6-65 PRINTED IN U.S.A.

OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

poration

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
GF-626

RECEIVED
JAN 18 1973
LEGAL

January 17, 1973

TO: Mr. E. Bristol
Vails Gate

FROM: W. Fassuliotis
Safety & Security, N.Y.

R. W. Van Buren) W/Att.
G. Lent )
C. Bien )
E. Gutzwiller )
J. Gow ) W/O Att.
P. Weiner )

SUBJECT: ASBESTOS MONITORING RESULTS (11/21 - 11/29/72) - VAILS GATE

The results of your 11/21 to 11/29/72 samples submitted for EED counting are summarized in the attached correspondence.

Except for the No. 5 Line Reliefman, all counts are below the permissible limits. The reason for the 5.2 count for this job classification on that day cannot be ascertained since the sampler did not include any comments as to prevailing conditions or activities to account for the high count. On three previous occasions, this job produced counts of 2.4, 2.0, 1.4.

The all day results shown for the Laborer - Service Department is not entirely accurate for an 8-hour exposure as the results of two different days and operators were combined to yield the number given. The work sheets for the 11/29 and 11/15 data on this job indicated the employees were unloading asbestos rail cars. These two half day samples have a relatively wide variance (0.86 and 3.26). In the future, we would appreciate additional comments from the sampler that may provide some clues as to the counts subsequently obtained.

Until more samples are taken to verify TWA and ceiling exposures, the results to date would appear to indicate that the permissible levels currently permitted are not being exceeded. However, to verify this, EED will shortly be issuing supplemental guidelines as to future monitoring requirements for specific job classifications.

W. FASSULIOTIS

5585