File Northwestern Study

Should we get report

we calls Webster

Contract (Lia

earle - Chuck Fry Tell him about.

Needlemen

Public entre data base - Larry O'Neil

(3) Get Dissertation & Background

(4) Legal letter -

Colin Wiltshire on GEPs

— from afar

Jackson

32-10-45-0033

DSW 476038.1716

STLCOPCB4043865

Status - tie up loose ends
1. We have your final report
2. Communication complete
3. Paper for publication
"could be tighter"

Understanding
1. Warren = PI only he may publish or present.
2. 60 days to review for technical accuracy

Next Steps -
1. Data 10 years old - ~~not much value in further data evaluation~~
2. Study cross-sectional in nature and other studies are being done at plant
3. We don't see much value of further work on present data
4. We think it is time to archive should we decide to update.

DSW 476038.1717

Any other loose ends

Study	Status effects	Completion Date
1. Endocrine function (Persky)		1. Blood in people with abnormals
2. Immune (Wallace)		
3. Lower chlorinated Phenols (Dan) Old but value in data yet. Blood = exp		2. Need funding to do more
4. Repro effects (Graduate Student) - Dick Levine		3. NIH funding

STLCOPCB4043866

Draft 1/22/96

Warren H. Wallace, M.D.
Professor of Medicine
Northwestern Medical Facility Foundation Inc.
222 East Superior Street
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Dear Dr. Wallace:

It has been a while since we have talked about the Krummrich plant epidemiology study you completed several years ago. I thought it was time to tie up some loose ends. We have your final report which you helped us communicate to the study subjects and our employees. Further, you have prepared a paper for publication entitled "A morbidity study of chemical workers who had been engaged in the production of pentachlorophenol" which we reviewed, and I assume you submitted for publication.

My records indicate you are the Principal Investigator on the study. This is important because only the Principal Investigator may publish or present findings from these data. In the past you have provided us with all draft documents from this study and given us 60 days to review and comment on the technical accuracy of the data.

Since the data in this study are more than ten years old and communication to study subjects, employees and the scientific community have long been completed, I don't think there is much value in preparing additional papers for publication or presentation. I think it is time to archive these data. If Monsanto ever decides an update of this study is appropriate, more recent data on our workers would be needed.

On behalf of our employees and former employees at the Krummrich plant I thank you for completing this study and verifying the good health of our workforce. Please let me know if there are any more loose ends we need to discuss and if we can be of help in archiving the data.

Sincerely,

James J. Collins, PhD
Director of Epidemiology

DSW 476038.1718

(2)

Sylvia Fuller is associate dean of school.

We generate Ho (Sylvia does work)

Sweeney, Monson, Cullen

(1) Barry Friedlander — approved reproductive effect and study will be kept in limbo

(2)

Date of PCP -

DSW 476038.1719