




Caution: This email originated from outside EPA, please exercise additional caution when deciding whether to open attachments or click on provided links.

Many thanks,

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN


SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
+1 202 736 8547
sboxerman@sidley.com

From: Tardif, Abigale (Abbie) <Tardif.Abigale@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2025 10:59 AM
To: Boxerman, Samuel B. <sboxerman@sidley.com>; Donahue, Sean <donahue.sean@epa.gov>
Cc: Bolen, Brittany A. <bbolen@sidley.com>
Subject: RE: Good Neighbor Rule - request

Sam-

Thank you for reaching out, I will pass your request along to my schedulers to find time for us to meet.

Best,
Abbie



Abigale Tardif
Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Air and Radiation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office: (202) 564-7017

From: Boxerman, Samuel B. <sboxerman@sidley.com>
Sent: Friday, March 7, 2025 5:13 PM
To: Donahue, Sean <donahue.sean@epa.gov>; Tardif, Abigale (Abbie) <Tardif.Abigale@epa.gov>
Cc: Brittany Bolen <bbolen@sidley.com>
Subject: Good Neighbor Rule - request

Caution: This email originated from outside EPA, please exercise additional caution when deciding whether to open attachments or click on provided links.

Mr. Donahue and Ms. Tardif:

I am an attorney at Sidley, and we represent the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America (INGAA) and the American Petroleum Institute (API) in connection with the Good Neighbor Rule, including in the ongoing D.C. Circuit litigation and pending request to EPA for reconsideration where we are part of the group of industry stakeholder petitioners.

As I'm sure you know, the court recently denied the US motion to hold the Good Neighbor litigation in abeyance, requiring prompt briefing (US filing due March 10) on the supplemental notice on remand that EPA issued at the end of last year and a short timeline for oral argument on the merits (April 25). We would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you to discuss our perspective, particularly our views on the pending requests for reconsideration and how those could potentially address the challenge presented by the court's decision to deny the requested abeyance.

We would hope to discuss this with you at your earliest convenience.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Many thanks,

- Sam

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
+1 202 736 8547
sboxerman@sidley.com
www.sidley.com

SIDLEY

This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.
