



WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER

IARC MONOGRAPHS
ON THE
EVALUATION OF THE
CARCINOGENIC RISK
OF CHEMICALS TO HUMANS

Chemicals, Industrial Processes and
Industries Associated with Cancer
in Humans
IARC Monographs, Volumes 1 to 29

SUPPLEMENT 4

Report of an IARC *ad hoc* Working Group
which met in Lyon, 8-12 February 1982
to advise the Director, IARC,
on chemicals, industrial processes and industries
that are carcinogenic for humans

October 1982

INTERNATIONAL AGENCY FOR RESEARCH ON CANCER

MONS 225114

602

METHODS

The data on each chemical were reviewed in detail before the meeting by selected members of the group: the animal studies and short-term test results were evaluated by experimentalists and the human studies by an epidemiologist. During the meeting of the Working Group these assessments were debated and adopted, and overall evaluations of carcinogenicity for humans were made on the basis of the combined evidence from humans and experimental systems (Table 1). Brief descriptions of the data on which the assessments and evaluations were based are given in the section on Results, together with references to the Monographs volumes in which they were evaluated previously and, when applicable, to papers published subsequently.

Assessment of evidence for carcinogenicity from studies in humans

Evidence of carcinogenicity from human studies comes from three main sources:

1. Case reports of individual cancer patients who were exposed to the chemical or process.
2. Descriptive epidemiological studies in which the incidence of cancer in human populations was found to vary in space or time with exposure to the agents.
3. Analytical epidemiological (case-control and cohort) studies in which individual exposure to the chemical or group of chemicals was found to be associated with an increased risk of cancer.

Three criteria must be met before a causal association can be inferred between exposure and cancer in humans:

1. There is no identified bias which could explain the association.
2. The possibility of confounding has been considered and ruled out as explaining the association.
3. The association is unlikely to be due to chance.

In general, although a single study may be indicative of a cause-effect relationship, confidence in inferring a causal association is increased when several independent studies are concordant in showing the association, when the association is strong, when there is a dose-response relationship, or when a reduction in exposure is followed by a reduction in the incidence of cancer.

The degrees of evidence for carcinogenicity from studies in humans were categorized as:

1. **Sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity**, which indicates that there is a causal relationship between the agent and human cancer.
2. **Limited evidence of carcinogenicity**, which indicates that a causal interpretation is credible, but that alternative explanations, such as chance, bias or confounding, could not adequately be excluded.
3. **Inadequate evidence**, which indicates that one of three conditions prevailed: (a) there were few pertinent data; (b) the available studies, while showing evidence of association, did not exclude chance, bias or confounding; (c) studies were available which do not show evidence of carcinogenicity.

Assessment of evidence for carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals

These assessments were classified into four groups:

i. *Sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity*, which indicates that there is an increased incidence of malignant tumours: (a) in multiple species or strains; or (b) in multiple experiments (preferably with different routes of administration or using different dose levels); or (c) to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site or type of tumour, or age at onset. Additional evidence may be provided by data on dose-response effects, as well as information from short-term tests or on chemical structure.

ii. *Limited evidence of carcinogenicity*, which means that the data suggest a carcinogenic effect but are limited because: (a) the studies involve a single species, strain, or experiment; or (b) the experiments are restricted by inadequate dosage levels, inadequate duration of exposure to the agent, inadequate period of follow-up, poor survival, too few animals, or inadequate reporting; or (c) the neoplasms produced often occur spontaneously and, in the past, have been difficult to classify as malignant by histological criteria alone (e.g., lung and liver tumours in mice).

iii. *Inadequate evidence*, which indicates that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, the studies cannot be interpreted as showing either the presence or absence of a carcinogenic effect; or that within the limits of the tests used, the chemical is not carcinogenic. The number of negative studies is small, since, in general, studies that show no effect are less likely to be published than those suggesting carcinogenicity.

iv. *No data* indicates that data were not available to the Working Group.

The categories *sufficient evidence* and *limited evidence* refer only to the strength of the experimental evidence that these chemicals are carcinogenic and not to the extent of their carcinogenic activity nor to the mechanism involved. The classification of any chemical may change as new information becomes available.

Assessment of data from short-term tests

Because of the large number and wide variety of short-term tests that may be relevant for the prediction of potential carcinogens, the data relative to each compound have been summarized in the form of tables. These indicate both the type of test used and the biological complexity of the test system. 'DNA damage' includes evidence for covalent binding to DNA, induction of DNA breakage or repair, induction of prophage in bacteria, and a positive response in tests of comparative survival in DNA repair-proficient and DNA repair-deficient bacteria. 'Mutagenicity' refers to induction of mutations in cultured cells or in organisms (e.g., heritable alterations in phenotype, including forward or reverse point mutations, recombination, gene conversion, and specific-locus mutation). 'Chromosomal anomalies' refers to the induction of chromosomal aberrations, including breaks, gaps, rearrangements and micronuclei, sister chromatid exchange and aneuploidy. 'Other' refers to various additional endpoints, including cell transformation (T), i.e., morphological transformation and colony formation in agar; dominant lethal (DL) tests; morphological abnormalities in sperm (SA); and mitochondrial mutation (MT). The biological systems include: 'Prokaryotes', i.e., bacteria, in the presence or absence of an exogenous metabolic activation system, and cellular systems: 'Fungi' and green plants; 'Insects', usually *Drosophila melanogaster*; 'Mammalian cells (in vitro)', either rodent or human somatic cells or cell lines in culture; 'Mammals (in vivo)', studies in which the test compound was administered to intact experimental animals; and 'Humans (in vivo)', studies of cells from groups of individuals drawn from a population exposed to the substance in question.

In these tables, a '+' indicates that the result was judged by the Working Group to be significantly positive in one or more assays; '-' indicates that it was judged to be negative from an evaluation of one or more assays; and '?' indicates that contradictory results were obtained in assays from different laboratories or in different biological systems, or that the result was judged to be equivocal. The individual tables for each compound are summarized, for purposes of comparison, in Appendix 3.

The overall evidence summarized in the table was adjudged to fall into one of three categories, *sufficient*, *limited* and *inadequate*:

i. *Sufficient evidence*, when there were at least three positive results in at least two of three test systems measuring DNA damage, mutagenicity or chromosomal effects. When two of the positive results were for the same genetic effect, they had to be derived from systems of different biological complexity.

ii. *Limited evidence*, when there were at least two positive results, either for different endpoints or in systems representing two levels of biological complexity.

iii. *Inadequate evidence*, when there were generally negative or only one positive test results. Up to two positive test results were considered inadequate if they were accompanied by two or more negative test results.

The Working Group was unable to define criteria for 'negative' evidence.

In establishing these categories the Working Group gave greater weight to the three primary endpoints - DNA damage, mutagenicity and chromosomal effects - and judgments were made on the quality as well as on the quantity of the evidence. In a minority of cases, strict interpretation of these criteria was tempered by consideration of a variety of other factors (such as the purity of the test compound, problems of metabolic activation, appropriateness of the test system) which, in the judgement of the Working Group, would place a compound in a category above or below that indicated by the summary table.

Evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans

At present, no objective criteria exist to interpret data from studies in experimental animals or from short-term tests directly in terms of human risk. Thus, in the absence of sufficient evidence from human studies, evaluation of the carcinogenic risk to humans was based on consideration of both the epidemiological and experimental evidence. The breadth of the categories of evidence defined above allows substantial variation within each. The decisions reached by the Group regarding overall risk incorporated these differences, even though they could not always be reflected adequately in the placement of an exposure into a particular category, as listed in Table 1.

The chemicals, groups of chemicals, industrial processes or occupational exposures were thus put into one of three groups:

Group 1

The chemical, group of chemicals, industrial process or occupational exposure is carcinogenic to humans. This category was used only when there was sufficient evidence from epidemiological studies to support a causal association between the exposure and cancer.

Group 2

The chemical, group of chemicals, industrial process or occupational exposure is probably carcinogenic to humans. This category includes exposures for which, at one extreme, the evidence of human carcinogenicity is almost sufficient, as well as exposures for which, at the other extreme, it is inadequate. To reflect this range, the category was divided into higher (Group 2A) and lower (Group 2B) degrees of evidence. Usually, category 2A was reserved for exposures for which there was at least limited evidence of carcinogenicity to humans. The data from studies in experimental animals played an important role in assigning studies to category 2, and particularly those in Group 2B; thus, the combination of sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate data in humans usually resulted in a classification of 2B.

In some cases, the Working Group considered that the known chemical properties of a compound and the results from short-term tests allowed its transfer from Group 3 to 2B or from Group 2B to 2A.

Group 3

The chemical, group of chemicals, industrial process or occupational exposure cannot be classified as to its carcinogenicity to humans.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The assessments of degree of evidence for carcinogenicity to humans and in experimental animals and for activity in short-term tests, as well as the summary evaluations of carcinogenic risk to humans are given in Table 1.

Group 1: The Working Group concluded that the following 7 industrial processes and occupational exposures and 23 chemicals and groups of chemicals are causally associated with cancer in humans.

Industrial processes and occupational exposures:

- Auramine manufacture
- Boot and shoe manufacture and repair
(certain occupations)
- Furniture manufacture
- Isopropyl alcohol manufacture
(strong-acid process)
- Nickel refining
- Rubber industry (certain occupations)
- Underground hematite mining
(with exposure to radon)

* This list does not include known human carcinogens such as tobacco smoke, solar and ultraviolet radiation, since they have not yet been covered in the Monograph programme.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

15

Chemicals and groups of chemicals:

4-Aminobiphenyl
Analgesic mixtures containing phenacetin^a
Arsenic and arsenic compounds^a
Asbestos
Azathioprine
Benzene
Benzidine
N,N-Bis(2-chloroethyl)-2-naphthylamine (Chloromaphazine)
Bis(chloromethyl)ether and technical-grade chloromethyl methyl ether
1,4-Butanediol dimethanesulphonate (Myleran)
Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas^a (including MOPP^a)
Chlorambucil
Chromium and certain chromium compounds^a
Conjugated oestrogens^a
Cyclophosphamide
Diethylstilboestrol
Mephefen
Methoxsalen with ultra-violet A therapy (PUVA)
Mustard gas
2-Naphthylamine
Soots, tars and oils^{a,b}
Trecsulphen
Vinyl chloride

Group 2: The following 61 chemicals, groups of chemicals or industrial processes are probably carcinogenic to humans

Group 2A

Acrylonitrile
Aflatoxins
Benz(a)pyrene
Beryllium and beryllium compounds^a
Combined oral contraceptives^a
Diethyl sulphate
Dimethyl sulphate
Manufacture of magenta^a
Nickel and certain nickel compounds
Nitrogen mustard
Oxymetholone
Phenacetin
Procateridine
ortho-Toluidine

^a The compounds responsible for the carcinogenic effect in humans cannot be specified.
^b Phenacetin, nitrogen mustard, vinorelbine and procateridine
^c Mineral oils may vary in composition, particularly in relation to their content of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Group 2B

Actinomycin D
 Adriamycin
 Amitrole
 Auramine (technical grade)
 Benzotrichloride
 Bischloroethyl nitrosourea (BCNU)
 Cadmium and cadmium compounds
 Carbon tetrachloride
 Chloramphenicol
 1-(2-Chloroethyl)-3-cyclohexyl-1-nitrosourea (CCNU)
 Chloroform
 Chlorophenols (occupational exposure to)^a
 Cisplatin
 Dacarbazine
 DOT
 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
 Diethylstilbestrol
 3,3'-Dimethoxybenzidine (ortho-Dianisidine)
 Dimethylcarbamoyl chloride
 1,4-Dioxane
 Direct Black 38 (technical grade)
 Direct Blue 6 (technical grade)
 Direct Brown 86 (technical grade)
 Epichlorohydrin
 Ethinylloestradiol
 Ethylene dibromide
 Ethylene oxide
 Ethylene thiourea
 Formaldehyde (gas)
 Hydrazine
 Mestranol
 Metronidazole
 Norethisterone
 Oestradiol-17 β
 Oestrone
 Phenazopyridine
 Phenytoin
 Phenylacetic acid herbicides (occupational exposure to)^a
 Polychlorinated biphenyls
 Pregnenolone
 Propylthiouracil
 Sequential oral contraceptives^a
 Tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin (TCDD)
 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
 Tri(aziridinyl)-para-benzoquinone (Triaziquone)
 Tri(1-aziridinyl)phosphine sulphide (Thiotaps)
 Urethyl mustard

Group 3: The remaining 84 chemicals, groups of chemicals, industrial processes and occupational exposures could not be classified as to their carcinogenicity to humans.

^a The compound(s) responsible for the possible carcinogenic effect in humans cannot be specified.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

17

Table 1. Summary evaluations of carcinogenic risk to humans from chemicals, industrial processes and industries* based on evidence for carcinogenicity to humans and to animals and for activity in short-term tests†

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carcinogenicity in humans	Evidence for carcinogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans
Acrylonitrile	limited	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Actinomycin D	inadequate	limited	sufficient	2B
Adriamycin	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Aflatoxins	limited	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Aldrin	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
4-Aminobiphenyl	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Amirrol	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Anesthetics, volatile	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Anaesthetic mixtures containing phenacetin	sufficient	limited	no data	1
Phenacetin	limited	sufficient	limited	2A
Aniline	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Arsenic and certain arsenic compounds	sufficient	inadequate	limited	1
Asbestos	sufficient	sufficient	inadequate	1
Auramine (technical grade)	limited	limited	sufficient	2B
Manufacture of auramine	sufficient	-	-	1
Azathioprine	sufficient	limited	sufficient	1
Benzene	sufficient	limited	limited	1
Benzidine	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Benzidine-based dyes				
Direct Black 38 (technical grade)	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Direct Blue 8 (technical grade)	inadequate	sufficient	no data	2B
Direct Brown 95 (technical grade)	inadequate	limited	no data	2B
Beryllium and beryllium compounds	limited	sufficient	inadequate	2A
N,N-Bis(2-chloroethyl)-2-naphthylamine (Chromaphazine)	sufficient	limited	limited	1
Bis(chloromethyl) nitrosourea (BCNU)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Bis(chloromethyl) ether and technical-grade chloromethylmethyl ether	sufficient	sufficient	limited	1

* In IARC Monographs 1-28, for which data in humans were available

† This table does not include known human carcinogens such as tobacco smoke, alcohol and asbestos, since they have not yet been considered in the IARC Monographs.

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carcinogenicity in humans	Evidence for carcinogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans
Blotomycin	inadequate	inadequate	sufficient	3
1,4-Butanediol dimethanesulphonate (Myleran)	sufficient	limited	sufficient	1
Cadmium and cadmium compounds	limited	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Carbon tetrachloride	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas (including MOPP)	sufficient	-	inadequate	1
Chlorambucil	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Chloramphenicol	limited	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Chlordane/Heptachlor	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
1-(2-Chloroethyl)-3-cyclohexyl-1-nitrosourea (CCNU)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Chlorinated toluenes (production of):				
Benzyl chloride	inadequate	limited	sufficient	3
Benzoyl chloride	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Benzal chloride	inadequate	limited	limited	3
Benzotrichloride	inadequate	sufficient	limited	2B
Chloroform	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Chlorophenols (occupational exposure to)	limited	-	-	2B
Chloroprene	inadequate	inadequate	sufficient	3
Chromium and certain chromium compounds	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient (Cr VI) inadequate (Cr III)	1
Cisplatin	inadequate	limited	sufficient	2B
Clofibrate	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Clomiphene	inadequate	inadequate	no data	3
Cyclophosphamide	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Cyclophosphamide	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
2,4-D and esters (See also Phenoxycetic acid herbicides, occupational exposure to)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Deserbasins	inadequate	sufficient	limited	2B
Depeone	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
DDT	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
ortho-Dichlorobenzene and para-Dichlorobenzene	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

19

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carcinogenicity in humans	Evidence for carcinogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Dichloromethane	inadequate	inadequate	limited	3
Diethanol	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Diethyl sulphate	limited	sufficient	sufficient	2A
3,3'-Dimethoxybenzidine (ortho-Dianiline)	inadequate	sufficient	limited	2B
Dimethylcarbamoyl chloride	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Dimethyl sulphate	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2A
1,4-Dioxane	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Epichlorohydrin	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Ethylene dibromide	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Ethylene oxide	inadequate	limited	sufficient	2B
Ethylene thiourea	inadequate	sufficient	limited	2B
5-Fluorouracil	inadequate	inadequate	limited	3
Formaldehyde (gas)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Hexachlorocyclohexane	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Hydralazine	inadequate	limited	sufficient	3
Hydrazine	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Industries				
Boot and shoe manufacture and repair (certain occupations)	sufficient	-	-	1
Carpentry and joinery (certain exposures)	inadequate	-	-	3
Furniture manufacture	sufficient	-	-	1
Leather goods manufacture	inadequate	-	-	3
Leather tanning	inadequate	-	-	3
Lumber and sawmill industry	inadequate	-	-	3
Pulp and paper manufacture (certain exposures)	inadequate	-	-	3
Rubber industry (certain occupations)	sufficient	-	-	1
Iron dextran complex	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	3
Isonicotinic acid hydrazide	inadequate	limited	limited	3
Lead and lead compounds	inadequate	sufficient (for some salts)	inadequate	3
Manufacture of isopropyl alcohol (strong-acid process)	sufficient	-	-	1
Isopropyl oils	inadequate	inadequate	no data	3
Manufacture of magenta	limited	-	-	2A
Magenta (technical grade)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Metaphen	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
6-Mercaptopurine	inadequate	inadequate	sufficient	3

MONS 225123

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carci- nogenicity in humans	Evidence for carci- nogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carono- genic risk to humans
Methotrexate	inadequate	inadequate	sufficient	3
Methoxsalen with ultraviolet A therapy (PUVA)	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Metronidazole	inadequate	sufficient	limited	2B
Mustard gas	sufficient	limited	sufficient	1
1-Naphthylamine	inadequate	inadequate	sufficient	3
2-Naphthylamine	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Nickel refining	sufficient	.	.	1
Nickel and certain nickel compounds	limited	sufficient	inadequate	2A
Nitrogen mustard (See also Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Oestrogens and progestins	limited*	.	inadequate	2A
Combined oral contraceptives	limited	.	.	2B
Sequential oral contraceptives	inadequate	.	.	3
Other oestrogen-progestin combinations	sufficient	inadequate	inadequate	1
Conjugated oestrogens	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Oestrogens	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Dieneoestrol	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Diethylstilboestrol	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Ethinylloestradiol	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Mestranol	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Oestradiol-17 β	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Oestrone	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B
Progestins:				
Chlormadinone acetate	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Dimethisterone	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Ethinodiol diacetate	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
17 α -Hydroxyprogesterone acetate	inadequate	inadequate	no data	3
Lynestrol	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Medroxyprogesterone acetate	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Megestrol acetate	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Norethisterone	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Norethynodrel	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Norgestrel	inadequate	inadequate	no data	3
Progesterone	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	2B

* Sufficient for liver adenomas.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

21

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carcinogenicity in humans	Evidence for carcinogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans
Oxymetholone	limited	no data	no data	2A
Pentachlorophenol (See also Chlorophenols, occupational exposure to)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Phenazopyridine	inadequate	sufficient	no data	2B
Phenazine	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Phenobarbital	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Phenoxycetic acid herbicides (occupational exposure to)	limited	-	-	2B
Phenylbutazone	inadequate	no data	inadequate	3
N-Phenyl-2-naphthylamine	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Phenyltin	limited	limited	inadequate	2B
Polychlorinated biphenyls	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Prednisone (See also Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Procarbazine (See also Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Propylthiouracil	inadequate	sufficient	no data	2B
Racemeph	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Seochem	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
Scots, tars and oils	sufficient	sufficient	-	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Spironolactone	inadequate	limited	no data	3
Styrene	inadequate	limited	sufficient	3
Styrene oxide	inadequate	limited	sufficient	3
Sulfafurazole	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Sulfamonomethoxate	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
2,4,5-T and esters (See also Phenoxycetic acid herbicides, occupational exposure to)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)	inadequate	sufficient	inadequate	2B
Tetrachloroethylene	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
ortho-Toluidine	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2A
Triazophan	sufficient	no data	inadequate	1
Trichloroethylene	inadequate	limited	inadequate	3
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (See also Chlorophenols, occupational exposure to)	inadequate	inadequate	no data	3
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (See also Chlorophenols, occupational exposure to)	inadequate	sufficient	no data	2B

MONS 225125

Chemical, process or industry	Evidence for carci- nogenicity in humans	Evidence for carci- nogenicity in animals	Evidence for activity in short-term tests	Summary evaluation of carci- nogenic risk to humans
Tris(aziridiny)-para-benzoquinone (Triaziquone)	inadequate	limited	sufficient	2B
Tris(1-aziridiny)phosphine sulphide (Thiotepa)	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Underground haematite mining (with exposure to radon)	sufficient	-	-	1
Haematite	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Uracil mustard	inadequate	sufficient	sufficient	2B
Vinblastine	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Vincristine (See also Certain combined chemotherapy for lymphomas)	inadequate	inadequate	inadequate	3
Vinyl chloride	sufficient	sufficient	sufficient	1
Vinylidene chloride	inadequate	limited	sufficient	3