



Vitamin E intake, α -tocopherol status, and pancreatic cancer in a cohort of male smokers¹⁻³

Rachael Z Stolzenberg-Solomon, Seth Sheffler-Collins, Stephanie Weinstein, David H Garabrant, Satu Mannisto, Philip Taylor, Jarmo Virtamo, and Demetrius Albanes

ABSTRACT

Background: Evidence indicates that vitamin E has anticarcinogenic properties for gastrointestinal cancers; however, few studies have examined this with respect to exocrine pancreatic cancer.

Objective: The objective was to examine whether vitamin E intake and serum α -tocopherol concentrations were prospectively associated with exocrine pancreatic cancer.

Design: We conducted a cohort analysis of prediagnostic vitamin E intake (4 tocopherols, 4 tocotrienols), serum α -tocopherol concentrations, and pancreatic cancer in the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) Study of male Finnish smokers aged 50–69 y at baseline. During follow-up from 1985 to 2004 (maximum: 19.4 y; median: 16 y), 318 incident cases were diagnosed among cohort participants with complete serum samples ($n = 29,092$); 306 cases had complete dietary data ($n = 27,111$). Cox proportional hazards models adjusted for age, smoking history, history of diabetes mellitus, and/or serum cholesterol were used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs.

Results: Higher α -tocopherol concentrations were associated with lower pancreatic cancer risk (highest compared with lowest quintile, HR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.34, 0.80; P for trend = 0.03; continuous HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.99). Polyunsaturated fat, a putative prooxidant nutrient, modified the association such that the inverse α -tocopherol association was most pronounced in subjects with a high polyunsaturated fat intake (ie, >9.9 g/d; highest compared with lowest quintile, HR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.20, 0.70; P for trend = 0.03; continuous HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.97; P for interaction = 0.05 and 0.02, respectively). No associations were observed for dietary tocopherols and tocotrienols.

Conclusion: Our results support the hypothesis that higher α -tocopherol concentrations may play a protective role in pancreatic carcinogenesis in male smokers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89: 584–91.

INTRODUCTION

Exocrine pancreatic cancer is the third and fourth leading cause of cancer mortality among men and women in Finland (1) and the United States (2), respectively. Because there are no effective screening methods for detecting this malignancy, it is typically diagnosed at advanced stages, which contributes to its high mortality rate (3). Cigarette smoking, history of diabetes, and obesity are among the few consistent risk factors for pancreatic cancer (3).

Vitamin E is a fat-soluble vitamin that refers to a group of 8 structurally related naturally occurring tocopherol and tocotrienol derivatives (4). The major dietary sources of vitamin E are vegetable and seed oils (4). α -Tocopherol (AT) is considered the most biologically active and plentiful form of vitamin E in the plasma and in most tissues of humans (4). Its primary antioxidant function is to prevent cellular damage by scavenging free radicals formed from polyunsaturated fatty acids reacting with oxygen in lipid membranes throughout the body (5)—a function that may be important for cancer prevention. Vitamin E also blocks the endogenous formation of *N*-nitroso-compounds (6), which are suspected carcinogens for some cancers including pancreatic cancer (7). Other nonantioxidant properties of vitamin E that may have implications for prevention of carcinogenesis include inhibition of protein kinase C (PKC) activity and cell division, interference with hormone signaling, enhancement of immune response, regulation of gene expression, and suppression of tumor angiogenesis (5). These latter pathways appear to be dependent on the oxidative stress within specific cells or tissues and may not be explicitly regulated AT alone (5).

Vitamin E has been shown to inhibit pancreatic cancer cell line growth in some (8, 9), but not all (10), studies. Evaluation of the effect of AT on pancreatic carcinogenesis in rodent models has

¹ From the Nutritional Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department Health Human Services, Rockville, MD (RZS-S, SS-C, SW, and DA); the Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (SS-C and DHG); the Departments of Environmental Health Sciences, Epidemiology, and Emergency Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (DHG); the Department of Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention, National Public Health Institute, Helsinki, Finland (SM and JV); and the Genetics Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department Health Human Services, Rockville, MD (PT).

² Supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, and the US Public Health Service contracts N01-CN-45165, N01-RC-45035, and N01-RC-37004 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

³ Reprints not available. Address correspondence to R Stolzenberg-Solomon, 6120 Executive Boulevard, Suite 320, Rockville, MD 20852. E-mail: rs221z@nih.gov.

Received May 16, 2008. Accepted for publication November 25, 2008.

First published online December 30, 2008; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.26423.

reported beneficial (11–13) and null (14, 15) results. Epidemiologic studies that have examined dietary vitamin E (16, 17), serum AT (18–21), or AT supplement interventions (22–25) and pancreatic cancer risk show inconsistent results. Most, including randomized trials that have examined pancreatic cancer as a secondary outcome (22–25), have limited power to detect associations (18–25).

Cigarette smoke, impaired glucose tolerance, and diabetes, which are consistent risk factors for pancreatic cancer, are also sources of oxidative stress in humans (26, 27). Cigarette smoke has been shown to alter vitamin E utilization and increase turnover of blood AT concentrations (5, 26). Therefore, smokers may be more susceptible to the consequences of inadequate vitamin E status than nonsmokers. We conducted a prospective cohort analysis of the association between dietary vitamin E and circulating concentrations of AT and incident pancreatic cancer in Finnish male smokers. Our study is the largest prospective study to evaluate these associations because all cohort members had AT measured in baseline serum and 318 incident pancreatic cancer cases occurred during ≤ 19 y of follow-up.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study population

The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) Study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that used a 2×2 factorial design to determine whether AT and β -carotene (BC) supplementation would reduce the incidence of lung and other cancers in male Finnish smokers. The cohort consisted of 29,133 male Finnish smokers (≥ 5 cigarettes/d) between 50 and 69 y of age. Subjects were eligible if they were not alcoholics; did not have cirrhosis of the liver, severe angina with exertion, or a history of malignancy other than nonmelanoma skin cancer or carcinoma in situ; were not taking anticoagulant therapy; or had no other medical conditions that would limit participation in the study for 6 y (28). Subjects were excluded if they were taking supplements of vitamin E (>20 mg/d), vitamin A ($>20,000$ IU/d), or β -carotene (>6 mg/d) at baseline (28). Between 1985 and 1988, eligible participants were randomly assigned to receive supplements of AT (50 mg/d), β -carotene (20 mg/d), AT+BT, or placebo (28). The trial ended 30 April 1993. For this analysis, follow-up of all subjects began at the date of randomization and ended at the date of pancreatic cancer diagnosis, death, or 30 April 2004, whichever came first. Follow-up was up to 19.4 y (median: 16.0 y). Informed consent from each participant was obtained before randomization, and the study was approved by both the Institutional Review Boards of the National Public Health Institute of Finland and the US National Cancer Institute. For this analysis we used 29,092 subjects with complete serum AT and serum cholesterol data or 27,111 subjects with complete dietary data.

Identification of pancreatic cancer cases

The Finnish Cancer Registry was used to identify pancreatic cancer cases (29), who received their information from short forms from the hospitals, physicians, dentists, death certificates from Statistics Finland, and pathologic, cytologic, and hematologic laboratories. The Finnish Cancer Registry provides nearly

100% case ascertainment in Finland and accurately reports 89% of primary pancreatic cancer cases (29). We included incident primary malignant neoplasm of the exocrine pancreas [*International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision*, diagnosis code 157 (ICD9-157)] and excluded endocrine tumors (ICD9-157.4) for this analysis. The pancreatic cancer diagnosis was confirmed through central review of all relevant hospital records for cases diagnosed from baseline through April 1999, whereas cases diagnosed after April 1999 were based solely on Finnish Cancer Registry data. Between baseline and 30 April 2004, 318 incident exocrine pancreatic cancer cases were diagnosed among 29,092 cohort participants with complete serum AT and serum cholesterol data. Of the 27,111 cohort participants with complete dietary data, 306 cases were diagnosed with pancreatic cancer.

Data collection

At the prerandomized baseline visit, study participants completed self-administered questionnaires that queried questions about medical and smoking habits (28). A blood sample was also obtained from the study participants after they fasted overnight, and serum was stored at -70°C (28). Diet was assessed with a validated self-administered dietary-history questionnaire, which determined the frequency of consumption and usual portion size of 276 food items during the past year by using a color picture booklet as a guide for portion size (30). The questionnaires were reviewed together with a study nurse. Data from the dietary questionnaire were linked to the National Public Health Institute's food consumption database. The contents of the 8 tocopherols and tocotrienols in Finnish foods were computed (31). Serum AT and total cholesterol were measured in the frozen prerandomization baseline serum samples within 2 y of blood collection. Serum AT was measured by HPLC in 29,102 subjects (28) with a between-run CV of 2.2%. Total cholesterol was measured enzymatically (CHOD-PAP method; Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) from the same baseline blood samples ($n = 29,097$ subjects) (28).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed by using SAS software (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC) software. For the serum analyses, we included 29,092 subjects with complete serum AT and cholesterol concentrations. Within and across quintiles of serum AT (**Table 1**), we calculated means for the continuous population characteristic variables and frequency proportions for categorical variables. We used Cox proportional hazards models to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. Serum AT and dietary tocopherol (α , β , γ , δ) and tocotrienol (α , β , γ , δ) variables were examined in models as both continuous and categorical variables. Continuous variables were standardized to the average size of the 2 central quartiles. Quintile cut-offs for serum AT and tocopherol and tocotrienol intake were based on the distribution in the cohort. Trend tests across the categorical variables used the P value for the continuous risk estimate. All dietary variables were energy adjusted by using the residual method (32). Potential confounders were evaluated by using both forward and backward modeling by individually adding variables to the models. Variables were kept in the model



TABLE 1

Means and proportions of selected characteristics by quintile (Q) of baseline serum α -tocopherol in the Alpha-Tocopherol Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study cohort, Finland ($n = 29,092$; 1985–1988)

Baseline characteristic	Serum α -tocopherol quintile (mg/L) ¹				
	Q1 (<9.3)	Q2 (≥ 9.3 to <10.8)	Q3 (≥ 10.8 to <12.2)	Q4 (≥ 12.2 to <14.2)	Q5 (≥ 14.2)
Serum α -tocopherol (mg/L)	7.99	10.11	11.50	13.11	16.91
Age (y)	57.78	57.32	57.00	57.06	56.90
Height (cm)	173.30	173.54	173.86	173.56	173.67
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.56	25.97	26.24	26.49	27.13
Total cigarettes smoked (no./d)	21.2	20.6	20.3	20.1	20.0
Total time smoked (y)	36.8	36.3	35.5	35.5	35.6
Education $\geq$ primary school (%)	15.64	17.94	20.68	23.14	27.76
Medical history, self-report (%)					
Diabetes mellitus	4.15	3.36	3.61	3.71	6.41
Pancreatitis	2.51	1.18	1.19	1.04	1.31
Bronchial asthma	4.27	3.41	2.95	2.37	2.42
Dietary intake ²					
Energy (kcal/d)	2826	2840	2839	2803	2768
Total fat (g/d)	100.80	101.44	101.17	101.05	100.56
Saturated fat (g/d)	55.60	54.29	52.78	51.30	49.00
Polyunsaturated fat (g/d)	9.82	11.03	11.98	13.06	14.68
Tocopherols					
α -Tocopherol (μg)	8.69	9.59	10.28	11.03	12.24
β -Tocopherol (μg)	0.75	0.82	0.86	0.91	0.98
δ -Tocopherol (μg)	1.05	1.42	1.70	2.06	2.52
γ -Tocopherol (μg)	5.74	7.11	8.15	9.31	10.79
Tocotrienols					
α -Tocotrienol (μg)	1.93	2.00	2.02	2.03	2.03
β -Tocotrienol (μg)	2.41	2.54	2.59	2.63	2.68
δ -Tocotrienol (μg)	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11
γ -Tocotrienol (μg)	0.17	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.27
Folate (μg)	326.9	336.4	342.3	346.0	351.4

¹ P values for trends for the selected characteristics across quintiles of serum α -tocopherol were significant ($P < 0.05$), except for height, cigarettes smoked per day, and total fat intake.

² Dietary information was available for only 27,074 of the subjects with serum α -tocopherol concentrations. Dietary variables were adjusted for energy intake by using the residual method.

if they were associated with both the disease risk and exposure and changed the risk estimate by 10% or considered putative pancreatic cancer risk factors and associated with pancreatic cancer in the ATBC cohort. Variables that were examined for potential confounding included the following: study intervention; age at randomization; height; weight; body mass index (BMI; in kg/m^2); number of years smoked; cigarettes smoked per day; education level; serum cholesterol; history of pancreatitis, diabetes mellitus, peptic ulcer disease, gallstones, and bronchial asthma; ATBC intervention; and energy, folate, and total, saturated, and polyunsaturated fat intakes. Age at randomization was the only confounder identified. Our final models included baseline age, smoking history (years smoked and number of cigarettes smoked per day), and history of diabetes mellitus. BMI was not associated with pancreatic cancer in the ATBC cohort, so it was not included in the final model (33). The serum AT models were additionally adjusted for serum cholesterol, because both biomarkers were correlated ($r = 0.62$, $P < 0.0001$). A score variable for serum AT based on the median values of each category was created to test for interactions. Effect modification of the serum AT association by age, intervention, polyunsaturated fat intake, alcohol consumption, history of diabetes, and smoking (cigarettes smoked per day,

years smoked, and cumulative smoking dose) was evaluated by including cross product terms of the serum AT trend score or continuous variables and the effect modifier (with median split cutoffs) in multivariable models and stratified analyses. We chose a priori to examine whether polyunsaturated fat, a putative prooxidant nutrient, modified the association between serum AT and pancreatic cancer. The assumption of proportional hazards and effect modification by length of follow-up was tested by using a time-dependent interaction term (<10 and ≥ 10 y), and the analyses were stratified by follow-up time. The P values for all statistical tests were 2-sided, and an α level of 0.05 used to determine statistical significance.

RESULTS

The means and proportions of selected cohort characteristics according to quintiles of serum AT are shown in Table 1. As serum AT increased, BMI, education, the proportion of subjects reporting a history of diabetes, and dietary intake of all the tocopherols and tocotrienols, polyunsaturated fat, and folate increased ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, baseline age, years smoked, the proportion of subjects reporting a history of pancreatitis or bronchial asthma, and dietary intake of energy

TABLE 2

Hazards ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs for pancreatic cancer by quintile (Q) of baseline serum α -tocopherol and dietary vitamin E (tocopherols and tocotrienols) intakes in the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study cohort, Finland

	Quintiles					<i>P</i> for trend	Continuous HR ¹
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5		
Serum α -tocopherol							
Range (mg/L)	<9.3	≥9.3 to <10.8	≥10.8 to <12.2	≥12.2 to <14.2	≥14.2	—	—
Cases/person-years	82/74,098	59/79,946	44/80,899	79/81,158	54/80,517	—	318/396,617
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	0.66 (0.47, 0.92)	0.48 (0.33, 0.69)	0.86 (0.63, 1.17)	0.59 (0.42, 0.83)	0.06	0.93 (0.88, 1.00)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ²	1.00	0.65 (0.46, 0.91)	0.47 (0.32, 0.69)	0.81 (0.57, 1.14)	0.52 (0.34, 0.80)	0.04	0.91 (0.84, 0.99)
Tocopherol intake							
α -Tocopherol							
Range (μ g)	<7.2	≥7.2 to <8.4	≥8.4 to <10.0	≥10.0 to <13.2	≥13.2	—	—
Cases/person-years	60/72,079	64/73,120	67/74,893	60/75,673	55/75,897	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	1.05 (0.74, 1.49)	1.06 (0.75, 1.50)	0.94 (0.66, 1.34)	0.86 (0.59, 1.23)	0.93	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	1.03 (0.72, 1.47)	1.07 (0.75, 1.54)	0.96 (0.67, 1.39)	0.87 (0.60, 1.26)	0.94	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)
β -Tocopherol							
Range (μ g)	<0.6	≥0.6 to <0.7	≥0.7 to <0.9	≥0.9 to <1.1	≥1.1	—	—
Cases/person-years	60/72,986	59/73,595	60/74,654	65/74,664	62/75,764	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	0.97 (0.68, 1.40)	0.97 (0.68, 1.39)	1.05 (0.74, 1.49)	0.99 (0.69, 1.41)	1.00	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	0.95 (0.66, 1.37)	0.95 (0.66, 1.37)	1.03 (0.72, 1.48)	0.98 (0.68, 1.40)	0.99	1.00 (0.94, 1.07)
δ -Tocopherol							
Range (μ g)	<0.3	≥0.3 to <0.6	≥0.6 to <1.0	≥1.0 to <3.2	≥3.2	—	—
Cases/person-years	53/73,444	67/72,812	65/74,840	68/75,718	53/74,849	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	1.28 (0.89, 1.83)	1.20 (0.83, 1.72)	1.23 (0.86, 1.77)	0.97 (0.66, 1.42)	0.73	0.99 (0.94, 1.04)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	1.26 (0.86, 1.85)	1.24 (0.83, 1.87)	1.30 (0.87, 1.93)	1.01 (0.68, 1.50)	0.89	1.00 (0.95, 1.05)
γ -Tocopherol							
Range (μ g)	<2.8	≥2.8 to <4.9	≥4.9 to <7.5	≥7.5 to <13.1	≥13.1	—	—
Cases/person-years	53/72,116	71/72,744	68/75,228	62/76,150	52/75,424	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	1.32 (0.93, 1.89)	1.22 (0.85, 1.74)	1.09 (0.75, 1.57)	0.92 (0.63, 1.36)	0.52	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	1.32 (0.91, 1.92)	1.30 (0.89, 1.89)	1.18 (0.80, 1.72)	0.98 (0.67, 1.45)	0.79	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)
Tocotrienol intake							
α -Tocotrienol							
Range (μ g)	<1.3	≥1.3 to <1.7	≥1.7 to <2.1	≥2.1 to <2.6	≥2.6	—	—
Cases/person-years	69/73,784	60/73,895	62/74,636	55/75,019	60/74,330	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	0.87 (0.61, 1.23)	0.89 (0.63, 1.25)	0.78 (0.55, 1.11)	0.86 (0.61, 1.22)	0.23	0.96 (0.89, 1.02)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	0.82 (0.58, 1.16)	0.82 (0.58, 1.16)	0.72 (0.50, 1.03)	0.80 (0.57, 1.14)	0.13	0.94 (0.88, 1.02)
β -Tocotrienol							
Range (μ g)	<1.9	≥1.9 to <2.3	≥2.3 to <2.7	≥2.7 to <3.2	≥3.2	—	—
Cases/person-years	62/72,253	51/73,831	70/74,464	70/75,154	53/75,960	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	0.80 (0.55, 1.16)	1.09 (0.77, 1.53)	1.07 (0.76, 1.51)	0.80 (0.56, 1.16)	0.84	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	0.77 (0.53, 1.12)	1.04 (0.74, 1.48)	1.03 (0.73, 1.47)	0.79 (0.55, 1.15)	0.81	0.99 (0.92, 1.06)
δ -Tocotrienol							
Range (μ g)	<0.02	≥0.02 to <0.04	≥0.04 to <0.06	≥0.06 to <0.13	≥0.13	—	—
Cases/person-years	60/72,462	59/71,831	68/74,426	66/76,941	53/76,002	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	0.99 (0.69, 1.42)	1.09 (0.77, 1.55)	1.02 (0.72, 1.45)	0.83 (0.57, 1.20)	0.46	0.98 (0.93, 1.03)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	0.93 (0.64, 1.36)	1.11 (0.77, 1.61)	1.12 (0.77, 1.62)	0.88 (0.60, 1.29)	0.82	0.99 (0.94, 1.05)
γ -Tocotrienol							
Range (μ g)	<0.10	≥0.10 to <0.15	≥0.15 to <0.22	≥0.22 to <0.33	≥0.33	—	—
Cases/person-years	61/72,782	73/71,934	54/74,610	64/76,135	54/76,202	—	306/371,663
Crude HR (95% CI)	1.00	1.21 (0.86, 1.70)	0.86 (0.59, 1.24)	0.99 (0.70, 1.40)	0.83 (0.58, 1.20)	0.48	0.98 (0.91, 1.04)
Adjusted HR (95% CI) ³	1.00	1.15 (0.81, 1.62)	0.83 (0.57, 1.21)	0.99 (0.69, 1.43)	0.85 (0.58, 1.23)	0.63	0.98 (0.92, 1.05)

¹ Continuous variables were standardized to the average size of the 2 central quartiles. Therefore, this is the HR associated with a 25% change in serum concentrations relative to the cohort distribution. The *P* for trend is based on the *P* value of the continuous risk estimate.

² Adjusted for age at the time of randomization, serum cholesterol, smoking history (years smoked and cigarettes smoked per day), and history of diabetes mellitus, (29,092 cohort members with complete serum data; *n* = 318 cases).

³ Dietary variables were energy-adjusted and adjusted for age at time of randomization, energy intake, smoking history (years smoked and cigarettes smoked per day), and history of diabetes mellitus (27,111 cohort members with complete dietary data; *n* = 306 cases).

and saturated fat were inversely associated with serum AT (*P* < 0.05). Compared with noncases, cases were older, had smoked for more years, more often had a history of diabetes mellitus, and had a higher intake of total and saturated fat (*P* < 0.05; data not shown).

After adjustment for age, smoking, serum cholesterol, and history of diabetes mellitus, men with the highest concentrations of serum AT had a 48% reduction in pancreatic cancer risk (quintile 5 compared with quintile 1, HR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.34, 0.80; *P* for trend = 0.04; **Table 2**) and a 9% reduction in risk per



25% change in serum AT (HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.99; $P = 0.04$). The associations for serum AT were similar for a 2-y lag analysis (quintile 5 compared with quintile 1, $n = 294$ cases; HR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.34, 0.81; P for trend = 0.04; continuous HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.83, 1.00). Approximately 55% of the pancreatic cancer cases were documented as being histologically confirmed. The risks of the histologically confirmed (quintile 5 compared with quintile 1, $n = 174$; HR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.28, 0.86; P for trend = 0.24; continuous HR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.83, 1.04) and nonconfirmed (quintile 5 compared with quintile 1, $n = 144$; HR: 0.55; 95% CI: 0.29, 1.08; P for trend = 0.07; continuous HR: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.77, 1.01) pancreatic cancer cases were similar. No significant associations of pancreatic cancer with intake of tocopherols or tocotrienols were observed.

Polyunsaturated fat intake significantly modified the association between serum AT and pancreatic cancer (Table 3; P for interaction ≤ 0.05), such that significant inverse associations were most pronounced in subjects with a high polyunsaturated fat intake. Baseline serum AT was inversely associated with pancreatic cancer in all the intervention groups except the AT+BC group (Table 4; P for interaction = 0.25 for the categorical test and 0.02 for the continuous test), and no significant interaction of the association by AT compared with no AT was observed. There were no significant interactions of serum AT and pancreas cancer risk by history of diabetes mellitus, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, age, or follow-up time (P for interaction > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

We found that, relative to lower concentrations, higher pre-diagnostic serum AT concentrations were associated with a significant 48% reduction in incident exocrine pancreatic cancer risk, but no associations were observed for dietary intakes of

tocopherols or tocotrienols in a cohort of male smokers. In addition, the inverse serum AT association was more pronounced in men with a high polyunsaturated fat intake than in those with a low intake.

The significant interaction that we observe between serum AT and pancreatic cancer by polyunsaturated fat intake is consistent with animal studies. Rodent models that examined the association between AT and pancreatic cancer showed that AT administration inhibits pancreatic carcinogenesis and metastasis when animals are fed diets high in polyunsaturated fat (21% of energy from soybean oil) (11–13), but not when fed diets high in saturated fat (20% of energy from lard) (14, 15). The different results for AT between the 2 models could be explained by the fact that polyunsaturated fats are putative prooxidants. Polyunsaturated fat has been shown to promote pancreatic cancer in rodents (34–36), although most epidemiologic studies, including the ATBC Study, have not shown significant associations between polyunsaturated fat intake and pancreatic cancer (16). The primary antioxidant function of vitamin E is to prevent cellular damage by quenching free radicals formed from polyunsaturated fatty acids reacting with oxygen in lipid membranes (5). Animals fed diets high in polyunsaturated fat may be more susceptible to the effects of lipid peroxidation and, consequently, AT administration is more effective. In particular, in *N*-nitrosobis-2-oxypropylamine-induced pancreatic cancer in Syrian hamsters fed diets high in polyunsaturated fat, AT inhibited preneoplastic pancreatic lesions (11), decreased the incidence of liver metastasis (11, 12), and increased glutathione peroxidase (12) and superoxide dismutase activity in pancreatic carcinomas (12, 13). The authors of these animal experiments hypothesized that the protection may have been mediated by the lipid peroxidation-preventive effects of AT and the accumulation of hydrogen peroxides in pancreatic tissue (13). There is also evidence that AT requirements may be greater with high polyunsaturated fat

TABLE 3

Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs for pancreatic cancer based on baseline serum α -tocopherol by polyunsaturated fat intake in male smokers¹

			HR (95% CI)		P for trend	P for interaction
No. of cases	Person-years	Joint effect	Stratified effect			
High polyunsaturated fat intake, >9.9 g/d						
Quintile of serum α -tocopherol						
<9.4 mg/L	30	22,332	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)		0.05
≥9.4 to <10.8 mg/L	26	32,278	0.59 (0.35, 1.00)	0.61 (0.36, 1.04)		
≥10.8 to <12.2 mg/L	22	38,889	0.40 (0.23, 0.70)	0.42 (0.24, 0.75)		
≥12.2 to <14.2 mg/L	47	43,775	0.71 (0.43, 1.16)	0.78 (0.46, 1.32)		
≥14.2 mg/L	28	51,599	0.32 (0.18, 0.58)	0.38 (0.20, 0.73)		
Continuous HR ²	153	188,877	—	0.86 (0.75, 0.97)	0.02	0.02
Low polyunsaturated fat intake, ≤9.9 g/d						
Quintile of serum α -tocopherol						
<9.4 mg/L	47	46,799	0.70 (0.44, 1.12)	1.00 (reference)		
≥9.4 to <10.8 mg/L	31	42,334	0.49 (0.29, 0.82)	0.66 (0.42, 1.06)		
≥10.8 to <12.2 mg/L	21	27,208	0.36 (0.20, 0.64)	0.47 (0.27, 0.81)		
≥12.2 to <14.2 mg/L	30	32,100	0.57 (0.32, 1.00)	0.72 (0.42, 1.24)		
≥14.2 mg/L	24	23,906	0.57 (0.31, 1.08)	0.69 (0.37, 1.29)		
Continuous HR ²	153	182,347	—	0.97 (0.85, 1.10)	0.64	

¹ Stratified analysis is based on median split cutoffs of energy-adjusted polyunsaturated fat intake. HRs were adjusted for age at randomization, serum cholesterol, smoking history (years smoked and cigarettes smoked per day), history of diabetes mellitus, and energy intake (27,074 subjects with complete serum and dietary data; $n = 306$ cases). P for interaction = 0.05 for categorical stratified analysis.

² Continuous variables were standardized to the average size of the 2 central quartiles. Therefore, this is the HR associated with a 25% change in serum concentrations relative to the cohort distribution. P for interaction = 0.02. The P for trend is based on the P value of the continuous risk estimate.

TABLE 4Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs for pancreatic cancer based on baseline serum α -tocopherol by study intervention in male smokers¹

Intervention and baseline serum α -tocopherol	No. of cases	Person-years	HR (95% CI)		<i>P</i> for trend	<i>P</i> for interaction
			Joint effect	Stratified effect		
Placebo						
<9.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$	29	23,408	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)		0.25
≥ 9.7 to <11.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$	12	26,414	0.36 (0.18, 0.71)	0.38 (0.19, 0.76)		
≥ 11.5 to <13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	23	25,972	0.70 (0.40, 1.23)	0.78 (0.42, 1.44)		
≥ 13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	18	24,497	0.56 (0.30, 1.05)	0.67 (0.32, 1.40)		
Continuous HR ²	82	100,291	—	0.87 (0.72, 1.05)	0.13	0.02
β -Carotene only						
<9.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$	22	23,262	0.76 (0.44, 1.36)	1.00 (reference)		
≥ 9.7 to <11.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$	16	24,513	0.52 (0.28, 0.97)	0.62 (0.32, 1.20)		
≥ 11.5 to <13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	10	25,019	0.31 (0.15, 0.65)	0.34 (0.15, 0.76)		
≥ 13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	17	25,603	0.49 (0.26, 0.92)	0.46 (0.21, 1.03)		
Continuous HR ²	65	98,397	—	0.79 (0.65, 0.97)	0.03	
α -Tocopherol only						
<9.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$	29	24,233	0.96 (0.57, 1.61)	1.00 (reference)		
≥ 9.7 to <11.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$	17	24,654	0.55 (0.30, 1.00)	0.58 (0.31, 1.07)		
≥ 11.5 to <13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	24	25,361	0.74 (0.43, 1.30)	0.79 (0.44, 1.42)		
≥ 13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	25	25,350	0.73 (0.41, 1.30)	0.78 (0.40, 1.52)		
Continuous HR ²	95	99,599	—	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.09	
α -Tocopherol and β -carotene						
<9.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$	20	22,545	0.71 (0.40, 1.25)	1.00 (reference)		
≥ 9.7 to <11.5 $\mu\text{g/L}$	16	24,548	0.52 (0.28, 0.96)	0.75 (0.38, 1.47)		
≥ 11.5 to <13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	17	25,858	0.50 (0.27, 0.93)	0.72 (0.36, 1.46)		
≥ 13.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$	23	25,380	0.68 (0.38, 1.22)	0.99 (0.47–2.09)		
Continuous HR ²	76	98,330	—	1.10 (0.95, 1.27)	0.20	
No α -tocopherol						
<9.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$	43	37,013	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)		0.26
≥ 9.4 to <10.8 $\mu\text{g/L}$	28	40,578	0.57 (0.35, 0.92)	0.56 (0.35, 0.92)		
≥ 10.8 to <12.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	17	40,927	0.34 (0.19, 0.60)	0.33 (0.18, 0.59)		
≥ 12.2 to <14.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	36	40,198	0.71 (0.44, 1.14)	0.69 (0.41, 1.14)		
≥ 14.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	23	39,972	0.42 (0.24, 0.74)	0.40 (0.22, 0.77)		
Continuous HR ²	147	198,688	—	0.83 (0.73, 0.96)	0.009	
α -Tocopherol						
<9.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$	39	37,085	0.89 (0.58, 1.37)	1.00 (reference)		
≥ 9.4 to <10.8 $\mu\text{g/L}$	31	39,368	0.66 (0.41, 1.05)	0.75 (0.46, 1.20)		
≥ 10.8 to <12.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	27	39,972	0.55 (0.33, 0.90)	0.63 (0.37, 1.05)		
≥ 12.2 to <14.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	43	40,960	0.80 (0.51, 1.27)	0.93 (0.57, 1.52)		
≥ 14.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$	31	40,544	0.56 (0.33, 0.95)	0.66 (0.37, 1.17)		
Continuous HR ²	171	197,929	—	0.98 (0.88, 1.09)	0.68	

¹ Stratified analysis is based on study intervention group. HRs were adjusted for age at randomization, serum cholesterol, smoking history (years smoked and cigarettes smoked per day), and history of diabetes mellitus. For the categorical interactions, the 2×2 factorial group *P* for interaction = 0.25, and the α -tocopherol compared with α -tocopherol intervention *P* for interaction = 0.26.

² Continuous variables were standardized to the average size of the 2 central quartiles. Therefore, this is the HR associated with a 25% change in serum concentrations relative to the cohort distribution. The *P* for trend is based on the *P* value of the continuous risk estimate. For the continuous interactions, the 2×2 factorial group *P* for interaction = 0.02, and the α -tocopherol compared with no α -tocopherol intervention *P* for interaction = 0.10.

intakes in humans (37). The results of these experimental studies and our finding that significant inverse associations between serum AT and pancreatic cancer were most pronounced in subjects with a high polyunsaturated fat intake may reinforce the biological plausibility that AT potentially plays a role in pancreatic cancer carcinogenesis.

The inverse association that we observed between serum AT and pancreatic cancer is stronger than that for the dietary intake of vitamin E and the AT trial supplement in the ATBC Study (22). As with all dietary intake studies, dietary intakes determined from a food-frequency questionnaire are not precise. Therefore, measurement error related to dietary assessment and the vitamin E nutrient database was likely and may have contributed to in-

accurate risk estimates and attenuated associations between tocopherol and tocotrienol intakes and pancreatic cancer. Serum concentrations may be more biologically meaningful than dietary intake estimates because they are more accurately measured and reflect nutritional status and the combined effects of intake, absorption, and utilization as well as the effect of oxidative stress (eg, from cigarette smoke) on the depletion of serum and tissue sources (26, 38). In particular, smokers have a high uptake and subsequent turnover of antioxidants (26, 38). The Spearman correlation coefficients for serum AT and AT intake in the ATBC population was 0.24 ($P < 0.0001$) and was similar to that of other studies (39). Supplementation with AT during the randomized ATBC Study resulted in little or no effect

on pancreatic cancer rates: a 4% reduction for AT alone (95% CI: -44, 67%) and 0% change (95% CI: -48, 73%) for AT+BC compared with the placebo group (22). In contrast, men who received only the BC supplement had significantly lower pancreatic cancer rates (-54%; 95% CI: -77, -8%) (22). It is the latter finding that accounted for an apparent nonsignificantly higher risk in the overall AT group (AT alone and AT+BC compared with BC alone and placebo: 34%; 95% CI: -12, 105%) (22). Pancreatic cancer was not the primary endpoint of the trial, and with only 89 participants developing pancreatic cancer during the 6-y intervention period, the test of this hypothesis was underpowered (22). In stratified analyses, serum AT was inversely associated with pancreatic cancer in all of the intervention groups except the AT+BC group. Care needs to be taken to not overinterpret this interaction given the relatively small number of cases within each randomization group and the fact that most of our cases occurred during the posttrial follow-up of the ATBC Study. The beneficial and adverse effects of AT and BC disappeared for all cancers during the postintervention follow-up (40).

Several epidemiologic studies have examined serum AT or vitamin E intake and pancreatic cancer; however, most included relatively few pancreatic cancer cases. Two previous prospective nested case-control studies (18–20, 41) examined the relation of serum AT to pancreatic cancer. The first, conducted in Washington County, MD, measured prediagnostic serum AT in 22 cases and 44 matched controls during 9 y of follow-up and showed no association (18, 19). The second, conducted in Finland, also used prediagnostic serum from 28 cases (17 men, 11 women) that developed during an average follow-up of 8 y (20, 41). A significant 4.8–6.8-fold increased risk was observed for the 3 lowest compared with the 2 highest quintiles of AT, particularly among men (20, 41). A large case-control study ($n = 451$ cases, 1552 matched controls) in Shanghai, China, observed significant inverse associations between vitamin E intake and pancreatic cancer in men (high compared with low quartile, odds ratio: 0.57; 95% CI: 0.35, 0.93; P for trend = 0.006) but not in women (17).

The strengths of our study included its prospective nature, with AT status assessed up to 19 y before cancer diagnosis. Baseline AT was measured in all cohort members, and the number of pancreatic cancer cases was larger than in previous prospective studies. Serum cholesterol was also measured in all ATBC Study participants, which enabled us to adjust for blood lipids in our analyses (39). Because the cases arose from the larger cohort, our study had internal validity and there was no survival bias of cases or selection bias of controls. Our findings in smokers, however, may not be generalizable to populations that include nonsmokers and women. In particular, the association between serum AT and pancreatic cancer may be different in populations not exposed to factors that induce oxidative stress, such as cigarette smoke (26, 27). Residual confounding by cigarette smoking was possible but unlikely because all subjects were current smokers at baseline, self-reported current smoking is highly accurate in adults (42–44), and the smoking exposures were not confounders or effect modifiers of the AT association. In addition, the inverse association between serum AT and pancreatic cancer remained (quintile 5 compared with quintile 1, $n = 87$ cases; HR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.17, 0.84; P for trend = 0.11) when our analysis was restricted to only men who reported

smoking exactly 20 cigarettes/d. A single measurement of AT may not reflect long-term exposure, and, over time, subjects could have changed their intake of vitamin E-containing foods or altered other behaviors that could influence vitamin E status and potentially contribute to inaccurate risk estimates with extended follow-up. However, a single measurement can reflect intake over several weeks, and within-person variability studies support it exhibiting long-term stability with diet over 4–6 y (39, 45). Finally, we cannot exclude the possibility that a dietary correlate to serum AT that is not controlled, particularly another tocopherol, could explain the association we observed.

In conclusion, our results support the hypothesis that higher concentrations of serum AT may protect against pancreatic carcinogenesis in smokers. Further research is needed to evaluate our findings in other populations, particularly relative to exposure factors that influence endogenous oxidative stress.

We thank Katrina Mackrain for assistance with the tables.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—JV, DA, and PT: concept for the cohort; RZS-S: concept and design for the vitamin E, serum AT, and pancreatic cancer ancillary analyses; RZS-S and DA: funding; RZS-S, SS-C, DHG, and SW: analysis and interpretation of data; RZS-S, SS-C, SW, and DHG: statistical analysis; RZS-S and SS-C: draft and revision of the manuscript; SW, SM, PT, JV, DA, and DHG: substantive and editorial comments on manuscript drafts; and SW, DA, and SM: administrative, technical, or material support. None of the authors had any conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Institute for Statistical and Epidemiological Cancer Research. Cancer in Finland. Finnish Cancer Registry 2008. Available from: <http://www.cancerregistry.fi/eng/statistics/>.
2. Cancer Statistics Review SEER. 1975–2005. National Cancer Institute. 2008. Available from: http://www.seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2005/.
3. Anderson KE, Mack TM, Silverman DT. Cancer of the pancreas. In: Schottenfeld D, Fraumeni JF, eds. Cancer epidemiology and prevention. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2006:721–63.
4. Gibson RS. Assessment of the status of vitamin A, D, and E. In: Principles of nutritional assessment. New York, NY: Oxford University Press Inc, 2005:477–528.
5. Traber MG, Atkinson J. Vitamin E, antioxidant and nothing more. Free Radic Biol Med 2007;43:4–15.
6. Bartsch H, Frank N. Blocking the endogenous formation of N-nitroso compounds and related carcinogens. IARC Sci Publ 1996;139:189–201.
7. Hecht SS, Hoffmann D. N-nitroso compounds and tobacco-induced cancers in man. IARC Sci Publ 1991;105:54–61.
8. Merendino N, Loppi B, D'Aquino M, et al. Docosahexaenoic acid induces apoptosis in the human PaCa-44 pancreatic cancer cell line by active reduced glutathione extrusion and lipid peroxidation. Nutr Cancer 2005;52:225–33.
9. Heisler T, Towfigh S, Simon N, Liu C, McFadden DW. Peptide YY augments gross inhibition by vitamin E succinate of human pancreatic cancer cell growth. J Surg Res 2000;88:23–5.
10. Ohlsson B, Albrechtsson E, Axelson J. Vitamins A and D but not E and K decreased the cell number in human pancreatic cancer cell lines. Scand J Gastroenterol 2004;39:882–5.
11. Moore MA, Tsuda H, Thamavit W, Masui T, Ito N. Differential modification of development of preneoplastic lesions in the Syrian golden hamster initiated with a single dose of 2,2'-dioxo-N-nitrosodipropylamine: influence of subsequent butylated hydroxyanisole, alpha-tocopherol, or carbazole. J Natl Cancer Inst 1987;78:289–93.
12. Heukamp I, Kilian M, Gregor JJ, et al. Effects of the antioxidative vitamins A, C and E on liver metastasis and intrametastatic lipid peroxidation in BOP-induced pancreatic cancer in Syrian hamsters. Pancreatol 2005;5:403–9.
13. Wenger FA, Kilian M, Ridders J, et al. Influence of antioxidative vitamins A, C and E on lipid peroxidation in BOP-induced pancreatic cancer in Syrian hamsters. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 2001;65:165–71.
14. Woutersen RA, Appel MJ, Garderen-Hoetmer A. Modulation of pancreatic carcinogenesis by antioxidants. Food Chem Toxicol 1999;37:981–4.

15. Woutersen RA, Van Garderen-Hoetmer A. Inhibition of dietary fat-promoted development of (pre)neoplastic lesions in exocrine pancreas of rats and hamsters by supplemental vitamins A, C and E. *Cancer Lett* 1988;41:179–89.
16. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J, Albanes D. Prospective study of diet and pancreatic cancer in male smokers. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;155:783–92.
17. Ji BT, Chow WH, Gridley G, et al. Dietary factors and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control study in Shanghai China. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1995;4:885–93.
18. Burney PG, Comstock GW, Morris JS. Serologic precursors of cancer: serum micronutrients and the subsequent risk of pancreatic cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1989;49:895–900.
19. Comstock GW, Helzlsouer KJ, Bush TL. Prediagnostic serum levels of carotenoids and vitamin E as related to subsequent cancer in Washington County, Maryland. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;53:260S–4S.
20. Knekt P, Aromaa A, Maatela J, et al. Vitamin E and cancer prevention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;53:283S–6S.
21. Abiaka C, Al-Awadi F, Al-Sayer H, et al. Serum antioxidant and cholesterol levels in patients with different types of cancer. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2001;15:324–30.
22. Rautalahti MT, Virtamo JR, Taylor PR, et al. The effects of supplementation with alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene on the incidence and mortality of carcinoma of the pancreas in a randomized, controlled trial. *Cancer* 1999;86:37–42.
23. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Simonetti RG, Gluud C. Antioxidant supplements for preventing gastrointestinal cancers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008; CD004183.
24. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Simonetti RG, Gluud C. Antioxidant supplements for preventing gastrointestinal cancers. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; CD004183.
25. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Simonetti RG, Gluud C. Systematic review and meta-analysis: primary and secondary prevention of gastrointestinal cancers with antioxidant supplements. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* (Epub ahead of print 30 June 2008).
26. Bruno RS, Ramakrishnan R, Montine TJ, Bray TM, Traber MG. α -Tocopherol disappearance is faster in cigarette smokers and is inversely related to their ascorbic acid status. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81:95–103.
27. Ceriello A, Motz E. Is oxidative stress the pathogenic mechanism underlying insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease? The common soil hypothesis revisited. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2004; 24:816–23.
28. The ATBC Cancer Prevention Study Group. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene lung cancer prevention study: design, methods, participant characteristics, and compliance. *Ann Epidemiol* 1994;4:1–10.
29. Korhonen P, Malila N, Pukkala E, Teppo L, Albanes D, Virtamo J. The Finnish Cancer Registry as follow-up source of a large trial cohort—accuracy and delay. *Acta Oncol* 2002;41:381–8.
30. Pietinen P, Hartman AM, Haapa E, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary assessment instruments. I. A self-administered food use questionnaire with a portion size picture booklet. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988;128: 655–66.
31. Heinonen M, Piironen V. The tocopherol, tocotrienol, and vitamin E content of the average Finnish diet. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res* 1991;61:27–32.
32. Willett W, Stampfer MJ. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986;124:17–27.
33. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J, Albanes D. A prospective study of medical conditions, anthropometry, physical activity, and pancreatic cancer in male smokers (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control* 2002;13:417–26.
34. Roebuck BD, Yager JD Jr, Longnecker DS. Dietary modulation of azaserine-induced pancreatic carcinogenesis in the rat. *Cancer Res* 1981; 41:888–93.
35. Birt DF, Stepan KR, Pour PM. Interaction of dietary fat and protein on pancreatic carcinogenesis in Syrian golden hamsters. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1983;71:355–60.
36. Birt DF, Salmasi S, Pour PM. Enhancement of experimental pancreatic cancer in Syrian golden hamsters by dietary fat. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1981; 67:1327–32.
37. Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for vitamin C, vitamin E, selenium, and carotenoids. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000:186–283.
38. Handelman GJ, Packer L, Cross CE. Destruction of tocopherols, carotenoids, and retinol in human plasma by cigarette smoke. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1996;63:559–65.
39. Hunter DJ. Biochemical indicators of dietary intake. In: Willett WC, ed. *Nutritional epidemiology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 1998:174–243.
40. Virtamo J, Pietinen P, Huttunen JK, et al. Incidence of cancer and mortality following alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene supplementation: a postintervention follow-up. *JAMA* 2003;290:476–85.
41. Knekt P. Vitamin E and cancer: epidemiology. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1992; 669:269–79.
42. Bowlin SJ, Morrill BD, Nafziger AN, Lewis C, Pearson TA. Reliability and changes in validity of self-reported cardiovascular disease risk factors using dual response: the behavioral risk factor survey. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996;49:511–7.
43. Smith KW, McKinlay SM, McKinlay JB. The validity of health risk appraisals for coronary heart disease: results from a randomized field trial. *Am J Public Health* 1991;81:466–70.
44. Slattery ML, Hunt SC, French TK, Ford MH, Williams RR. Validity of cigarette smoking habits in three epidemiologic studies in Utah. *Prev Med* 1989;18:11–9.
45. Knekt P, Aromaa A, Maatela J, et al. Serum vitamin E and risk of cancer among Finnish men during a 10-year follow-up. *Am J Epidemiol* 1988; 127:28–41.

