

1 A Yes.

2 Q Now Joe Mallia would fall into the category,
3 would not be in the -- I'm sorry, let me start over.

4 Joe Mallia would not be in the low probability
5 of exposure category?

6 A I wouldn't think so.

7 Q Okay. And so under the data presented here in
8 Agudo dude Joe would fall into a classification that
9 had an increased significant risk, correct?

10 A I wouldn't make that inference, no.

11 MR. LANKFORD: Objection.

12 THE WITNESS: You're taking a sentence out of
13 context and not looking at the data. I would look at
14 the data first and not try to generalize from the text.

15 BY MR. RUCKDESCHEL:

16 Q Have you done so?

17 A I'm relying on Goodman and Wong.

18 Q Okay. Let's turn to the next page, 163, and
19 there is a sentence in the left-hand column of the
20 text:

21 "The analysis on probability of
22 asbestos exposure was restricted to some
23 subgroups, subjects younger 55 years,
24 eleven cases and four controls, showed an
25 odds ratio of 10, confidence interval of