

file

ONTARIO
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

April 2nd. 1932.

Dear Dr. Kehoe:-

In answer to your letter of March 26th. regarding the question of determination of lead in the urine we appreciate fully the stand you take in this matter. As a matter of fact this has been our own contention. The method used here is Fairhall's method and we report lead only in excess of what has been shown to be commonly present in connection with a large number of normal individuals not exposed to lead whom we have used as controls. We feel quite definitely that the finding of lead in the urine is not sufficient to warrant a basis for diagnosis. We feel, however, that lead in what we term pathological quantities is suggestive and in a doubtful case warrants investigation. We have used this method also as a check in connection with deleading treatment which has been applied in a number of cases with excellent results.

I would appreciate very much any further comments that you feel inclined to make. I take it from your letter that your position in this matter is pretty much the same

N 6239



ONTARIO
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

as ours.

Very sincerely yours,

AR Kehoe

Clinician,
Division of Industrial Hygiene.

Dr. Robert A. Kehoe,
University of Cincinnati,
College of Medicine,
General Hospital,
Cincinnati, Ohio,
U.S.A.