day. I think we may be talking by each other on the definition of "indirect." So we need to probably define that.

Q Okay, let's do that. Did Joe Mallia receive a direct benefit from there being asbestos in brakes?

A Not personally.

Q Okay. Asbestos was included in brakes because it worked pretty well?

A True.

Q And it was relatively inexpensive?

A Yes.

Q And it was going to cost money and take some time and engineering effort to get it out of brakes?

A No, I would say it was thought to be safe and effective and on a cost -- or actually on risk/benefit basis, substituting it was thought to cause more of a risk to society than leaving it in. At least that was the prevailing thought for a long time.

Q Was that the prevailing thought in 1971 at Ford?

A I can't say that they had made a decision that was exclusively based on automotive safety in 1971. By 1971 other factors were coming into play. Your question is sort of would they have chosen to stay with asbestos-containing brakes knowing what they knew about