

DISTRIBUTION OF MINERAL PARTICLES AND FIBERS IN THE LUNG
AFTER EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS DUST

J. F. KNOX, M.B.

AND

J. BEATTIE, M.D.

ROCHDALE, ENGLAND

AS THE result of experimental work on the production of asbestosis in animals, Vorwald and associates¹ concluded that typical peribronchiolar fibrosis was produced when the particle length of the inhaled asbestos dust lay between 20 and 50 μ . The asbestos caused fibrosis by the mechanical action of the fiber on the lung tissue. When the animals were withdrawn from the dusty atmosphere, the lesions did not progress but rather tended to regress. Asbestosis bodies, formed around inhaled asbestos fibers, were found to be inert as fibrogenic agents when they were injected into the trachea. The length of exposure to dust necessary to induce typical fibrosis by inhalation was between one and two years.

In the series of cases which we have studied and reported on before (Knox and Beattie²), we noted that the time interval between the first exposure to asbestos dust and the appearance of asbestosis in autopsy specimens was not less than 12 years. It appeared to us that the long interval between first exposure and the appearance of typical fibrotic changes indicated that the mechanism for the production of human asbestosis differed from that responsible for the experimental type. If the mere presence of asbestos particles within a critical size range was the essential factor in the production of human asbestosis, it would be difficult to explain why so many persons escape any asbestotic change even when exposed for more than 30 years. It is generally agreed that soon after inhalation the majority of the asbestos fibers become included in the asbestosis bodies. The experiments of Vorwald and his co-workers suggested that these structures are inert but the bodies do not remain as intact structures indefinitely. With the passage of years they become "weathered," eroded, segmented, and finally fragmented (Cooke,³ McDonald,⁴ Gloyne,⁵ Beger,⁶ Gloyne⁷). It was thus possible that in man the fibrotic changes in the lung might be related to this process of disintegration of the asbestosis body.

We have noted already that the degree of asbestosis appeared to be correlated more closely to the sum of the years of exposure to dust and the years of survival after the last exposure than to the total mineral content of the lung parenchyma (Knox and Beattie²). This finding suggested that, if disintegration of the

The Directors of Turner Brothers Asbestos Company, Ltd., supported this study by making a grant to meet the expenses involved. Further assistance was rendered by pathologists and personnel managers.

E. M. A. Arch. Med. 1973
July 1973

PULMONARY DISTRIBUTION OF ASBESTOS PARTICLES

asbestosis bodies was an essential factor in the production of fibrotic change, then there ought to be a change in particle-size distribution in the direction of a fall in the numbers of the larger particles as survival time increased. The formation of large numbers of smaller sized particles, however, might not be apparent in the counts, if the rate at which such particles were removed from the lungs kept pace with their rate of production. On the other hand, if the rate of production were greater than the rate of removal, then it might be possible to determine if fibrotic change were correlated with a rise in the small particle-size counts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The series of cases studied was the same as that reported in our paper on mineral content of the lungs (Knox and Beattie²). There were 27 workers, 21 men and 6 women. The duration of exposure to asbestos dust varied between 5 and 33 years, and the length of time from the last exposure to death ranged from less than 1 year to 21 years. The cases have been arranged in order of increasing survival time. The exposure and survival times are given to the nearest year. Asbestosis has been graded into three degrees according to the pathological reports based on the histological appearances found in the lung parenchyma.

Particle-size distributions were determined on the incombustible and acid-insoluble residues of lung parenchyma prepared by the method of King and Nagelschmidt.³ Pooled samples of these residues were made from each lung and, after mixing, small aliquots were taken. After being moistened with a wetting agent, the aliquots were suspended in an appropriate volume of water, care being taken to prevent flocculation and the inclusion of air bubbles. A drop of the suspension was then placed on a microscope slide and a drop of polyvinyl alcohol added to the suspension. Fluid was then dried off on an electric hot plate. Five slides were prepared from each aliquot and four aliquots prepared from each pooled sample. The slides were placed in a microprojection apparatus and the image of the particles projected on a sheet of paper at a magnification of $\times 1,000$. Light-field illumination was used. Fifty fields were counted on each slide. Care was taken to obtain a suspension sufficiently dilute to ensure accurate counting. The number of particles in each of the following size ranges was counted:

Less than 5 μ	Between 26 and 35 μ
Between 5 and 15 μ	Between 36 and 45 μ
Between 16 and 25 μ	Over 45 μ

Light-field illumination was chosen to facilitate counting of large numbers of fields. It was realized that with this method very small particles would not be counted, and consequently the values given for counts in the smallest size range would be less than the true values.

To facilitate comparison of the different particle-size distributions, the number of particles in each size range is expressed as a percentage of the number of particles found in the size range 16 to 25 μ in each lung specimen.

OBSERVATIONS

The particle-size distributions in the residues from the lungs of the 27 cases are given in the Table. As the residues from which the distributions were determined were pooled samples from different areas of the lung parenchyma, it was possible that such pooling might introduce considerable errors if the particle-size distribution varied significantly from area to area. It was found from a study of particle-size distributions from samples representing different areas of the lungs from four cases that particle-size distributions did not vary significantly from area to area within the same lung. The mean distributions given in the Table are therefore representative of the distribution within the whole lung.

50010141

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AND OCCUPATIONAL MEDICINE

Particle-Size Distributions Expressed as Percentages of Count in the 16 to 25 μ Range

Case No.	Sex	Total Exposure Time, Yr.	Survival Time, Yr.	Asbestosis.	Mean Particle Counts					
					Less Than 5 μ	5-15 μ	16-25 μ	26-35 μ	36-45 μ	Over 45 μ
3	M	26	0	++	364	193	100	36	21	0
23	M	28	0	+	458	217	100	42	0	0
26	M	30	0	+	510	290	100	51	15	6
9	M	11	0	-	612	389	100	62	19	11
17	M	12	0	+	394	281	100	79	9	2
25	M	4	0	-	627	329	100	38	17	21
12	F	32	1	-	501	257	100	48	0	17
2	M	27	2	+++	689	387	100	33	0	9
5	M	28	2	+	433	225	100	50	17	8
21	F	33	2	-	573	381	100	14	2	6
4	F	14	2	+	627	296	100	62	20	5
19	F	8	2	+	522	359	100	41	8	7
6	M	22	3	+	421	325	100	51	25	17
10	M	9	3	-	510	322	100	50	20	0
14	M	27	4	+	517	281	100	46	18	2
22	M	7	6	-	486	369	100	31	12	0
1	M	23	7	+++	610	487	100	0	0	0
27	M	21	8	+++	786	521	100	22	2	0
20	M	25	8	+++	829	644	100	11	4	0
8	F	27	8	+++	898	591	100	4	1	1
13	M	27	8	+	496	321	100	0	0	0
7	F	6	9	+	611	418	100	0	2	0
28	M	3	11	-	587	292	100	0	0	0
11	M	12	14	+++	720	599	100	0	0	0
24	M	19	14	+++	1,006	707	100	0	0	0
15	M	14	17	-	529	386	100	2	0	0
16	M	10	21	+	647	411	100	0	0	0




Chart 1.—Mean particle counts in the less than 5 μ and the 5 to 15 μ ranges in cases with no asbestosis and in those with minimal and severe asbestosis. The standard deviation for each mean value is given by the verticle line through this value. These counts were made on the incombustible and acid-insoluble residue of lung parenchyma.

PULMONARY DISTRIBUTION OF ASBESTOS PARTICLES

Relation of Particle Size to Degree of Asbestosis.—In those cases which showed severe asbestotic changes in the lung parenchyma, the mean number of particles less than $5\ \mu$ in length was 791 (S. D. ± 134 , S. E. ± 55). In the size range 5 to $15\ \mu$ the mean count was 562 (S. D. ± 106 , S. E. ± 43). Cases with minimal




Chart 2.—Mean particle count in the less than $5\ \mu$ range for each case in the series plotted against the sum of the exposure and survival times.




Chart 3.—Mean particle count in the 5 to $15\ \mu$ range for each case in the series plotted against the sum of the exposure and survival times.

asbestosis gave a mean count of 512 (S. D. ± 85 , S. E. ± 26) in the less than $5\ \mu$ range and 311 (S. D. ± 57 , S. E. ± 17) in the 5 to $15\ \mu$ range. Cases with no asbestosis gave corresponding counts, as follows: less than $5\ \mu$, 503 (S. D. ± 66 , S. E. ± 23) and from 5 to $15\ \mu$, 332 (S. D. ± 45 , S. E. ± 16). The results are shown graphically in Chart 1.

While there is a significant difference between the counts in both size ranges from the cases of severe asbestosis and those with minimal changes, there was no significant difference between the counts from cases with minimal asbestosis and those with no asbestosis.

When the mean counts in the less than $5\ \mu$ range are plotted against combined exposure and survival times for those cases which showed either no asbestosis or minimal asbestosis, there is a remarkable uniformity in the counts within fairly narrow limits as time increases after the 12th year from the first exposure (Chart 2). On the other hand, the counts in the cases of severe asbestosis show higher values. When the counts for the size range 5 to $15\ \mu$ are plotted in the same way, the results are the same (Chart 3). There is again great uniformity in the counts over the combined exposure and survival times from the 8th to the 35th year for cases with minimal or no asbestosis. Cases of severe asbestosis showed higher counts.

COMMENT

It is remarkable that in the cases studied the number of particles with a greatest length in excess of $26\ \mu$ should have disappeared almost completely from the lung parenchyma when the survival time was more than eight years. This finding supports Gloyne's⁹ opinion that in long-standing cases of asbestosis the number and size of both the asbestos fibers and the asbestosis bodies seen in histological preparations were less than in those cases more recently exposed to asbestos dust. Gloyne, however, was not the first to express this opinion. Prof. M. J. Stewart,* in a private communication concerning one of Gloyne's cases, stated:

There is no doubt also about absorption of asbestos bodies and asbestos fibres going on in the lung. Indeed, it is only by the solution of the bodies that the ill effect is produced. I have no doubt that this process of solution of the asbestos fibres will vary as to its rate of progress in different cases, and that in some cases asbestos fibres (and consequently bodies) may, after many years, disappear completely, leaving behind the fibrotic lesion which they induced.

If the cases of severe asbestosis be excluded, the particle counts in both the less than $5\ \mu$ range and in the 5 to $15\ \mu$ range showed no significant change as the combined exposure and survival time increased. Moreover, there was no significant difference between the particle counts in either of these size ranges of cases with no asbestosis and those with minimal changes. It would appear therefore over a long period of time either that there was no movement of asbestos or asbestos-derived particles from the lungs or that the rate at which small particles were produced from larger ones was almost equal to the rate at which they were removed from the lungs. As we have produced some evidence that the mineral content of the lung tends to decline with increasing survival time, the latter alternative is the more probable.

When the cases of severe asbestosis are considered, it is clear that the significantly high counts in the small particle ranges must indicate either a much more rapid breakdown of larger particles or a fall in the rate at which the small particles are removed. Although it is more probable that the rise in the small particle counts in the cases of severe asbestosis is due to reduced rate of removal, there is no

* Stewart, M. J., Personal communication to the authors from Prof. M. J. Stewart, formerly Professor of Pathology, Leeds University.

conclusive evidence that this is so, other than the fact that in these cases, as we have shown already, both the hilar and pleural and subpleural tissues contain much mineral material (Knox and Beattie²).

The association of high counts in the small particle ranges with severe asbestosis and the absence in three of these cases of any fibers with a greatest length in excess of $26\ \mu$ suggest that human asbestosis, at least of the severe type, is not due to the mere presence within the lung of particles with greatest lengths within a critical size range of 20 to $50\ \mu$. The high counts of such critical size fibers in many of the cases with no asbestosis or minimal fibrosis and with long exposure and short survival times would suggest that the mechanism for the production of clinically recognizable asbestosis differs from that which induces peribronchiolar fibrosis in experimental animals.

Our findings thus indicate that a rise in the numbers of small mineral particles derived presumably from the breakdown of asbestosis bodies is associated with severe fibrotic changes in the lung parenchyma. This implies that either these particles or some other product of the breakdown of the bodies can exert a fibrogenic effect on lung tissue. If these products are removed as rapidly as they are formed, then either no asbestosis develops or a minimal degree of change occurs. It is suggested above that a reduced rate of removal of these products may be due to partial blockage of the drainage routes from the lung, i.e., either toward the lung hilus or toward the pleural surface. It is conceivable that any pathological process which would cause any inflammatory change in the hilum or in the lymph nodes into which the pleural lymphatics drain might cause a further reduction in the drainage rate and might precipitate the onset of severe fibrotic changes. Cardiac decompensation too might be a factor in the precipitation of these changes.

SUMMARY

The particle-size distributions in the incombustible and acid-insoluble residues from the lungs of 27 persons who had been exposed to asbestos dust were determined.

The number of particles with greatest lengths in excess of $26\ \mu$ was considerable up to the eighth year after the last exposure to asbestos dust and then sharply fell.

The number of particles within the size ranges of $5\ \mu$ and less and between 5 and $15\ \mu$ remained constant over a very long period in those cases which showed no sign of asbestosis or minimal fibrotic change. The cases of severe asbestosis showed a significant rise in the numbers of such particles.

It is considered that the mechanism which is concerned with the production of human asbestosis is not the same as that which is responsible for the production of asbestotic changes in experimental animals exposed to high concentrations of asbestos dust. The appearance of such changes appears to be related to the breakdown of asbestosis bodies which may liberate some fibrogenic agent.

REFERENCES

1. Vorwald, A. J.; Durkan, T. M., and Pratt, P. C. Experimental Studies of Asbestosis, *A. M. A. Arch. Indust. Hyg.* **3**:1-43, 1951.
2. Knox, J. F., and Beattie, J. Mineral Content of the Lungs After Exposure to Asbestos Dust, *A. M. A. Arch. Indust. Hyg.*, this issue, p. 23.

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE AND OCCUPATIONAL MEDICINE

3. Cooke, W. E.: Pulmonary Asbestosis, Brit. M. J. **2**:1024-1025, 1927.
4. McDonald, S.: Histology of Pulmonary Asbestosis, Brit. M. J. **2**:1025-1026, 1927.
5. Gloyne, S. R.: Presence of Asbestos Fibre in Lesions of Asbestos Workers, Tubercle **10**:404-407, 1929.
6. Beger, P. J.: Über die Asbestosiskörperchen, Virchows Arch. path. Anat. **290**:280-353, 1933.
7. Gloyne, S. R., in Silicosis and Asbestosis, edited by A. J. Lanza, London, Oxford University Press, 1938, p. 225.
8. King, E. J., and Nagelschmidt, G.: Mineral Content of the Lungs of Workers from the South Wales Coalfields, Special Reports Series 250, Medical Research Council, London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1945, pp. 3-4.
9. Gloyne, S. R.: Pneumoconiosis: Histological Survey of Necropsy Material in 1,205 Cases, Lancet **1**:810-814, 1951.