

Message

**From:** John Hammen [john.hammen@metalsus.com]  
**Sent:** 4/18/2018 4:31:38 PM  
**To:** Duaima, Ted [TDuaima@mtech.edu]  
**CC:** Mark Thompson [MThompson@montanaresources.com]; Dreed@mt.gov; Chapin Storrar [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=user608fc9ab]; Greene, Nikia [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=32a08a414a4f40199b557c0819eb7d0b-Greene, Nikia]; Tim Hilmo - BP (Tim.Hilmo@bp.com) [Tim.Hilmo@bp.com]; Kelly, Albert [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=08576e43795149e5a3f9669726dd044c-Kelly, Albe]  
**Subject:** Re: New Tech for Cleaning up the Berkeley Pit

Thanks, Ted! I will give you a phone call to follow up.

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 8:47 AM, Duaima, Ted <**Redacted**> wrote:

John:

Attached are the water quality results from last Novembers Berkeley Pit sampling event. Results of this springs sampling are not yet available, however, I will be happy to provide those once they are complete. As you will notice both dissolved and total recoverable fractions were analyzed.

The State of MT has stipulated that any large quantities of water collected and shipped from the Berkeley Pit can only be sent to a lab/entity that has an EPA Hazardous Waste ID number, this number is obtained through the state where the lab/test facility etc. is usually located. Once you provide that ID number to me we can then discuss the quantity of water necessary for your testing and the best shipping method. Below is the language from our current Sampling and Analysis Plan (MBMG 2017):

### **Collection of Bulk Water Samples for Treatability Studies**

The MBMG periodically receives requests for the collection of bulk Berkeley Pit water samples for treatability studies from vendors and researchers. The MBMG performs this work as part of the 2002 CD monitoring/sampling program under the guidance of EPA and DEQ. The MBMG collects and ships quantities up to 20 gallons at no cost to the requestor; special arrangements are necessary for larger quantities to cover personnel and shipping costs. Per requirements of the DEQ-Hazardous Waste Program (RCRA), the requestor must provide the appropriate EPA Hazardous Waste ID number for the receiving facility that will be performing the treatability test. No Berkeley Pit bulk water samples will be collected or shipped without the proper EPA ID number.

Feel free to contact me directly to discuss either the water quality data or bulk water sample needs; I can be reached by phone at 406-496-4157. The MBMG provided 5 gallons of pit surface water to your father, Dr. Richard Hammen,

Chromatochem, in 1994 (?). I believe I visited with him when he came to Tech a short time later to talk about his metals recovery process a short time later. I don't have any record of providing more recent water samples or data to your company, so you will notice some changes in the pit chemistry (i.e. iron, copper, and pH) since then.

Thanks,

Ted

TED DUAIME  
Hydrogeologist



---

OFFICE PHONE (406) 496-4157

**From:** John Hammen <[john.hammen@metalsus.com](mailto:john.hammen@metalsus.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 18, 2018 12:30 AM

**To:** Mark Thompson <[MThompson@montanaresources.com](mailto:MThompson@montanaresources.com)>

**Cc:** Dreed@mt.gov; Chapin Storrar <[storrarcs@cdmsmith.com](mailto:storrarcs@cdmsmith.com)>; Greene, Nikia <[Greene.Nikia@epa.gov](mailto:Greene.Nikia@epa.gov)>; Tim Hilmo - BP (Tim.Hilmo@bp.com) <[Tim.Hilmo@bp.com](mailto:Tim.Hilmo@bp.com)>; Duaime, Ted <Redacted>; [kelly.albert@epa.gov](mailto:kelly.albert@epa.gov)

**Subject:** Re: New Tech for Cleaning up the Berkeley Pit

Mr. Thompson,

We look forward to working with you to advance the best solution for the Butte community and state of Montana. The Metals US team, and other friends and partners of ours, have invested significant time and interest in understanding the Berkeley Pit situation, chemistry, and possible solutions because we are concerned about the ongoing and possibly escalating impact of the site. We believe our technology could be the missing link that could enable a best possible outcome for everyone, and we feel it is our responsibility as citizens to do what we can to help out. On that basis, we have put together a preliminary plan that I think is feasible, and we would like to engage in a straightforward process to see if it is the right answer. Since every application and solution is different, any advance projections of costs and values is an approximation, no matter how established or well used a technology may be. No doubt you have had to refine your process and therefore costs and economics for the current precipitation process over the course of your ongoing development work.

We work with a number of Fortune 500 companies to provide solutions to problems otherwise difficult to address with conventional technologies. Initial modeling may be done with established rubrics to see if the costs are within the range of feasibility. We have done this with the Berkeley Pit with our internal costing models, and the results are reflected in the document provided. However, since providing very detailed modeling and costing for complicated projects like the Berkeley Pit can take many months of time cost up to hundreds of thousands of dollars in engineering cost and assessment, serious clients generally prefer to first establish that the technology can in fact meet water treatment goals, at least at smaller scale, through basic test work. This provides direct results with the target solution which not only proves technical feasibility, but also provides a framework for more accurate projections. We have always found our clients to be quite willing to provide us solutions for testing, and support our efforts to demonstrate the efficacy of our technology to provide them more options for solving their problem. That is a win/win for everyone.

Our ability to provide real demonstration of not only the effectiveness of the technology, but use those results to justify compelling economics, sets us apart from nearly all of our competition, and I imagine most of the technology providers you have spoken to. We have not contacted any potential purchasers of the zinc metal product. It seems a bit premature to do so, given that we do not yet have zinc production at the Pit, nor do we have a request to build a facility, nor do we even yet, it seems, have a willingness from you to provide small water samples. However, given the fact that zinc is one of the most traded and sought after commodities in the modern metals market, I do not expect surprises as regards either salability or price.

I know that Montana Resources, and other collaborating parties, have worked tirelessly to provide the Best Available Technology solution at the Berkeley Pit, and other environmental impact issues in the area. We are concerned, as I know you are, that any water discharged from the Pit meet or beat all regulatory standards, and, if possible, the water level on the Pit be drawn down so that it does not provide an ongoing threat of seepage into the surrounding water, soil, and air. I think these goals are very obtainable! We appreciate your team's deep pool of knowledge and experience with treating the Pit, and look forward to engaging you in a process that is clear, straightforward, and transparent to determine if Solid Phase Extraction may be the BAT for at least some aspects of the water processing and solids management.

You mentioned that you and the MBMG have developed protocols for evaluating new proposals and emerging technologies. Could you provide me this written documentation, so that I can better understand your process? Also, if Mr Duaiame could provide the most recent analyses from the Pit, that would be most helpful. Thank you for your assistance! Also, our team would look forward to meeting in the near future with your team to further discuss our collaboration. Best Regards,

-John

On Thu, Apr 12, 2018 at 4:14 PM, Mark Thompson <[MThompson@montanaresources.com](mailto:MThompson@montanaresources.com)> wrote:

Mr. Hammen,

As you would expect, we receive numerous proposals to "mine" and/or treat the Berkeley Pit water. With the assistance of the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology (MBMG), we have developed protocols to evaluate new proposals and emerging technologies. Please contact Mr. Ted Duaiame at the MBMG and request the latest sampling results from the B. Pit to ensure that you are working with the most recent water quality information. Then with more detail than the proposal provide to Mr. Greene, explain your recovery and treatment train using the latest WQ results and provide detailed cost analysis. If you propose to offset treatment costs with recovered metal value, please describe in detail the form that the metal is recovered (e.g. elemental Zn, ZnS, ZnO, etc.) and a description of where

and how it is marketable. Ultimately we will need written commitment from purchasers of the metals to ensure that the produced products are in fact marketable. Your proposal states that your technology has previously been demonstrated to treat B. Pit water. Could you provide this information? You also state that your technology is in production at other locations. Could you provide references?

The appropriate stakeholders will review these detailed proposals and other information. Assuming that the detailed proposals demonstrate technical merit, we will require that the technology be tested off site at bench scale. Under certain conditions, Mr. Duaine can provide the water for testing. If bench testing is successful, we may allow pilot testing on site at your expense.

Thank you for your interest.

Mark

Mark Thompson

Vice President of Environmental Affairs


**Montana Resources, LLP**

**600 Shields Ave.**

**Butte, Montana 59701**

Phone: (406) 496-3211

Cell: Personal Matters /  
Ex. 6



---

**From:** Greene, Nikia [mailto:Greene.Nikia@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 12, 2018 10:08 AM  
**To:** Mark Thompson; Tim Hilmo - BP (Tim.Hilmo@bp.com)  
**Cc:** Dreed@mt.gov; Chapin Storrar  
**Subject:** FW: New Tech for Cleaning up the Berkeley Pit

Mark and Tim,

Mr. Hammen caught me after the ROCC/CTEC meeting yesterday and I explained that if MR and AR were interested EPA is interested. So, could you take a look at this and let me know if you are interested in pursuing this technology further or why you would not be.

Thanks,

Nikia Greene

Remedial Project Manager

U.S. EPA, Region 8

(406)-457-5019

[greene.nikia@epa.gov](mailto:greene.nikia@epa.gov)

**From:** John Hammen [mailto:[john.hammen@metalsus.com](mailto:john.hammen@metalsus.com)]

**Sent:** Wednesday, April 11, 2018 7:26 PM

**To:** Greene, Nikia <[Greene.Nikia@epa.gov](mailto:Greene.Nikia@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** New Tech for Cleaning up the Berkeley Pit


Dear Nikia:

It was a pleasure to touch bases with you today at the forum about our new technology for remediation of the Pit and surrounding areas. Let me say I admire the balance, compassion, and fortitude you bring to managing this very challenging project, while at the same time working with and bringing along the understandably frustrated Butte community. We have developed the core basis for our Solid Phase Extraction Technology (SPE) - the key part of our remediation strategy, over a few decades, and spent the last several years bringing it to commercialization. Our long vision has been to develop a technology foundation that enables us to help with projects that really have large impact on people and communities. While we have many projects with good profit margins, we have always been particularly interested in the Berkeley Pit because of its significance to Montana and the whole nation. I also believe our experience not only in technology development, but also process testing and implementation, enables us to put together a "whole package" from the science fundamentals to the facility, operations, and big picture impact that most technology imagineers lack. Our Total Metal Recovery/Zero Discharge methodology was developed because it both provides the most economic way to operate the plant, and also is the only way to provide a clean water output without large waste byproducts. I have attached our white paper on the Berkeley Pit, and I hope you find this embodied in the document. It is our hope that we can work with you and others in the EPA, the Butte community, and other related parties, to provide a solution that realizes the best possible environmental and human impact outcome, while also being economically viable and sustainable in the long run. I appreciate any thoughts you may have, and look forward to working together as things advance. With Best Regards,

-John

--

John Hammen | Chief Executive Officer



Personal Matters / Ex. 6


[www.metalsus.com](http://www.metalsus.com)

---

This email has been scanned by Washington Corporations using Message Labs Spam Filtering Technology. If this e-mail is SPAM that you no longer want to receive, please refer to the Spam or Junk Email Handling Process ([https://washcorp.service-now.com/kb\\_view.do?sysparm\\_article=KB0011399](https://washcorp.service-now.com/kb_view.do?sysparm_article=KB0011399)). If you are experiencing any other e-mail problems, please call the IT Service Center at 855-WC-IT-NOW or x1212 from any office phone.

--

John Hammen | Chief Executive Officer



Personal Matters / Ex.  
6

[www.metalsus.com](http://www.metalsus.com)

--

John Hammen | Chief Executive Officer



Personal Matters / Ex. 6

[www.metalsus.com](http://www.metalsus.com)