



1.7.85

RECEIVED

JUL 17 1972

CAMBRIDGE

VERMICULITE PRODUCTS, INC.
MAKERS OF VERMICULITE AND VERMICULITE PRODUCTS

POST OFFICE BOX 7277 • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77202 •

July 24, 1972

Mr. Tom Falt
Ionolite Division
W. R. Grace & Co.
62 Whittemore Avenue
Cambridge, Mass. 02140

Dear Tom:

I have just read your letter of July 20th concerning the Environmental Protection Agency activities. It would appear that at some point in time, and probably the very near future, the EPA will accept a standard which would prohibit the further use of MK-1. Frankly, Tom, if I understood you correctly in our last telephone conversation Grace may well continue to manufacture and sell MK-1 and even might fight the EPA legally and I understood you to state this decision was not yours but someone higher up. I would appreciate, once again, your opinion on what Grace will do in this situation and if we continue to make MK-1 and sell it on jobs already contracted for just what we might expect in reprisals from EPA. Frankly, Tom, we have committed on a specific price on several hi-rise jobs which will be installed probably the early part of next year and well outside the date EPA might accept a standard. I would appreciate your opinion on this and if you had rather not write it please call me as we must chart some action for the jobs coming up and I do not know exactly how the cost of non-asbestos MK compares with MK-1 as I stated before we have a price commitment on the fireproofing on two hi-rise jobs.

I would appreciate very much your thoughts and opinion on this subject.

Yours very truly,

James E. Greer

JHG/mh

cc: S. C. Fulfer

1.7585
RECEIVED

JUL 27 1972

CAMBRIDGE

VERMICULITE PRODUCTS, INC.

POST OFFICE BOX 7287 • HOUSTON, TEXAS 77288 •

July 24, 1972

Mr. Tom Falt
Ionolite Division
W. R. Grace & Co.
62 Whittemore Avenue
Cambridge, Mass. 02140

Dear Tom:

I have just read your letter of July 20th concerning the Environmental Protection Agency activities. It would appear that at some point in time, and probably the very near future, the EPA will accept a standard which would prohibit the further use of MK-1. Frankly, Tom, if I understood you correctly in our last telephone conversation Grace may well continue to manufacture and sell MK-1 and even might fight the EPA legally and I understood you to state this decision was not yours but someone higher up. I would appreciate, once again, your opinion on what Grace will do in this situation and if we continue to make MK-1 and sell it on jobs already contracted for just what we might expect in reprisals from EPA. Frankly, Tom, we have committed on a specific price on several hi-rise jobs which will be installed probably the early part of next year and well outside the date EPA might accept a standard. I would appreciate your opinion on this and if you had rather not write it please call me as we must chart some action for the jobs coming up and I do not know exactly how the cost of non-asbestos MK compares with MK-1 as I stated before we have a price commitment on the fireproofing on two hi-rise jobs.

I would appreciate very much your thoughts and opinion on this subject.

Yours very truly,

James E. Greer

JHG/mb

cc: S. C. Fulfer