabouts of all persons known to you or anyone acting on your behalf:

- a. Now employed by you;
- b. Formerly employed by you;
- c. Who have been independently employed by you.
 1. Who have information, knowledge or experience regarding the existence of or the possibility of danger to health by reason of exposure to asbestos.

2. Who are familiar with the dangers to health by reason of exposure to asbestos.
3. Who have expressed an opinion or belief that exposure to asbestos is or can be dangerous to your health.
4. Who have expressed an opinion or belief that warnings or notifications should be given to users of asbestos products regarding the existence of or possibility of danger to health by reason of exposure or use.

110. Not required by Court to be answered in other coordinated proceedings.

111. With respect to the preceding interrogatory state as to each item whether a written memorandum, record, or document was prepared by each such person giving a complete listing thereof, and if you will do so without a motion to produce attach a copy of such writing.

111. See response to Interrogatory # 110.

112. Do you and have you in the past had in your employment or as consultants any medical doctors? If so, state:

- a. The name and address of any such doctors;
- b. Their specialty(ies);
- c. The reason for such consulting physician(s);
- d. Any tests they may have performed concerning asbestos, giving complete details on each including, but not limited to, type of tests performed, dates performed, results of tests, publication of tests results, etc.

112. No.

113. Have you or has any independent agency at your behest at any time conducted any tests with regard to a determination of the toxicity and/or health hazards which may arise due to prolonged use, handling or exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. Each test performed, giving its title, purpose, date and duration;

- b. Who performed such tests, giving party's name, address, title, qualifications and background;
- c. Where such tests were performed;
- d. The results of such tests;
- e. Attach copies of all such results, or, in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

113. During the period from 1967 to present, the following persons at GAF have held the position, Vice-President, Research:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DATE OF SERVICE</u>	<u>PRESENT EMPLOYER</u>
Dr. Leon Katz	1967	American Can
Dr. Frederick Grosser	1967 - 1969	GAF
Dr. Roberts Meyers	1969 - 1972	Regal Paper
Dr. Simon Kantor	1972 - present	GAF

The directors of the Research Department at the Ruberoid Company were as follows:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DATE OF SERVICE</u>	<u>PRESENT EMPLOYER</u>
Edward Duke	- 1947	Retired - address unknown
Clarence Eckert	1947 - 1958	Deceased
Phillip Bettoli	1958 - 1967	GAF

GAF does not recall the date when it initially established a Research Department.

114. Have you or any of the defendants, at any time, published and/or distributed any brochure, pamphlet or other written material of any kind or character that contained information of any kind with regard to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, manufactured, produced, processed, compounded, converted, sold, merchandised, supplied, distributed, and/or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce, whether it be for manner of use, warning or otherwise? If so, as to each such brochure, pamphlet or other written material:

- a. Provide a copy of such brochure, pamphlet or other written material, or, in the alternative, identify same with such particularity so as to enable same to be subject to a Motion for Production of Documents, pursuant to Rule 4.9 of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.
- b. Describe the nature of the material contained therein;
- c. Give the date published and by whom;
- d. Give the name and address of the person who has a copy of the same;
- e. State specifically if there was any warning contained therein concerning the use, handling or exposure to asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom.

114. See answer to interrogatory #54.

115. With regard to the previous Interrogatory, state the method used to distribute the material, being specific. Also state:

- a. How many distributed?
- b. To whom were they distributed?
- c. When were they distributed?

115. See answer to Interrogatory #54.

116. Do you or have you maintained a library wherein you subscribe or did subscribe to various periodicals, articles, magazines, texts, technical magazines, trade journals, and/or medical journals or medical publications, and the like, which in any way dealt with or had any dealing with any information concerning the illnesses and/or diseases of any kind arising from the useage, handling or exposure to any asbestos, material containing asbestos, asbestos products and compounds, and the asbestos vapors, dust and fibers resulting therefrom? If so, state:

- a. All such journals, periodicals, etc., setting forth the frequency of publication (i.e., annual, monthly, etc.)
- b. The date you first subscribed to such journal, periodical, etc., and,
- c. Whether your subscription has continued from inception to the present on a continuous basis.

116. No.

erature or medical articles of
h illness, sickness, or disease
stos, material containing
ompounds, and the asbestos vapors,
om. Specify the date, month,
how long after publication you
that article.

"B".

time, been a member of any
ion" composed of other
lers of asbestos products?

of each such association or

ich defendant was a member.

lications published by or
sociation or organization.

tion Association/North America,
ashington, D. C. National
on, Inc., 441 Lexington Avenue,
os Cement Product Association -
ociety of Testing Materials, Race
a.

; which CAF was a member are

lications of those organizations

that plaintiffs improperly

117. List all published li
which you are aware which deal
due to prolonged exposure to ask
asbestos, asbestos products and
dust and fibers relating therel
and year of each sch article ar
became aware of the existence of

117. See attached Exhib

118. Has defendant, at any
"trade organization" or "associa
manufacturers, mines, and/or se

118. Yes.

119. If so, please state:

- a. The ame and addre
organization.
- b. The ates during w
- c. The ames of any p
written by such a

119. A. Abestos Infor
Suite 914, 1660 L Sreet, N.W.,
Insulation Manufacturers Associa
New York, New York 10017. Asbe
now believed defunc. American
Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvan

B. Te dates duri
unknown.

C. Pmes of any p
are unknown.

120. Does deandant conte
used their product?

120. Yes.

121. If so, please set out in detail in which respect said products were improperly used.

121. Assuming, arguendo, that plaintiff used any of the products referred to in interrogatory 5, plaintiff (a) failed to use properly respiratory devices although available and offered to him for his personal use; and/or (b) failed to use such products in a manner that would have minimized the creation of dust.

122. Does defendant expect to call expert witnesses at the trial of this case?

122. Not known at this time.

123. If so, please state:

- a. The name, address, and job classification of each such expert witness.
- b. The testimony expected to be given by each such expert witness.

123. N/A.

124. Do you contend that the asbestos products manufactured, sold or distributed by you are not "hazardous substances" as defined in 15 U.S. Code, Sec. 1261 (f).

124. CAF does not manufacture industrial asbestos products now.

125. If so, state the facts you rely on to support such contention.

125. N/A.

126. Does defendant have policies of insurance that might cover the claim that has been made by plaintiff herein.

126. Yes.

127. If so, please list the name and address of each insurance carrier who have coverage, the amounts of such coverage and the date of each such policy.

127. See answer to interrogatory #84.

128. Does defendant admit that service of process was properly had on defendant in this case?

128. Yes.

129. If the answer to Interrogatory No. 128 is negative, please explain the reasons for such answer.

129. N/A.

CITY/COUNTY OF New York

STATE OF NEW YORK

Jonathan Berger being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is an Assistant Secretary of GAF Corporation; that as such he is duly authorized to make this affidavit on its behalf; and that the facts set forth in the foregoing Answers of Defendant, GAF Corporation to Plaintiff's Initial Interrogatories Propounded to All Defendants are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.


Jonathan Berger

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15 day of March, 1978.



Doris V. Gallotto
Notary Public

My commission expires: _____

DORIS V. GALLOTTO
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 24-6550409
Qualified in Kings County
Certificate filed in New York County
Commission Expires March 30, 1979

Herbert V. Kelly
Harry J. Kostel
Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly, P.C.
2600 Washington Avenue
Newport News, Virginia 23607

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of March, 1978, a true copy of the foregoing Answers to Interrogatories was mailed to all counsel of record.


Harry J. Kostel
Attorney for GAF Corporation

A

ASBESTOSIS CASES

Ahern vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3463 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Albert vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 680 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Allore vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-76-447 Filed: May 5, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages, together with \$2,000,000
exemplary and punitive damages, plus costs and interest.
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Antholz vs. Fibreboard Paper Products, et al
United States District Court for District of Minnesota - Fifth Division
Case No. 5-70 Civ. 105 Filed: December 31, 1970
Amount sought: \$250,000 general and special; \$100,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Faegre & Benson
City of suit: Minneapolis, Minnesota
Status: dismissed

Armstrong vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1057 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Bellinger vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court, Division I, Knox Co., Knoxville, Tennessee
Case No. 1-684-75 Filed: December 30, 1975
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, plus compensatory and punitive damages
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Hanniss & Whitaker

Barrett vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Missouri, Sixteenth Judicial Circuit
Case No. 784937 Filed: October 30, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 for court costs and damages; \$200,000 for Ethel Barrett
for deprivation of companionship, society, services and consortium
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri
Status: dismissed

Baumann vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of
San Francisco
Case No. 688-553 Filed: April 7, 1975
Amount sought: Complaint for damages to be determined
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Baumgartner vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-75-1057 Filed: December 4, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Case No. 76 L-5285 Filed: March 26, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Pretzel, Stouffer, Nolan & Rooney
City of suit: Chicago, Illinois
Status: Dismissed

Bell vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corp., et al
United States District Court for Eastern District of Texas
Case No. B-74-CA-50 Filed: February 13, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
Status: settled

Bellet vs. Fibreboard Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-74-253-CA Filed: August 13, 1974
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 plus costs and interest
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
Status: settled

Bender vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Miami Division
Case No. 75-2578 Filed: December 8, 1975
Amount sought: \$750,000
City of suit: Miami, Florida
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight

Bilotta vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 412, 413 Filed: April 30, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Birkner vs. GAF Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri
Case No. 57711 F Filed: February 5, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000 plus costs
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: St. Louis, Missouri

Burton vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 6449 Filed: November 20, 1969
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Breedlove vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court - Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-74-1130 Filed: December 9, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Broussard vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-73-CA-300 Filed: October 11, 1973
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Brown vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court, District of South Carolina, Charleston Division
Case No. 76-1162 Filed: June 25, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cahamiss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Case No. 76 L 2164 Filed: March 31, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000
Attorney handling case: Pretzel, Stouffer, Nolan & Rooney
City of suit: Chicago, Illinois

Bunn vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3455 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Bura vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 684 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Burke vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C73-239 Filed: March 2, 1973
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Burke vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-870 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$275,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Buscaino vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 694-631 Filed: August 27, 1975
Amount sought: costs for special and exemplary damages
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California
Status: settled

Everly vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-856 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$250,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Canino vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 924 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Carr vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
U.S. District Court, East, Dist. of Michigan, South Division
Case No. 572332 Filed: November 28, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Dykema, Gossett, Spencer, Goodnow & Trigg
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan
Status: settled

Carter vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 413 Filed: April 30, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Cathcart vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 923 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Chaddock vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division

Case No. C-75-102 Filed: February 5, 1975

Amount sought: \$500,000 exemplary; \$500,000 general and special damages, plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson

City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Ciseck vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 4022 Filed: January, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Soleman vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas

Case No. 3459 Filed: May 20, 1975

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Condray vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al

United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Beaumont Division

Case No. B-76-108-CA Filed: March 10, 1976

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages, \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs, disbursements and interest

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Status: Settled

Conte vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 686 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Corea vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 1056 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Corkran vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division

Case No. B-76-128-CA Filed: March 25, 1976

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs, disbursements and interest at 6% per annum

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Crawford vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4016 Filed: March 4, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Crawford vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 6492 Filed: December 4, 1969
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Cunningham vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 412, 413 Filed: April 30, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Curtin vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the Third Judicial Circuit, Madison County
Case No. 75-L-146 Filed: March 4, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Bernard & Davidson
City of suit: East St. Louis, Illinois
Status: dismissed

Daniels vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County, Division I
Case No. 1-492-75 Filed: September 19, 1975
Amount sought: \$4,000,000
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

DeChristopher vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1749 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dellamo vs. Keene Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 100 Filed: September 2, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dickey vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-854 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$200,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Dolce vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-75-191-CA Filed: June 25, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Dunbar vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 412, 413 Filed: April 30, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Duncan vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, Charleston Division
Case No. 76-1249 Filed: July 8, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Dunn vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3452 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dunn vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 73-H-1072 Filed: February 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$550,000 for damages, costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Estep vs. Combustion Engineering, et al
State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County
Case No. 2-474-75 Filed: September 10, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Farrar vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-862 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$100,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Featherstone vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-76-167-CA Filed: April 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Ferris vs. Keene Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: July , 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Foster vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-74-204-CA Filed: July 5, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Freeman vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-869 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$475,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Frith vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana - Shreveport

Division

Case No. 73-H-1072 Filed: February 10, 1975
Amount sought: \$680,000 for wrongful death of D. C. Frith
Attorney handling case: Blanchard, Walker, O'Quinn & Roberts
City of suit: Shreveport, Louisiana

Division

Case No. 75-H-868 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$200,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Gaus vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4020 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Gerace vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 3930 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Gibson vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
rado

Case No. 74-12-6-2 Filed: May 17, 1974
Amount sought: Herbert Gibson - \$750,000 compensatory, plus \$25,000,000 punitive.
Furl Gibson - \$250,000 compensatory damages
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Boulder, Colorado
Status: settled

Grecco vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4017 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Greene vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3458 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Gustafson vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Fifth Division - District of Minnesota
Case No. 5-71-40 Filed: May 4, 1971
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$100,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Faegre & Benson
City of suit: Minneapolis, Minnesota
Status: dismissed

Hainsworth vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4024 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Hallinan vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana - Evansville Division

Case No. 75-25-C Filed: February 24, 1975
Amount sought: \$600,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages
Attorney handling case: Dix, Patrick, Ratcliff, Anton & Adamson
City of suit: Evansville, Indiana
Status: settled

sas City

Case No. 784,986 Filed: October 31, 1974
Amount sought: \$50,000 for medical and funeral expenses; judgment of \$30,000 against each defendant
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri
Status: dismissed

Hammond vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 922 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Hanna vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 4023 Filed: January, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Harter vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division

Case No. C-75- 452 Filed: May 30, 1975

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson

City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Hartwell vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division

Case No. 7513 Filed: November 11, 1971

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Status: settled

Heinsdorf vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 1755 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Holton vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co., et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division

Case No. 75-H-1635 Filed: September 29, 1975

Amount sought: \$175,000

Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts

City of suit: Houston, Texas

Howard vs. Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Sherman Division

Case No. S-75-58-CA Filed: July 9, 1975

Amount sought: \$1,000,000

Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis

City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Status: dismissed

Hughes vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3451 Filed: May 20, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Huthmacher vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3457 Filed: May 20, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Hutson vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Miami Division

Case No. 76-53-Civ-CA Filed: January 19, 1976

Amount sought: \$750,000 general and special damages; \$250,000 exemplary damages

Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight

City of suit: Miami, Florida

Jacolino vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al

Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County

Case No. 1507 Filed: January 14, 1975

Amount sought: In excess of \$10,000 and punitive damages in sum in excess of
\$10,000 against defendants on each count

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Jeanes vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division

Case No. B-74-337-CA Filed: November 24, 1974

Amount sought: \$1,000,000 for interest and costs for injuries

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Status: settled

Johnson vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division

Case No. B-74-124-CA Filed: May 3, 1974

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 mental anguish, pain and
suffering; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Status: settled

Joyce vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 4019 Filed: January, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Joyner vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Miami Division

Case No. 75-2581 Filed: December 8, 1975

Amount sought: \$750,000

City of suit: Miami, Florida

Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight

Kariala vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the District of Minnesota - Fifth Division

Case No. 5-71-18 Filed: February 23, 1971

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$100,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Faegre & Benson

City of suit: Minneapolis, Minnesota

Status: dismissed

Kearns vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division

Case No. C73-70 Filed: January 24, 1973

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson

City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Kendall vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al

Superior Court of the State of California in and For the City and County of San Francisco

Case No. 704-023 Filed: March 26, 1976

Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen

City of suit: San Francisco, California

Amount sought: General damages to be proven at trial

Kirchner vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co., et al

Case No. 75-H-1634 Filed: September 29, 1975

Amount sought: \$175,000

Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts

City of suit: Houston, Texas

Kyburz vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division

Case No. 75-H-863 Filed: June 6, 1975

Amount sought: \$100,000

Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts

City of suit: Houston, Texas

LaGrappe vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division

Case No. B-74-66-CA Filed: February 28, 1974

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Status: settled

Landre vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al

Superior Court of California, County of Alameda

Case No. 449876-8 Filed: May 17, 1974

Amount sought: \$11,000,000, general, exemplary and punitive damages

Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen

City of suit: San Francisco, California

Laurie vs. Kene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 681 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Leava vs. Johns-Manville Products Corp., et al

The Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri at Kansas City

Case No. CV75-4160 Filed: December 4, 1975

Amount sought: \$200,000

City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne

Lewis vs. GAF Corporation, et al

Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County

Case No. 12969 Filed: April 19, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Lombardo vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3448 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Lopez vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida - Miami Division
Case No. 75-1365 CIV-JLK Filed: July 14, 1975
Amount sought: \$10,000, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight
City of suit: Miami, Florida

Lucera vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 683 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Luker vs. Johns-Manville International Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-342 Filed: March 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,750,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Lyons vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
Case No. 75-6065 Filed: May 5, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

McAndrew vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 2192 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

McClain vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
Case No. 75-6065 Filed: May 5, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

McCoy vs. Fibreboard Paper Products, et al
Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri at Kansas City
Case No. CV76-1377 Civil E Filed: March 5, 1976
Amount sought: \$200,000 plus costs
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne

McDaniel vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 6967 Filed: November 18, 1970
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

McGinnis vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-74-1077 Filed: November 22, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

McGlinn vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
Case No. 75-6065 Filed: May 5, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

McGovern vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1752 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

McGurk vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1748 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

McKee vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
State of New York Supreme Court, County of Erie
Case No. Filed: August 22, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Elaine & Huber
City of suit: Buffalo, New York

McKimmie vs. Combustion Engineering, et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-76-180 Filed: February 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages; and \$500,000 exemplary
damages; plus costs and interest at 6% per annum
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

McLaughlin vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-75-377 Filed: May 5, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

McLaughlin vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-74-923 Filed: November 18, 1970
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages, plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

strict of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-74-74 Filed: January 27, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

McPoyie vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4021 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Macaluso vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3462 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Mackinson vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1747 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Matthews vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. E-73-CA-309 Filed: October 15, 1973
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Measor vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C73-238 Filed: March 2, 1973
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Mellot vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-75-1058 Filed: December 4, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Mis...
Cou
Case No. 1751 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Judicial Circuit - Madison County
Case No. 74-L-676 Filed: November 15, 1974
Amount sought: \$1,290,000 for injuries; plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Bernard & Davidson
City of suit: East St. Louis, Illinois

Moran vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
Case No. 1699 Filed: February 8, 1974
Amount sought: damages "in excess of \$10,000" together with costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

e of Colorado
Case No. 76-1504-1 Filed: May 26, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages and \$25,000,000 exemplary and
punitive damages as well as \$250,000 compensatory damages
for plaintiff's wife
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Boulder, Colorado
Status: settled

Morrison vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of
San Francisco
Case No. 701 446 Filed: March 16, 1976
Amount sought: to be proven at trial
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Emersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Muntean vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C73-199 Filed: February 23, 1973
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Murphy vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-75-453 Filed: May 30, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Nave vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
State of Tennessee, Circuit Court of Knox County, Division III
Case No. 3-491-75 Filed: September 19, 1975
Amount sought: \$4,000,000
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Hanniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Nave vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3461 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Nolan vs. Johns-Manville Asbestos & Magnesia Materials Company, et al
Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois
Case No. 75 L 8672 Filed: June 27, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 plus costs
Attorney handling case: Pretzel, Stouffer, Nolan & Rooney
City of suit: Chicago, Illinois
Status: Dismissed

Overstreet vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 412, 413 Filed: April 30, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Palermo vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-75-192-CA Filed: June 25, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: Settled

Pappalardo vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3454 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Ricci vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division

Case No. C72-507 Filed: May 19, 1972

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson

City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Richards vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 1753 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Roderman vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division

Case No. C72-390 Filed: August 24, 1972

Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest

Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson

City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Romano vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 921 Filed: February, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Rosenquest vs. GAF, et al

United States District Court, Southern District of Texas - Houston Division

Case No. 76-8-115 Filed: January 19, 1976

Amount sought: \$550,000 plus interest

Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts

City of suit: Houston, Texas

Roswurm vs. Philip Carey Manufacturing Corporation, et al

Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri

Case No. CV76-2631 Filed: June 8, 1976

Amount sought: \$100,000, plus expenses

Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne

City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Rudio vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas

Case No. 3456 Filed: May 20, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Russo vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 4026 Filed: January, 1976

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Samohod vs. G. & W. R. Corson Inc., et al

Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania

Case No: 75-6063 Filed: May 5, 1975

Amount sought:

Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

Sandoval vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
Case No. 689-959 Filed: May 14, 1975
Amount sought: Costs and further relief for injuries
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Eversen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Sedlock vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C72-395 Filed: April 25, 1972
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Spavicchio vs. G. & W. H. Corson Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania
Case No. 75-6065 Filed: May 5, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

Shaw vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3453 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Simmler vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 685 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Snell vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 3460 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Speer vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of
San Francisco
Case No. 695-157 Filed: September 11, 1975
Amount sought: General and Special Damages
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Eversen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Spell vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. E-71-81-CA Filed: March 19, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Starnes vs. G&P et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-76-46 Filed: January 16, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages; \$500,000 exemplary damages;
plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Starnes vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee
Case No. CIV-2-75-122 Filed: September 30, 1975
Amount sought: \$4,000,000
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Hennis & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Status: Settled

Steele vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio - Eastern Division
Case No. C-75-359 Filed: May 2, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Stewart vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 693 921 Filed: September 29, 1975
Amount sought: General, special and exemplary damages; plus costs of suit and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Case No. 75-H-492 Filed: March 31, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

s - Houston Division

Strickland vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 677-527 Filed: October 28, 1975
Amount sought: \$1,000,000
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Sutcliffe vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4015 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Talarico vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1055 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Talbot vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-75-391-CA Filed: November 7, 1975
Amount sought: \$10,000 general and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Case No. 676 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Masirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Taylor vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the District of South Carolina - Charleston
Division

Case No. 76-1208 Filed: July 1, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Taylor vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the District of South Carolina - Charleston
Division

Case No. 76-1241 Filed: July 7, 1976
Amount sought: \$250,000
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard

Thomson vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al

Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of
San Francisco

Case No. 698-870 Filed: December 2, 1975
Amount sought: general and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Tillman vs. G. & W. R. Corson Inc., et al

Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania

Case No. 75-6065 Filed: May 3, 1975
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pennsylvania

Trahan vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al

United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division

Case No. 75-H-905 Filed: June 11, 1975
Amount sought: \$100,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Treadway vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

Circuit Court, Division 1, Knox County, Knoxville, Tennessee

Case No. 1-528-75 Filed: October 7, 1975
Amount sought: \$3,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Status: Dismissed

Tricoski vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

County of Philadelphia, Court of Common Pleas

Case No. 3449 Filed: May 20, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Turci vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 2191 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Tyler vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. 2193 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Case No. 76 L 2913 Filed: March 31, 1975
Amount sought: \$500,000
Attorney handling case: Pretzel, Stouffer, Nolan & Rooney
City of suit: Chicago, Illinois

Velasquez vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
Case No. 681 172 Filed: November 11, 1974
Amount sought: \$900,000 for personal injuries
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Viator vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-867 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$200,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Vice vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-75-115-CA Filed: March 17, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages, plus costs, disbursements and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Wedley vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 697-762 Filed: November 6, 1975
Amount sought: general and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Walters vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4018 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Warrington vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 679 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Watts vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 4025 Filed: January, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Walker vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1750 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Washerry vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas - Houston Division
Case No. 75-H-855 Filed: June 6, 1975
Amount sought: \$225,000
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

White vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-672-75 Filed: December 22, 1975
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Hennis & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Wister vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 1754 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Wimberly vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. 8-74-224-CA Filed: July 23, 1974
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special; \$500,000 exemplary damages; plus
costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Status: settled

Woods vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 682 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Zeldin vs. Keene Industrial Insulation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. 920 Filed: February, 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Gause vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Greenville County Court of Common Pleas, Greenville, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: July 22, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 for personal injuries.
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Thornton vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia
Case No. L-76-590 Filed: July 29, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, plus costs of suit, and such other and further
relief as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Portsmouth, Virginia

Demarest vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court, Wayne County, Michigan
Case No. 76-626429-NP Filed: August 20, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 on each of 4 counts for personal injury
sustained by plaintiff
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan
Attorney handling case: Dykema, Gossett, Spencer, Goodnow & Trigg

Eusner vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-442-76 Filed: August 19, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages.
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Hennis & Whitaker

Nave, Bruce Alfred vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 2-443-76 Filed: August 19, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker

Adams vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville County, S.C.
Case No. Filed: August 23, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages
and costs for pain, suffering, medical expense and
loss of wages.
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Farham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina

Adams, Pauline vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville County, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: August 23, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages and
costs for wrongful death of plaintiff's intestate.
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Farham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina

Hatfield vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court, Knox County, Knoxville, Tennessee
Case No. 2-453-76 Filed: August 26, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages,
breach of warranty, personal injury due to
long-term exposure to defective and dangerous
asbestos, manufactured by GAF, during scope of
employment.
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss,
& Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Rogers vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Circuit Court, Knox County, Knoxville, Tennessee
Case No. 1-456-76 Filed: August 26, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages,
breach of warranty, personal injury due to
long-term exposure to defective and dangerous
asbestos, manufactured by GAF, during scope of
employment.
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss,
& Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Smith vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia
Case No. L-76-658 Filed: August 26, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000, compensatory damages and punitive
damages in the sum of \$1,000,000, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Portsmouth, Virginia

Starnes, Billy Joe vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division
Case No. C-76-882 Filed: August 20, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,500,000, general, special, exemplary and punitive damages,
plus costs and interest.
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Fleming vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of California, City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 710-899 Filed: August 26, 1976
Amount sought: General, special and exemplary damages to be proven
at trial, plus costs
Attorney handling case: McOutchen, Doyle, Brown & Erarsen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Grice vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern
Division
Case No. C-76-883 Filed: August 20, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,500,000 general, special, exemplary and punitive
damages, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Simpson vs. Owens Corning Fiberglas Corporation, et al
U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division
Case No. 76-H-1499 Filed: September 13, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000 plus interest and costs for injuries sustained
by plaintiff while working as an insulator
City of suit: Houston, Texas
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts

Bartholomew vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: September 20, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Case No. CV76-3764 Filed: September 3, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000 damages, \$500,000 for costs expended, \$100,000
for deprivation of companionship and costs expended.
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Barndorfer vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 20, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Heath vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 21, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Kidd vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 17, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Koontz vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 14, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, wrongful death
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Glover vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia
Case No. 1-76-747 Filed: September 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory damages and punitive
damages in the sum of \$1,500,000, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Wolts & Kelly
City of suit: Portsmouth, Virginia

Lauderback vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall
Division
Case No. M-76-69-CA Filed: September 28, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000, plus costs and interest for wrongful death of
husband
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Marshall, Texas (Dallas)

Barnett vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages and costs
for wrongful death of husband
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Davis vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 6, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Murray vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Charleston County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 1, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages and costs
for wrongful death of husband
Attorney handling case: Gribball, Cabeniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Smith vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Aiken County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Turner vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 6, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Evelyn White vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville, S. C.
Case No. Filed: September 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages,
plus costs for wrongful death of husband
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

John E. White vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Greenville County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 6, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Ward vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division
Case No. M-76-73-CA Filed: October 5, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, actual and exemplary damages
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Sterken vs. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation, et al
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas,
Galveston Division
Case No. 76-G-111 Filed: October 12, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000, plus interest and costs for injuries
sustained to respiratory system while working
with asbestos products manufactured and dis-
tributed by the defendants
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Galveston, Texas

Bouchelle vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for Aiken County, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 8, 1976
Amount sought: \$250,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, S. C.

Norris vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina,
Charleston Division
Case No. 76-1901 Filed: October 11, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Bazemore vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia
Case No. L-76-883 Filed: October 28, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, punitive damages; \$500,000, compensatory
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Portsmouth, Virginia

Call vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas for the County of Charleston, S. C.
Case No. Filed: October 22, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Fearn vs. Forty-Eight Insulation, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania
Case No. GD76-23408 Filed: October 15, 1976
Amount sought: \$10,000, compensatory damages, \$10,000
punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Tucker Arensberg & Ferguson

City of suit: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Merishman vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Norfolk, Virginia
Case No. L76-1706 Filed: October 22, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory damages and \$1,500,000,
punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Johnson, Mary E. vs. GAF Corporation
Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Hudson County
Case No. Filed: October 27, 1976
Amount sought: to be proven at trial
Attorney handling case: Hanoach, Weisman, Stern & Basser
City of suit: Trenton, New Jersey

Marzolf vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
State of New York Supreme Court, County of Erie
Case No. Filed: September 27, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,500,000, plus costs and disbursements
Attorney handling case: Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber
City of suit: Buffalo, New York

Oman vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Newport News
Case No. 2930-S Filed: September 24, 1976
Amount sought: \$500,000, compensatory damages, \$1,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Sedlock, Joseph E. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of
Ohio, Eastern Division
Case No. C-76-1098 Filed: October 12, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, exemplary and punitive damages,
\$500,000, general and special damages,
plus interest at 6% per annum
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Stewart, Evelyn vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California for the City and
County of San Francisco
Case No. 713-284 Filed: October 20, 1976
Amount sought: General, special, exemplary and punitive
damages to be proven at trial, plus costs of suit
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Eversen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Turley vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of
Georgia, Gainesville Division
Case No. C76-108 G Filed: November 8, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
City of suit: Gainesville, Georgia

Bailey, Esther vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-155-MN Filed: November 12, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit and such other and further relief
as is just and proper
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Grimes vs. Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation, et al
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Case No. 76-H-1936 Filed: November 23, 1976
Amount sought: \$250,000, together with interest thereon
from date of judgment, plus costs, general
and special damages
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Small vs. Johns-Manville International Corp., et al
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Case No. 76-H-1907 Filed: November 17, 1976
Amount sought: \$870,000, plus costs and interest for
injuries sustained
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Walker vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of the City of Newport News
Case No. 2959-G Filed:
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory; \$1,500,000, punitive
damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Mosher vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne
Case No. 76-614243 Filed: May 9, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, general and special damages,
\$1,000,000, exemplary damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Harvey, Kruse & Westen, P.C.
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Shoup vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne
Case No. 76-606-823 Filed: March 2, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, general and special damages;
\$1,000,000, exemplary damages, plus costs
and interest at 5% per annum, plus disbursements
Attorney handling case: Harvey, Kruse & Westen, P.C.
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Caines, Dorothy (Executrix) vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Barnwell, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: October 27, 1975
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual, compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Barnwell, South Carolina

Drinkard, Harriet vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio,
Eastern Division
Case No. C76-1318 Filed: December 16, 1976
Amount sought: \$2,500,000, exemplary and punitive damages,
\$ 500,000, general and special damages,
plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Cash, Ronald M. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Ellis, Donahue vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Gibbons, Willie A. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Hogge, Thomas J. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Roland, John Lee vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Sawyer, Thomas R. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Stacey, Milton L. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Van Dyke, Robert L. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Watson, Homer E. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

White, John W. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Holloway, Maurice W. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Jonas, Wilson W. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Long, James T. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Overman, Percy C. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Patrick, O. W. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

Reynolds, Hugh V. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia

White, Walter J. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Newport News Division
Case No. 76-178-NN Filed: December 30, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages
\$2,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
of suit, and such other and further relief
as is just and proper.
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Newport News, Virginia
Status: Dismissed

Ohde, Clarence L. vs. Philip Carey Manufacturing Corp., et al
Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri
Case No. CV76-5247 Filed: December 31, 1976
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Isenhower, Max H. vs. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
Circuit Court of the City of Portsmouth, Virginia
Case No. 6-77-36 Filed: January 14, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000, compensatory damages; \$1,000,000,
punitive damages
City of suit: Portsmouth, Virginia
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly

Grugan vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Fahey vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Barrett vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Cianfrani vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

White, Nicholas R. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Wagner, Joseph J. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Ogborn, Charles vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer

Miller, Richard J. Sr. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Weppler, James H. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Forman, Abe vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Strittmatter, Albert A. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Gilbert, Thomas H. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Lagbata, Rocco L. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Younkman, Richard D. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Kimmelman, Raymond vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Kida, Stanley J. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Volpe, Amedeo vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Ditkoff, Morris vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Bergen, John vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Martin, Peter vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Tirocke, Werner C. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Di Servio, Vito vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Smuzinsky, William vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Stalano, Carman vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Smith, Thomas J. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Inverso, Joseph M. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Maltese, Salvatore A. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County
Case No. Filed: January 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$2,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Lopez, Antonio vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
Case No. 76-1411-Civ-JLK Filed: January 11, 1977
Amount sought: \$250,000, exemplary damages; \$750,000, general
and special damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Danick
& Knight
City of suit: Miami, Florida

Brooks, Franklin D. vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia,
Atlanta Division
Case No. C77-252A Filed: February 11, 1977
Amount sought: \$5,000,000, actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Sutherland, Ashbill & Brennan
City of suit: Atlanta, Georgia

Cohen, Dorothy vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York
Case No. 77C 157 Filed: January 24, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000, general and special damages,
\$2,500,000, exemplary and punitive damages,
plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Kreindler, Relkin & Goldberg
City of suit: New York, New York
Status: Dismissed

Roach, Marie A. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Circuit Court, City of St. Louis, Missouri
Case No. 65720 F Div. 1 Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$532,500, actual damages and \$1,500,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: St. Louis, Missouri

Prim, Madeline vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina
Case No. 77-301 Filed: February 16, 1977
Amount sought: To be proven at trial
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Huggins, Ocie vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus
costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Ruff, John S. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus
costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Scott, Levy J. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus
costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Turner, R. L. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, S. C.
Case No. Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, actual and punitive damages, plus
costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Wesberry, John R. vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co., et al
United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston
Case No. 76-H-116 Filed: January 19, 1976
Amount sought: \$600,000, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Adkins, Doreen vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3032 Filed: February 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$113,000, compensatory damages
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Schneider, George L. and Kate vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
District Court, Boulder County, Colorado
Case No. Filed: February 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory damages; \$25,000,000, exemplary damages, plus costs and interest (George Schneider); \$250,000, compensatory damages, plus costs and interest (Kate Schneider)
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Swisher, Norma L. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division
Case No. C77-162 Filed: February 17, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,500,000 exemplary and punitive damages; \$500,000, general and special damages, plus costs and interest at 6% per annum
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Bradshaw, William T. and Hattie M. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3037 Filed: February 17, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (William); \$250,000 (Hattie)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Neace, Chester and Margaret vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3038 Filed: February 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (Chester); \$250,000 (Margaret)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

King, Roy M. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of the County of Charleston, S. C.
Case No. Filed: January 24, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000, compensatory and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S. C.

Bradley, John and Jewel vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3046 Filed: February 23, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (husband); \$250,000 (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

McBride, Donald T. and Bernadine vs. Combustion Engineering,
Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3040 Filed: February 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (husband); \$250,000 (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Stevens, Waldo and Emma vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3041 Filed: February 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (husband); \$250,000 (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Tuttle, Jack vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the City and County of Denver
Case No. Filed: March 2, 1977
Amount sought: \$250,000, actual damages and \$250,000, punitive
damages, costs and such other relief
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Bawgus, Gordon James vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division I
Case No. 1-128-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory damages; \$1,000,000 punitive
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Evans, Clyde J. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division I
Case No. 1-126-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages; \$1,000,000 punitive
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Huskins, Howard C. and Wife vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division III
Case No. 3-126-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750, compensatory damages; \$250,000 compensatory
damages (husband); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife)
\$1,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs (both)
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

McKee, Ralph and Martha K. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation,
et al

Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division I
Case No. 1-127-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Starnes, John S. and Barbara G. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation,
et al

Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division II
Case No. 2-127-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Starnes, O. K. and Jerriann M. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation
et al

Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division II
Case No. 2-128-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Starnes, Roy Lewis and Lula B. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation
et al

Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division III
Case No. 3-127-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Thomas, Earl vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al

Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division III
Case No. 3-128-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages; \$1,000,000 punitive
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Tuck, Earle D. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al

United States District Court for the District of South Carolina,
Charleston Division
Case No. 77-0415 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Grimbail, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Turner, William A. vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the City and County of Denver, State of
Colorado

Case No. Filed: March 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$250,000 actual damages and \$250,000 punitive damages,
plus costs and other relief
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Wagner, Larry L. vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the County of Boulder, State of Colorado
Case No. Filed: March 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages; \$25,000,000 exemplary
damages (husband); \$250,000 (wife); plus interest,
costs, etc.
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Boulder, Colorado

Woody, Edwin James and Roberts vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation,
et al
Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division II
Case No. 2-126-77 Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Dudley, Margaret A. (Executrix), et al vs. Johns-Manville Corporation,
et al
Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Erie
Case No. Filed: February 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$5,250,000 plus costs and disbursements
Attorney handling case: Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber
City of suit: Buffalo, N.Y.

Bull, Wilfred vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas of the State of South Carolina, County of
Charleston
Case No. Filed: March 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina

Kelly, Nelson D. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Erie
Case No. Filed: January 21, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 plus costs and disbursements
Attorney handling case: Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber
City of suit: Buffalo, New York

Lawson, James vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Supreme Court, State of New York, County of Erie
Case No. Filed: January 21, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, together with costs and disbursements
Attorney handling case: Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber
City of suit: Buffalo, New York

Campbell, Amy M. Individually And As Executrix Of the Estate
of James Earl Ray, Deceased vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas
Dallas Division
Case No. CA3-77-0333 Filed: March 14, 1977
Amount sought: \$350,000 compensatory damages; \$2,500 burial
expenses; \$150,000 mental and physical pain and
suffering and mental anguish and for reasonable
and necessary medical bills incurred.
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kalton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Dallas

Larson, Lloyd R. vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City
and County of San Francisco

Case No. 717-596 Filed: January 21, 1977
Amount sought: To be proven at trial
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco

Ferris, Kathleen, Executrix of the Estate of Walter J. Ferris, Dec'd.
vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County

Case No. Filed: July , 1976
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Parker, Ophelia, Individually And As Sole Heir of Harold T. Parker
vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas,
Dallas Division
Case No. CA 3-77-0372F Filed: March 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$350,000 compensatory damages; \$2,500 burial and
funeral expenses; \$150,000 mental and physical pain,
mental anguish and medical bills, plus interest
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Stewart, John, Individually And As Executor Of the Estate of
Otho C. Stewart, Deceased and Susan Zimmer vs. Johns-Manville
Products Corporation, et al

United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas,
Dallas Division
Case No. CA 3-77-0373F Filed: March 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$100,000 compensatory damages; \$2,500 burial and
funeral expenses; \$150,000 mental and physical
pain and suffering and mental anguish and medical
bills incurred
Attorney handling case: Strasburger, Price, Kelton, Martin & Unis
City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Jones, William T. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County

Case No. 76-11065 Filed: August 6, 1976
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
Amount sought: Determined at trial
City of suit: Media, Pa.

Maher, Joseph F. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County

Case No. 76-11065 Filed: August 6, 1976
Amount sought: Determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pa.

Miles, Jackson Kenneth vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County

Case No. 76-11065 Filed: August 6, 1976
Amount sought: Determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pa.

Petillo, Daniel vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County
Case No. 76-11065 Filed: August 6, 1976
Amount sought: Determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
City of suit: Media, Pa.

Polinchak, William vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County
Case No. 76-11065 Filed: August 6, 1976
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
Amount sought: Determined at trial
City of suit: Media, Pa.

Fleming, Frances C., Executor under the Last Will and Testament
of John C. Fleming, deceased vs. Johns-Manville
Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City
and County of San Francisco
Case No. 720-331 Filed: March 17, 1977
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
Amount sought: Determined at trial
City of suit: San Francisco, Calif.

Schnetke, Helen A., Executrix of the Estate of Ronald F. Schnetke,
Dec'd. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
Case No. 77-765 Filed: March 3, 1977
Attorney handling case: Mesirov, Gelman, Jaffe & Cramer
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages; \$2,500,000
exemplary and punitive damages, plus costs and
interest at 6% per annum
City of suit: Philadelphia, Pa.

Mitchell, Robert P. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-7010648-NP Filed: April 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages;
\$1,000,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and
disbursements and interest on said judgment
at 5% per annum
Attorney handling case: Harvey, Kruse & Westen
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Driggs, Raymond vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating
Co., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Case No. H-77-533 Filed: April 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$275,000, plus interest
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

Weirauch, Josephine M. as general fiduciary of the estate of
Alfred Frederick Weirauch, deceased vs. Johns-Manville Sales
Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-711 317 NP Filed: April 11, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages;
\$1,000,000 exemplary damages, plus costs,
disbursements and interest at 5% per annum
Attorney handling case: Harvey, Kruse & Westen
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Harper, Charles K., as administrator of the estate of Maxwell A. Harper, deceased vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-711 309 NP Filed: April 11, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages,
\$1,000,000 exemplary damages, plus costs,
disbursements and interest at 5% per annum
Attorney handling case: Harvey, Kruse & Westen
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Starnes, Billy Joe and wife, Carolyn Carlton Starnes vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 1-200-77 Filed: April 13, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss
& Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Buff, William J. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina,
Charleston Division
Case No. 77-685 Filed: April 15, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S.C.
Status: Settled

Kaminski, Jerome vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina,
Charleston Division
Case No. 77-683 Filed: April 15, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S.C.
Status: Settled

Froman, Clifford vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida,
Miami Division
Case No. 76-2257 Filed: December 14, 1976
Amount sought: \$750,000 general and special damages
\$250,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight
City of suit: Miami, Florida

Ochoa, Onelio vs. Armstrong Cork Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida,
Miami Division
Case No. 77-1046 Filed: March 30, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 general and special damages
\$250,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight
City of suit: Miami, Florida

Adams, Doris Maxine, Executrix of the Estate of Edward Lee Adams
at Huntington, West Virginia
Case No. 77-3084 Filed: April 14, 1977
Amount sought: \$113,000 compensatory damages
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, W. Va.

Pendley, Ethel Bowman, Executrix of the Estate of John Harold
Pendley, Deceased vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of
Georgia, Atlanta Division
Case No. C77-632 Filed: April 22, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
City of suit: Atlanta, Georgia

Waters, Horace G., Jr. vs. Combustion Engineering Company, Inc.,
et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia,
Atlanta Division
Case No. C77-633A Filed: April 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages and
costs
Attorney handling case: Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
City of suit: Atlanta, Georgia

Rucker, Edna R., Individually, and for the use and benefit of
the Estate of Rucker, Edna R., Deceased
Circuit Court of Knox County, Tennessee, Division II
Case No. 2-220-77 Filed: April 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages; \$1,000,000,
punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Gatlin, Helen, Executrix of the Estate of John B. Gatlin, Deceased
vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, State of South Carolina
Case No. Filed: April 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grinball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S.C.
Status: Settled

Faltesek, John vs. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing & Insulating Co.
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas,
Houston Division
Case No. H-77-636 Filed: May 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$275,000 general and special damages, plus costs
and interest
Attorney handling case: Baker & Botts
City of suit: Houston, Texas

White, Evelyn, Executrix of the Estate of Walter F. White, Deceased
vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al (Refiled) - Survival Action
Court of Common Pleas, County of Greenville, State of South Carolina
Case No. Filed: April 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Davis, Herbert Wilson, Jr. vs. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida
Case No. 77-357-Civ-J-S Filed: May 3, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick
& Knight
City of suit: Miami

William E. Welty vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-236-CA Filed: May 19, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages,
\$500,000 exemplary damages, plus costs
and disbursements and interest at 9% per annum
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Elkins, Geneva, et al vs. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-246-CA Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$600,000 general and special damages, exemplary
damages, plus interest at 9%, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Earp, Ruby L. Individually, and for the use and benefit
of the next of kin of Edgar L. Earp, Deceased
Circuit Court of Knox County, Division III
Case No. 3-277-77 Filed: May 20, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000, compensatory damages;
\$1,000,000, punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss
& Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Treadway, John J. vs. The Celotex Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 2-280-77 Filed: May 23, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000, compensatory damages; \$1,000,000,
punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Richardson, Henniss
& Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Bennett, John G. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Doyle, Lewis M. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Fisher, Gilbert T. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Johnson A., Sr. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Hopkins, Charles M. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Johnson, Melvin J. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Myrick, Wilson A. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Tench, Nelson L. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Waymack, George R., Jr. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Virginia, Richmond Division
Case No. 77-0289-R Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages;
\$2,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Richmond, Virginia

Williams, Glen vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
Case No. M-77-46-CA Filed: June 2, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 actual and exemplary damages
Attorney handling case: Strasburger & Price
City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Hobson, Lionel J. vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and
County of San Francisco
Case No. 723-539 Filed: May 25, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Luque, Emil J. vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City
and County of San Francisco
Case No. 723-664 Filed: May 27, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Arnold, James P. vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
Commonwealth of Massachusetts Superior Court, County of Suffolk
Case No. 21888 Filed: June 8, 1977
Amount sought: \$300,000 plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Hale & Dorr
City of suit: Boston, Massachusetts

Wagner, Joan Guenther, as Executrix of the Estate of Charles
Wagner, also known as Bud Wagner, Deceased v. Johns-Manville
Corporation, et al
Superior Court of New Jersey Law Division-Essex County
Case No. L 36979 76 Filed: June 7, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Hannotch, Weisman, Stern & Besser
City of suit: Jersey City, New Jersey

Austin, Donald Roy and Lorena M. Austin v. Combustion Engineering,
Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3138 Filed: June 8, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 (husband); \$250,000 (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Demahy, Francis J. v. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas, Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-289-CA Filed: June 14, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000, general and special damages, plus
legal interests and costs
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Schmidt, Mary K., Administratrix of the Estate of Donald J. Schmidt,
Deceased v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-718937NP Filed: June 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 wrongful death, plus interest on
said judgment until paid at the rate of 5%
per annum
Attorney handling case: Dykema, Gossatt, Spencer, Goodnow & Trigg
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Graham, Doris A., Administratrix of the Estate of William J. Graham

United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island
Case No. 76-0090 Filed: June 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$200,000 for wrongful death of plaintiff's husband, plus interest and costs
Attorney handling case: Hanson, Curran, Bowen & Parks
City of suit: Providence, Rhode Island

Wood, Kenneth Eugene vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the City and County of Denver,
State of Colorado
Case No. C-72795 Filed: June 30, 1977
Amount sought: \$250,000 actual damages; \$250,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Perino, Thomas D. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the City and County of Denver,
State of Colorado
Case No. C-72796 Filed: June 30, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Rushing, Leroy, Jr. vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
District Court in and for the City and County of Denver,
State of Colorado
Case No. C-72798 Filed: June 30, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 actual and punitive damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Davis, Graham & Stubbs
City of suit: Denver, Colorado

Berry, Mary Lou, Administratrix of the Estate of Clyde E. Berry, Jr., Deceased vs. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-720527 Filed: June 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages;
\$2,000,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case: Dykema, Gossett, Spencer, Goodnow & Trigg
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan

Matthews, Alma Ruth N., Executrix of the Estate of Rufus Matthews, Deceased vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas, County of Charleston, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: June 20, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grimball, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, S.C.
Status: Settled

Carpenter, Lois, et al v. Johns-Manville Products Corporation,

Marshall Division
Case No. M-77-68-CA Filed: July 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$250,000 actual and exemplary damages
Attorney handling case: Strasburger & Price
City of suit: Dallas, Texas

Bjorquist, Donald C. vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the District of Minnesota - Fifth
Division
Case No. 5-77-67 Filed: August 5, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages;
\$100,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and interest
Attorney handling case:
City of suit: Minneapolis, Minnesota

Matthews, John L. vs. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas for the County of Greenville, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: August 9, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 actual, punitive and compensatory damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Farham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Dennis, Mary J., Executrix of the Estate of Russell A. Dennis,
Deceased vs. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia,
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-521-N Filed: August 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$2,000,000 punitive
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Marlin, Sadie Pauline, Individually and for the use and benefit
of the next of kin of Howard E. Marlin, Deceased v. Johns-Manville
Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Knox County, State of Tennessee, Division I
Case No. 1-434-77 Filed: August 9, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 plus costs for wrongful death of husband
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

II
Case No. 2-434-77 Filed: August 9, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages; \$1,000,000 punitive
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Albright, Mary J. vs. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas,
Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-379-CA Filed: August 15, 1977
Amount sought: \$600,000 general and special damages;
\$500,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and
interest at 9%
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Hill, Reginald Guy and Mary Lorena Hill v. Combustion Engineering,
Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia
at Huntington
Case No. 77-3211 Filed: August 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 (husband); \$500,000 (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Moyers, Harley, and wife, Ruth R. Moyers v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 2-462-77 Filed: August 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife), plus \$1,000,000 punitive damages (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Gunter, Joe and wife, Mary Jane Gunter v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-462-77 Filed: August 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife), plus \$1,000,000 punitive damages (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Chunn, Logan Jarrett and wife, Sally O. Chunn v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 2-463-77 Filed: August 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife), plus \$1,000,000 punitive damages (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Barbee, James Melton and wife Sarah Sullivan Barbee v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-433-77 Filed: July 6, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (both); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife); \$1,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Penery, Carl H. and wife, Ruth H. Penery v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-434-77 Filed: August 9, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (each); \$250,000 compensatory damages (wife); \$1,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Adams, Dallas Ford, Executrix of the Estate of Bervia V. Adams, Deceased v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
South Carolina
Case No. Filed: August 23, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wyche, Burgess, Freeman & Parham
City of suit: Greenville, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Derrick, Pate W., Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Derrick, Deceased v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Court of Common Pleas, South Carolina
Case No. Filed: August 23, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages

Durham, David C. v. Johns-Manville Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Hudson, Willie M. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Coates, James P. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Weaver, Robert H. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Ciccone, Silvester J. vs. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

West, James E. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Burke, Robert A. Jr. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Reutlinger, Clarence v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Ward, Rafael L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Braswell, Clyde D. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

White, Milton v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Pigg, James L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Beacham, James E. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Hewitt, Benjamin F. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Jones, Elbert W. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Lutz, Edward N. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Golden, Arthur J. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

White, James F. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Whiddon, Hayes L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

White, Douglas M. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Slayton, Irvin B. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Bruce, James M. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Frame, Willie J. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Groves, Linwood L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Butler, Columbus T. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Hobbs, Wallace L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Nichols, Horace F. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Eardley, Joseph J. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Bagley, William A. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Karwatt, William J., Sr. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Lane, Maurice H. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Miltier, Joseph v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Harcum, George E. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Taylor, Richard C. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Gravitt, Barksdale E. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Barnes, Edwin E. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Hart, Joseph R. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Aldridge, Robert L. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Tysor, Clyde v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Dupree, Herbert R., Sr. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Broadus, William v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Mann, Charles W. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-135-N C/P #77-1 Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages
\$2,000,000 punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Foley, John D. and Mary Ruth Foley v. Celotex Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri
Case No. CV77-2870 Filed: August 24, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 plus costs (husband); \$1,000,000
punitive damages (husband); \$100,000 plus costs (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Jackson, Helen May, Personal Representative of the Estate of
George Volney Jackson, Deceased v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation,
et al
United States District Court for the Western District - Southern
Division, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-410CA Filed: August 31, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 general and special damages;
\$500,000 exemplary damages, plus costs and
attorney fees
Attorney handling case: Cholette, Perkins and Buchanan
City of suit: Battle Creek, Michigan

Towler, Wade H. v. Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Co.,
et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-425-CA Filed: August 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$475,000 plus costs of court incurred and
interest from date of judgment until paid
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Winborne, John R. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-273-N CP #77-1 Filed: August 12, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$2,000,000
punitive damages, plus costs of suit
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Hall, Lucille O., Executrix of the Estate of Thomas K. Hall.
et al v. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3216 Filed: September 1, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 compensatory and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, W. Va.

Eller, Radford v. Johns-Manville Products Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the District of South Carolina,
Charleston Division
Case No. CA-77-1771 Filed: September 2, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Grimbail, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Thomas, Wayne R. v. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
Court of Common Pleas, State of South Carolina, County of Charleston
Case No. Filed: September 2, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 actual and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Grimbail, Cabaniss, Vaughan & Guerard
City of suit: Charleston, South Carolina
Status: Settled

Robertson, James H. v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia,
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-601-N Filed: September 21, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$2,000,000
punitive damages, plus costs of suit
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Knick, Rachel E., Administratrix of the Estate of Earl B. Knick,
Deceased v. Johns-Manville Corp., et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia,
Norfolk Division
Case No. 77-602-N Filed: September 21, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$2,000,000
punitive damages, plus costs of suit
Attorney handling case: Jones, Blechman, Woltz & Kelly
City of suit: Norfolk, Virginia

Anzak, Loretta, Personal Representative of the Estate and
Survivors of William John Anzak, Deceased v. Armstrong Cork
Company, et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida,
Miami Division
Case No. 77-2346-Civ.-NCR Filed: August 10, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 general and special damages;
\$250,000 for exemplary damages, plus costs
and interest on said judgment
Attorney handling case: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick
& Knight
City of suit: Miami, Florida

Heitzman, Alberta, Administratrix of the Estate of Herman Heitzman,

Case No. S477 1217 Filed: October 17, 1977
Amount sought: \$100,000 (wrongful death), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wooden, Stark, McLaughlin & Sterner
City of suit: Indianapolis, Indiana

Scott, Helen I., Administratrix of the Estate of Robert J. Scott,
Deceased v. Eastern Fiberglass Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the County of Marion, State of Indiana
Case No. S577 1271 Filed: October 17, 1977
Amount sought: \$100,000 (wrongful death), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Wooden, Stark, McLaughlin & Sterner
City of suit: Indianapolis, Indiana

Steele, Merle C. v. Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City
and County of San Francisco
Case No. 729-384 Filed: October 14, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen
City of suit: San Francisco, California

Yost, Lois, Administratrix of the Estate of Paul Yost, Deceased
v. Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corporation, et al
Superior Court of the County of Marion, State of Indiana
Case No. S477 1217 Filed: October 17, 1977
Amount sought:
Attorney handling case: Wooden, Stark, McLaughlin & Sterner
City of suit: Indianapolis, Indiana

McGreevey, Martin J. v. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio,
Eastern Division
Case No. 1088 Filed: October 13, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,500,000 exemplary and punitive damages;
\$500,000 general and special damages, plus
interest and costs
Attorney handling case: Baker, Hostetler & Patterson
City of suit: Cleveland, Ohio

Bennett, Ray v. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
Georgia, Augusta Division
Case No. CV177-166 Filed: October 20, 1977
Amount sought: \$2,000,000 actual and punitive and compensatory
damages, plus costs
Attorney handling case: Hull, Towill, Norman, Barrett & Johnson
City of suit: Augusta, Georgia

Hendrix, Lollie. Widow of Eugene Hendrix, Deceased, et al
Administratrix of the Estate of Eugene Hendrix, Deceased
United States District Court for the Southern District of
Georgia, Augusta Division
Case No. CV177-167 Filed: October 20, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
Attorney handling case: Hull, Towill, Norman, Barrett & Johnson
City of suit: Augusta, Georgia

Lykins, Charles Oscar and Evelyn S. Lykins v. Combustion
Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3264 Filed: October 21, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$500,000
punitive damages (husband); \$500,000 compensatory
damages; \$250,000 punitive damages (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Ross, Joel and Margaret Louise v. Combustion Engineering, Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3269 Filed: October 28, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$500,000
punitive damages (husband); \$500,000 compensatory
damages; \$250,000 punitive damages (wife)
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia

Greene, Betty J. and Charles F. Greene v. Combustion Engineering,
Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana,
Fort Wayne Division
Case No. F77-116 Filed: November 4, 1977
Amount sought: \$600,000 for costs expended; \$2,500,000 exemplary
and punitive damages
Attorney handling case: Wooden, Start, McLaughlin & Sterner
City of suit: Fort Wayne, Indiana

Blalock, Harold Wayne and wife, Brenda Faye Brown Blalock
v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 1-365-77 Filed: July 5, 1977
Amount sought: \$750,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$250,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$1,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Holton, William Ralph and wife, Oneda Ann P. Holton v.
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Tennessee, Southern Division
Case No. 1-77-294 Filed: November 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages, plus costs (both)
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Berringer, George W. v. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas, Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-579-CA Filed: November 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages;
\$500,000 exemplary damages, plus costs,
expenses and interest at 9% per annum
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Brown, Ernest Henry v. Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama
Case No. CV-77-C3422 Filed: November 7, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000
Attorney handling case: Cahaniss, Johnston, Gardner, Dumas & O'Neal
City of suit: Birmingham, Alabama

Des Jardins, Emma, Administratrix of the Estate of Louis
Des Jardins, Deceased
Circuit Court for the County of Wayne, State of Michigan
Case No. 77-737-328 Filed: November 23, 1977
Amount sought: To be determined at trial
City of suit: Detroit, Michigan
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne

Pauley, John W. and Oreda F. Pauley v. Combustion Engineering,
Inc., et al
United States District Court for the Southern District of
West Virginia at Huntington
Case No. 77-3277 Filed: November 3, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 compensatory damages; \$500,000
punitive damages (husband); \$500,000 compensatory
damages; \$250,000 punitive damages (wife)
City of suit: Huntington, West Virginia
Attorney handling case: Spilman, Thomas, Battle & Klostermeyer

Morrow, Mary Anne v. The Celotex Corporation, et al
Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri
Case No. CV77-3855 Filed: November 22, 1977
Amount sought: \$200,000 plus costs
Attorney handling case: Spencer, Fane, Britt & Browne
City of suit: Kansas City, Missouri

Herbert, Leroy v. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of
Texas - Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-595-CA Filed: December 2, 1977
Amount sought: \$500,000 exemplary damages; \$1,000,000 general
and special, plus costs and disbursements and
interest at 9% per annum
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker
City of suit: Beaumont, Texas

Matthews, Howard v. Fibreboard Corporation, et al
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas -
Beaumont Division
Case No. B-77-594-CA Filed: December 1, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,000,000 general and special damages;
\$500,000 exemplary damages, plus costs,
disbursements and interest at 9% per annum

City of suit: Beaumont, Texas
Attorney handling case: Orgain, Bell & Tucker

Loudy, Robert & Carrie Steadman Loudy, his wife, v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 1-669-77 Filed: November 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus
costs

City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker

Holton, Thomas and wife, Frances Kathleene Holton v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 3-669-77 Filed: November 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Holton, John R. and wife, Josephine Holton v. Johns-Manville
Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee
Case No. 2-669-77 Filed: November 18, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Knoxville, Tennessee

Childress, Don Edward and wife, Marion Price Childress v.
Johns-Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3540 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

Cathey, Elmer Lee and wife, Martha Jo Cathey v. Johns-Manville
Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3538 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

Oliver, Horace K. and wife, Dorothy Thomas Oliver v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3541 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

Overton, Robert Wesley and wife, Merrill B. Overton v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3542 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

Murphy, Claude E. and wife, Jean Virginia Murphy v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3543 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

Mackie, James V. and wife, Dorothy Grace Mackie v. Johns-
Manville Sales Corporation, et al
Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee
Case No. C-3539 Filed: November 29, 1977
Amount sought: \$1,500,000 compensatory damages (husband);
\$500,000 compensatory damages (wife);
\$3,000,000 punitive damages (both), plus costs
Attorney handling case: Witt, Gaither, Henniss & Whitaker
City of suit: Nashville, Tennessee

8

5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. W.E. Cooke, "Fibrosis of the Lungs Due to Inhalation of Asbestos Dust."
2. W.E. Cooke, "Pulmonary Asbestosis."
3. Thomas Oliver, "Clinical Aspects of Pulmonary Asbestosis."
Stuart McDonald, "Histology of Pulmonary Asbestosis."
4. F.W. Simson, "Pulmonary Asbestosis in South Africa."
5. M.J. Stewart, "The Immediate Diagnosis of Pulmonary Asbestosis at Necropsy."
6. H.E. Seiler, "A Case of Pneumoconiosis."
7. W. Burton Wood, "Pulmonary Asbestosis."
8. S. Roodhouse Gloyne, "The Presence of the Asbestos Fibre in the Lesions of Asbestos Workers."
9. A.C. Haddow, "Clinical Aspects of Pulmonary Asbestosis."
10. W.E. Cooke, "Asbestos Dust and the Curious Bodies Found in Pulmonary Asbestosis."
11. M.J. Stewart, "A Method of Examining the Sputum for Asbestosis Bodies."
12. Kenneth M. Lynch and William Atmar Smith, "Pulmonary Asbestos II."
13. M.J. Stewart, "Asbestosis Bodies in the Lungs of Guinea Pigs after Three to Five Months Exposure in an Asbestos Factory."
14. S. Roodhouse Gloyne, "The Reaction of Tissues to the Asbestos Fibre with Reference to Pulmonary Asbestosis."
15. W. Burton Wood, "A Case of Pulmonary Asbestosis: Death from Tuberculosis Two Years after First Exposure to the Dust."
16. E.R.A. Merewether, "The Occurrence of Pulmonary Fibrosis and Other Pulmonary Affections in Asbestos Workers."
17. E.R.A. Merewether, "The Occurrence of Pulmonary Fibrosis and Other Pulmonary Affections in Asbestos Workers." (conclusion)

18. Lynch and Smith, "Asbestosis." Journal of American Medical Association. (excerpt)
19. H.E. Seiler, "A Case of Pulmonary Asbestosis."
20. Harold L. Stewart, Carl J. Bucher and Ernest H. Coleman, "Asbestosis."
21. W. Burton Wood, "Pulmonary Asbestosis Complicated by Pulmonary Tuberculosis."
22. J. Donnelly, "Pulmonary Asbestosis."
23. E.R.A. Merewether, "A Memorandum on Asbestosis."
24. S. Roodhouse Gloyne, "Morbidity Anatomy and Histology of Asbestosis."
25. Dan S. Egberg, "Pulmonary Asbestosis."
26. J. Donnelly, "Pulmonary Asbestosis: Incidence and Prognosis."
27. William E. Smith, "Survey of Some Current British and European Studies of Occupational Tumor Problems."
28. S. Roodhouse Gloyne, "Pneumoconiosis."
29. Richard Doll, "Mortality from Lung Cancer in Asbestos Workers."
30. Daniel C. Braun and T. David Truan, "An Epidemiological Study of Lung Cancer in Asbestos Miners."
31. Irving J. Selikoff, Richard A. Bader, Mortimer E. Bader, Jacob Churg, and E. Cuyler Hammond, "Asbestosis and Neoplasia."
32. Maxwell Borow, Alfred Conston, Lawrence L. Livornese and Norbert Schalet, "Mesothelioma and its Association with Asbestosis."
33. M. Kleinfeld, J. Messite, and O. Kooyman, "Mortality Experience in a Group of Asbestos Workers."
34. Irving J. Selikoff, "Address to the Delegates of the Twenty First Convention of the International Association of Heat and Frost Insulators and Asbestos Workers."

35. R. Belleau and E.A. Gaensler, "Mesothelioma and Asbestosis."
36. Irving J. Selikoff, E. Cuyler Hammond, and Jacob Churg, "Asbestos Exposure, Smoking, and Neoplasia."
37. Paul Gross, Robert T.P. deTreville and Martin N. Haller, "Pulmonary Ferruginous Bodies in City Dwellers."
38. Vasil Persaud, Eric M. Bateson, and Clarence D. Bankay, "Pleural Mesothelioma Associated with Massive Hepatic Calcification and Unusual Metastases."
39. Irving J. Selikoff, "Partnership for Prevention."
40. David Kotelchuck, "Priorities in Preventive Health."
41. Committee on Biologic Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants, "Asbestos."
42. Margaret R. Becklake, "Physical and Chemical Irritants."
43. Irving J. Selikoff, Harry Heimann, and E. Cuyler Hammond, "Late Effects of Indirect Occupational Exposure to Asbestos in U.S. Shipyards in World War II. I. Population at Risk."
44. I.J. Selikoff, E.C. Hammond and J. Churg, "Mortality Experience of Asbestos Insulation Workers, 1912-1971."
45. Raymond L.H. Murphy, Jr., Benjamin G. Ferris, Jr., William A. Burgess, Jane Worcester, and Edward A. Gaensler, "Effects of Low Concentrations of Asbestos."
46. I.J. Selikoff, E.C. Hammond & H. Seidman, "Cancer Risk of Insulation Workers in the United States."
47. H. Bohlig and E. Hain, "Cancer in Relation to Environmental Exposure."
48. K.V. Lindell, "Industrial Uses of Asbestos." P.E. Enterline & H. Weill, "Asbestosis in Asbestos Cement Workers."
49. A. Morgan & L.J. Cralley, "Chemical Characteristics of Asbestos and Associated Trace Elements."
50. W.J. Nicholson & F.L. Pundsack, "Asbestos in the Environment."

51. Arthur H. Langer, Ivan B. Rubin, Irving J. Selikoff and Fred D. Pooley, "Chemical Characterization of Uncoated Asbestos Fibers from the Lungs of Asbestos Workers by Electron Microprobe Analysis."
 52. Margaret R. Becklake, Gisele Fournier-Massey,, Charles E. Rossiter, and J. Corbett McDonald, "Lung Function in Chrysotile Asbestos Mine and Mill Workers of Quebec."
 53. Minnesota Public Interest Research Group, "Hazards of Asbestos to Human Health."
 54. Irving J. Selikoff, E. Cuyler Hammond, and Jacob Churg, "Carcinogenicity of Amosite Asbestos."
 55. Raymond L.H. Murphy, Jr., Edward A. Gaensler, Ralph A. Redding, Roger Belleau, Patrick J. Keelan, Arthur A. Smith, Ann M. Goff, and Benjamin G. Ferris, Jr., "Low Exposure to Asbestos."
 56. E.C. Hammond and I.J. Selikoff, "Biological Effects of Asbestos."
 57. Leslie Bartosiewicz, "Improved Techniques of Identification and Determination of Airborne Asbestos."
 58. G.W. Gibbs & R.S.J. Du Toit, "Environmental Data In Mining."
 59. Charlotte Kanton, "Asbestos Toxicity."
 60. M. Navrath and J. Dobias, "Development of Pleural Hyalinoses in Long Term Studies of Persons Exposed to Asbestos Dust."
 61. Muriel L. Newhouse, "Asbestos in the Work Place and the Community."
 62. Health Policy Advisory Center, "Your Job or Your Life."
 63. W. Raymond Parkes, "Asbestos-Related Disorders."
 64. Paul Gross and Russell A. Harley, Jr., "The Locus of Pathogenicity of Asbestos Dust."
 65. Paul Gross and Russell A. Harley, Jr., "Asbestos-Induced Intrathoracic Tissue Reactions."
 66. Maxwell Borow, Alfred Conston, Lawrence Livornese and Norbert Schalet, "Mesothelioma Following Exposure to Asbestos: A Review of 72 Cases."
-

67. Philip E. Enterline and Vivian Henderson, "Type of Asbestos and Respiratory Cancer in the Asbestos Industry."
68. A.J. Fox, D.C. Lindars and R. Owen, "A Survey of Occupational Cancer in the Rubber and Cablemaking Industries: Results of Five-Year Analysis, 1967-71."
69. Hilton C. Lewinsohn, "Early Malignant Changes in Pleural Plaques Due to Asbestos Exposure: A Case Report."
70. W.C. Cooper & J. Miedema, "Asbestosis in the Manufacture of Insulating Materials."
71. C.A. Soutar, G. Simon and M. Turner-Warwick, "The Radiology of Asbestos-Induced Disease of the Lungs."
72. Benjamin Castleman, Robert E. Scully, and Betty U. McNeely, "Case Records of the Massachusetts General Hospital."
73. Robert A. Green and Donald G. Dimcheff, "Massive Bilateral Upper Lobe Fibrosis Secondary to Asbestos Exposure."
74. Peter Rosen, Angela Savino and Myron Melamed, "Ferruginous (Asbestos) Bodies and Primary Carcinoma of the Colon."
75. R.J. Richards, P.M. Hext, G. Blundell, W.J. Henderson and B.E. Volcani, "Ultrastructural Changes in Lung Fibroblast Cultures Exposed to Chrysotile Asbestos."
76. L.M. Shabad, L.N. Pylev, L.V. Krivosheeva, T.F. Kulagina and B.A. Nemenko, "Experimental Studies on Asbestos Carcinogenicity."
77. Robert C. Tait & Douglas W. Smith, "Roles for E. Coli DNA Polymerases I, II, and III in DNA Replication."
78. Medical Whodunit, "The Case of the Burly Wee Man."
79. The Listener, "The Right to Know an Investigation into Secrecy."
80. Patrick L. Brown, "Asbestosis."
81. J.M.G. Davis, "Histogenesis and Fine Structure of Peritoneal Tumors Produced in Animals by Injections of Asbestos."
82. Herman Libshitz, Martin S. Wershba, G. William Atkinson, and Martha E. Southard, "Asbestosis and Carcinoma of the Larynx."

83. William N. Rom and Philip E.S. Palmer, "The Spectrum of Asbestos-Related Diseases."
84. Kun-Young Kang and Yoshizumi Sera, "T Lymphocytes in Asbestosis."
85. Journal of Occupational Medicine, "Letter."
86. Philip Davies, Anthony C. Allison, Jill Ackerman, Ann Butterfield and Susan Williams, "Asbestos Induces Selective Release of Lysosomal Enzymes from Mononuclear Phagocytes."
87. J.A. Merchant, P.T. Klouda, C.A. Soutar, W. Raymond Parkes, Sylvia D. Lawler and Margaret Turner-Warwick, "The HL-A System in Asbestos Workers."
88. J.K. Scott and R. Hunt, "The Diagnosis of Asbestosis."
89. F.M. Engelbrecht and B.F. Burger, "Mesothelial Reaction to Asbestos and Other Irritants after Intraperitoneal Injection."
90. A. Solomon and I. Webster, "The Visceral Pleura in Asbestosis."
91. Robert A. Curtis and Philip J. Bierbaum, "Technological Feasibility of the 2 Fibers/cc Asbestos Standard in Asbestos Textile Facilities."
92. G. Knight, "Overlap Problems in Counting Fibers."
93. Lawrence W. Ortiz, Harry J. Ettinger and Charles I. Farichild, "Calibration Standards for Counting Asbestos."
94. AIHA-ACGIH Aerosol Hazards Evaluation Committee, "Recommended Procedures for Sampling and Counting Asbestos Fibers."
95. Hans Weill, Morton M. Ziskind, Carmel Waggenpack and Charles E. Rossiter, "Lung Function Consequences of Dust Exposure in Asbestos Cement Manufacturing Plants."
96. Asbestos Information Committee, "Asbestosis."
97. Barry Castleman, Lucille A. Camarota, Albert J. Fritsch, Susan Mazzocchi, and Robert G. Crawley, "The Hazards of Asbestos for Brake Mechanics."
98. A.N. Rohl, A.M. Langer, I.J. Selikoff and W.J. Nicholson, "Exposure to Asbestos in the Use of Consumer Spackling, Patching and Taping Compounds."

99. Colin F. Harwood, David K. Gestreich, Paul Siabert and John D. Stockham, "Asbestos Emissions from Baghouse Controlled Sources."
100. Author Unknown, "Environmental Pathology."
101. High Carley Hospital, "Asbestos Related Disease in Barrow-in-Furness."
102. Nicholas Hahan and Herbert L. Eckert, "Depression of Viral Interferon Induction in Cell Monolayers by Asbestos Fibers."
103. Auli Toivanen, Marja Salmivalli and Gabor Molnar, "Pulmonary Asbestosis and Autoimmunity."
104. Philip E. Enterline, "Pitfalls in Epidemiological Research."
105. Zuzana Fligiel and Mamoru Kaneko, "Malignant Mesothelioma of the Tunica Vaginalis Propria Testis in a Patient with Asbestos Exposure."
106. I. Leonard Bernstein and John Moteff, "Possible Asbestos Hazards in Clinical Allergy."
107. Harrison, "Environmental Lung Disease."
108. Nancy Tait, "Asbestos Kills."