

George Woytowich
08/06/2001 09:16 AM

To: H David Ramsey/SE/DuPont@DuPont
cc: Dawn D Jackson/CL/DuPont@DuPont
Subject: Re: Lubeck Testing

(1) Yes, 1992 data is from the New Lubeck location.


(2) Note explanations are as follows:

(Present, concentration estimated) = This is what the report says think that the result was below the Practical Quantitation Limit (value for the method that they have 98% confidence in) and therefore the result is an estimate.

(Result below method PQL) = Result below the Practical Quantitation Limit (value for the method that they have 98% confidence in)

Outside hold time = Due a misunderstanding samples were analyzed using a new method and when this was discovered and the remaining samples were analyzed by the original method the sample hold time had been exceeded.

H David Ramsey



H David Ramsey
08/02/2001 04:48 PM


To: George Woytowich/CL/DuPont@DuPont
cc: Dawn D Jackson/CL/DuPont@DuPont
Subject: Re: Lubeck Testing

Thanks very much , this is great>> two questions :

(1) The 1992 data, this was the New Lubeck location ,

(2) Define meaning of terms in parenthesis so I make sure I interpret them properly

Thanks
George Woytowich



George Woytowich
08/02/2001 09:06 AM

To: H David Ramsey/SE/DuPont@DuPont, Dawn D Jackson/CL/DuPont@DuPont
cc:
Subject: Lubeck Testing

HDR002485

EID167090

I think this is what you wanted for the Tuesday meeting.



Lubeck Sampling.doc

If you need further information please advise.

HDR002486

EID167091