3/11/74

JP

Attachments to

Scott Tucker

cc Keller
Pettygrove
P. Fish
Grassy
Rutland
Ross
T. Patrick

Subject: This paper submitted

I have read it and approved it and feel it is suitable for publication in the proceedings of the conference on PCB's.

type two title pages as you did for the other articles.

# MIGRATION OF POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS IN SOIL INDUCED BY PERCOLATING WATER

E. S. Tucker, W. J. Litschgi, W. M. Mees

MONSANTO COMPANY
800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard
St. Louis, Missouri 63166

The thermal and chemical stability of the polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are clearly responsible for their widespread use in the electrical industry. Unfortunately, many of the environmental concerns about PCBs are attributable to the same properties. Where fire resistance and efficient transmission of electrical energy are important, PCBs are the preferred dielectric fluid. In fact, the U.S. Government's Interdepartmental Task Force on PCBs, PCBS IN THE ENVIRONMENT (1972), concluded that for closed systems such as transformers and capacitors there are no suitable replacement products for PCBs. Consistent with these decisions, Monsanto introduced a new product, Aroclor* 1016, containing substantially less of the degradation resistant higher chlorinated isomers, restricted the sales to ~~use in closed systems~~, and set up a high temperature incincerator for ~~the~~ disposal of used fluids. While incineration is an acceptable solution to the disposal of used transformer fluids, it is not feasible for ~~the~~ disposal of PCB impregnated capacitors. ~~which are in many cases buried in landfills.~~

The objective of this study was to produce data which would help evaluate the suitability of landfill disposal of Aroclor 1016 impregnated capacitors. Of prime concern was the rate at which percolating ground water might leach Aroclor 1016 from various types of soils.

## Experimental

The experimental procedure employed consisted of percolating water through a column packed with soil coated with Aroclor 1016 and then monitoring the effluent water for PCBs. The set up used is shown schematically in Figure I. The soil columns employed are approximately 3" in diameter by 12" in height and were dry packed in layers. Each soil layer being 3" thick - first uncoated soil, followed by soil coated with 2.5% (w/w) of Aroclor 1016, and finally another layer of uncoated soil. An acetone solution of Aroclor 1016 was used to coat the air dried soil, followed by removal of the acetone in a rotary evaporator.

*Registered trademark of Monsanto Company

Composition of Soils Used in Study (Table I)

Three different types of soils were used in this study. The characteristics of each are shown in Table I.

| Soil | Norfolk Sandy Loam | Ray Silty Loam | Drummer Silty Clay Loam |
|---|---|---|---|
| % Sand | 82.5 | 6.2 | 2.8 |
| % Silt | 11.0 | 83.2 | 55.4 |
| % Clay | 5.5 | 9.6 | 35.8 |
| % Organic Carbon | 1.0 | 1.0 | 6.0 |

Type of fill

The intent was to approximate the various soil types which could be encountered at different land fill sites. The soils used and the procedure employed have been used to evaluate the soil mobility of agricultural chemicals.

Distilled water was fed from the reservoir to each soil column at a constant pressure. The flow rates were observed to increase the first few days, and then decrease and level out. Apparently, after the wetting phase some channeling occurs until the soil becomes compressed in the column. This effect was most pronounced with the silty soils. The average flow rates in liters/day for Norfolk Sandy Loam, Ray Silty Loam and Drummer Silty Clay were 0.26, 0.53 and 0.32, respectively.

The effluent water from the soil column was in turn passed through a polyurethane foam column which quantitatively absorbs PCBs, GESSER (1971). Sampling was carried out on a periodic basis by interchanging a new polyurethane foam column for the old column. In this manner, the effluent could be continuously monitored. The PCBs were removed from the polyurethane by elution with 20 ml of acetone followed by 100 ml of nanograde hexane and collected in a 250 ml separatory funnel. The aqueous phase was discarded and the hexane layer was filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate into a Kunderna-Danish evaporative concentrator. The hexane concentrate was transferred to an alumina* column and eluted with 125 ml of hexane. The hexane eluent was concentrated in

*Alumina, chromatographic grade, 80/200 mesh, heated at 400°C for 4 hours and deactivated with 5% (w/w) distilled water. 30g of alumina packed into a glass column 10" X 20 mm O.D. topped with 2 cm of anhydrous sodium sulfate and washed with 75 ml of nanograde hexane before addition of sample.

a Kunderna-Danish evaporative concentrator and analyzed using a gas chromatograph equipped with a electron capture detector. The conditions for the gas chromatographic analysis were as follows:

Instrument: Hewlett-Packard Model 5753A
(Ni-63 Electron Capture Detector)

Column: 2M X ~~1/4~~ 4mm" 4% XE-60 silicone on 80/100 Mesh
Chromosorb W High Performance - Glass

Injection Port Temperature: 220°C
Column Temperature: 170°C isothermal
Detector Temperature: 300°C
Carrier Gas: Helium, 60 ml/min.
Purge Gas: 10% Methane/Argon, 120 ml/min.
Pulse Internal: 50 µsec

## Results and Discussion

The ~~analytical~~ polyurethane foam columns were changed and analyzed on days 5, 10, 17, 24, 31, 39, 52, 98 and 185. Table II summarizes the ~~observations~~ levels of PCBs found in the column effluents in ppb.

Ppb PCBs Found

Table II

| Elapsed Days/ Soil | Norfolk Sandy Loam | Ray Silty Loam | Drummer Silty Clay Loam |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 thru 31 | ND[1] | ND[1] | ND |
| 39 | ND[1] | 65 | ND |
| 52 | 23 | 92 | ND |
| 98 | 63 | 153 | ND |
| 185 | 63 | 136 | ND |

~~1. None detected <1~~ ppb ND = Never Detected, < 1ppb

Breakthrough of the PCBs in the effluent water was related to the clay content of the soil. The soils containing higher levels of clay retained the PCBs. The order in which breakthrough occurred as a function of effluent volume was Norfolk Sandy Loam followed by Ray Silty Loam and finally Drummer Silty Clay Loam (none observed). This is in agreement with recent work, HAQUE (1974), demonstrating that ~~the~~ clay ~~are good adsorbents~~ has a high adsorptivity for PCBs.

experimentally

~~Even though~~ The water solubility of Aroclor 1016 has not been determined; it is estimated to be in the range of 225-250 ppb. ~~The basis for~~ this estimate is that Aroclor 1016 contains more of the less chlorinated, more soluble isomers than does Aroclor 1242 which has a water solubility of 200 ppb. In all cases, the concentration of PCBs in the effluent water was less than the estimated solubility of Aroclor 1016 in water.

however

based on the fact

water

The chromatograms shown in Figure II detail the isomer distribution of the PCBs observed in the effluent water. Aroclor 1242, the product formerly sold for use in capacitors, is shown at the top. The Aroclor 1016 which was coated on the soil is shown next, followed by the PCBs leached from the soils, and finally a less chlorinated member of the Aroclor series, Aroclor 1221, which was used as a standard for quantitation. The number above each peak indicates the dominate chlorobiphenyl in that peak. A comparison of the Aroclor 1242 and Aroclor 1016 chromatograms ~~indicates~~ the ~~significant~~ reduction of the penta and higher chlorobiphenyls achieved in the manufacture of Aroclor 1016.


dominant

The isomer distribution of the PCBs in the effluent water is the result of differences in the water solubility and adsorption characteristics of the isomers in Aroclor 1016. It is evident that only the less chlorinated, more biodegradable PCBs, AHMED and FOCHT (1973 a,b), TUCKER (1972), similar to Aroclor 1221, were leached from the soil. A degradation rate for Aroclor 1221 of 73±21% has been observed in semi-continuous activated sludge tests at feed levels of 1 and 5 mgs/24 hours, TUCKER (1972).


## Conclusions

The results of this study clearly demonstrate that PCBs are not readily leached from soil by percolating water. In the worst case, less than 0.05% of the total Aroclor 1016 available (25,000 ppm) was leached from the soil during the entire four month duration of these experiments. During this period of time approximately 30-70 liters of water were passed through the three soil columns, an amount of water roughly equivalent to 30-50 ft. of rainfall, assuming no run off.

The ease of leaching Aroclor 1016 from the different types of soils was in the following order: Norfolk Sandy Loam>Ray Silty Loam>Drummer Silty Clay.


FEED WATER RESERVOIR
SOIL COLUMN
UNDOATED SOIL
SOIL COATED WITH 2.8% AROCLOR 1016
UNDOATED SOIL
GLASS WOOL PLUG
ANALYTICAL COLUMN
POLYURETHANE FOAM
WASTE WATER RESERVOIR

MONS 062852


AROCLOR 1242 STD SOLN
AROCLOR 1016 STD SOLN
PCBs FROM RAY SILTY LOAM
AROCLOR 1221 STD SOLN