

(Title IV, Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 83 Stat. 742 (30 U.S.C. 901 et seq.), as amended by Pub. L. 92-303, 86 Stat. 156; (5 U.S.C. 301); Secretary of Labor's Order 13-71; 36 FR 8755.)

Effective date. The new paragraph (d) which is added to 20 CFR 725.516 is ef-

fective April 1, 1974 and is applicable to all claims filed under Part C of Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended, on or after January 1, 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 22d day of March, 1974.

BERNARD E. DeLURY,
Assistant Secretary for
Employment Standards.

[FR Doc.74-7388 Filed 3-29-74; 8:45 am]

[39 FR 11875, April 1, 1974]

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION EMERGENCY TEMPORARY STANDARD FOR EXPOSURE TO VINYL CHLORIDE

Title 29—Labor

CHAPTER XVII—OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION, DE- PARTMENT OF LABOR

PART 1910—OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS

Emergency Temporary Standard for Exposure to Vinyl Chloride

1. **Background.** Vinyl chloride (chloroethene), Chemical Abstracts Service Registry No. 75015, is a synthetic chemical made by oxychlorination of ethylene or by hydrochlorination of acetylene. It is the parent compound of a series of thermoplastic resin polymers and copolymers which are widely used for containers, wrapping tissues, electrical insulation, pipe, conduit and a variety of other products. Vinyl chloride has been made commercially in this country since 1939 and present production is in excess of seven billion pounds per year.

Vinyl chloride (VC) is a gas at ambient temperature and pressure and is a chlorinated hydrocarbon which has moderate liver toxicity. The present standard sets a ceiling value of 500 parts per million (ppm) (29 CFR 1910.93).

On January 22, 1974, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration was informed by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) that the B. F. Goodrich Chemical Company reported that deaths of several of its employees from a rare form of liver cancer may have been occupationally related. As a result of this notification, and after consultation with NIOSH and a joint inspection of the plant by OSHA, NIOSH, and the Kentucky Department of Labor, a fact-finding hearing on possible hazards involved with the manufacture and use of both VC and polyvinyl chloride was announced on January 30, 1974 (39 FR 3874), and held on February 15, 1974.

2. **Carcinogenicity of VC.** Information produced at the hearing demonstrated that exposure of laboratory animals (mostly Sprague-Dawley rats) to VC by inhalation at and below the current OSHA standard of 500 ppm induced tumors, including angiosarcomas of the liver. Professor Cesare Maltoni, of the Instituto di Oncologia, Bologna, Italy, reported on a series of experiments on the effect of exposure of rats, mice, and hamsters to VC at concentrations of 10,000; 6,000; 2,500; 500; 250; and 50 ppm for varying periods of time (TR 43-63). Some of the experiments have been concluded, and others are still ongoing. The experimental results so far reported are that tumors have been observed in groups of animals exposed to VC at con-

centrations as low as 250 ppm. No tumors have been observed in the group of animals exposed to VC at a concentration of 50 ppm. It also appears so far that the total number of tumors, as well as the numbers of angiosarcomas of the liver, decrease as the concentrations of VC are reduced to 250 ppm. Finally, another experiment by Professor Maltoni is underway involving the exposure of 300 animals to VC at concentrations of 50 ppm, in order to assess in a more definitive way whether that level of exposure produces tumors in animals. Data reported by Torkelson, Oyen and Rowe (American Industrial Hygiene Association J 22:354-361 (1961)) indicate that exposure to VC at concentrations of 50 ppm failed to induce tumors in rats, hamsters, rabbits, and dogs.

The employees of the B. F. Goodrich Chemical Company who died from angiosarcoma of the liver had an average exposure of approximately 19 years to vinyl chloride, at unknown concentrations, and variable exposures to other volatile chemicals. (TR 93). Some employees of Union Carbide Company and Goodyear Company are also reported in a post-hearing comment from NIOSH dated March 11, 1974, to have had exposure to vinyl chloride and to have died from angiosarcoma of the liver. Finally, autopsies of four deceased employees revealed that liver angiosarcoma tumors were histologically indistinguishable from the angiosarcoma tumors observed in Professor Maltoni's experimental animals. It is concluded therefore, that vinyl chloride is carcinogenic for humans.

We therefore conclude that the present standard for VC should be lowered from a ceiling of 500 ppm to a ceiling of 50 ppm for the following reasons:

(a) In light of the evidence referred to above including the Maltoni experiments demonstrating that VC is carcinogenic in animals at 250 ppm, we conclude that VC must be considered carcinogenic in man at the same level;

(b) Although Professor Maltoni did not induce tumors in his experimental animals at an exposure concentration of 50 ppm, these data do not support the concept that occupational exposure of employees to concentrations of 50 ppm throughout their working lifetime would be without detrimental health effects;

(c) The question whether safe levels of exposure to carcinogens exist for humans and, if so, what such levels would be, is the subject of continuing scientific deliberation. In the case of VC, Professor Maltoni did not observe tumors in his animals at exposure concentrations

of 50 ppm. In addition, Torkelson, Oyen, and Rowe found that exposure to concentrations of 50 ppm of VC failed to induce tumors in rats, hamsters, rabbits, and dogs. Accordingly, there is insufficient evidence at this time to conclude that VC at concentrations of 50 ppm or below poses a grave danger to humans.

(d) The emergency temporary standard adopted represents a substantial reduction in the permissible level of exposure and, in our practical judgment, is the lowest level that can be complied with immediately; and

(e) This standard will be in effect for a period of no longer than six months, during which time the whole question of possible safe exposure of humans to VC will be reconsidered more fully and in the light of more information, including experiments which are under way at this time (TR 47, 49, 71-74).

3. **Petitions for an emergency temporary standard.** In a telegram to the Assistant Secretary of Labor, received on or about March 14, 1974, the President of the United Rubber Workers International Union urged the establishment of an emergency temporary standard for VC. During the hearing of February 15, 1974, the Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO, and the United Rubber Workers International Union made a joint petition for an emergency temporary standard for VC (TR 141-148), which was also joined by the Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers International Union (TR 37). At the same hearing, several participants urged, on the other hand, a regular rulemaking proceeding as the most suitable for the orderly development of relevant information (TR 112, 180).

The petitions for an emergency temporary standard specified in detail the contents of the standard requested. In substance, the request is to issue a comprehensive fully-developed standard based on the recommendations of the Standards Advisory Committee on Carcinogens submitted to the Assistant Secretary of Labor on or about August 27, 1973. The recommendations are far-ranging, and cover special categories of operations, signs and labels, medical surveillance, reporting, etc., including a permit system for the use of a carcinogen.

We agree that an emergency temporary standard is necessary; we cannot say on the basis of the information developed so far that a comprehensive standard, such as the one requested, is either necessary or even desirable. It has been decided to promulgate a standard containing only those essential provisions which are deemed necessary to provide protec-

tion to employees from grave danger until a regular rulemaking proceeding in accordance with sections 6 (b) and (c) of the Act can be concluded. The reasons for a decision to establish a ceiling value of 50 ppm have already been stated. A decision on other possible, appropriate provisions is best made after consideration of all relevant data and views that interested persons may submit during the proceeding soon to be initiated.

With respect to arguments in opposition to issuance of an emergency temporary standard, the concern and efforts of several companies participating at the hearing for the protection of their employees are recognized. It may also be that some employers in some plants have fully complied with the interim controls recommended by NIOSH on January 30, 1974. There is, however, reason to believe that employees are currently being exposed to VC at concentrations well above 50 ppm. This was asserted several times at the hearing, and not seriously questioned. Moreover, a report, dated March 1974, of a survey by the staff of the Office of Standards Development, OSHA, of several facilities manufacturing VC and polyvinyl chloride revealed concentrations for some job classifications as high as 229 ppm. Therefore, a regulation is considered necessary to provide, immediately, adequate protection to workers exposed to VC. Also, the eight-hour, time-weighted average standard suggested by several participants at the hearing (see, for instance, TR 178), has been rejected. The March 1974 report of the survey revealed that several kinds of work or operations are of short duration. Loading or unloading of a tank car may require approximately 15 minutes. The cleaning of a reactor may require approximately half an hour. An eight-hour, time-weighted average standard would permit exposure to VC at concentrations of 400 ppm for one hour. Such upward excursions, several times the 50 ppm level, cannot be permitted to occur.

4. *The standard.* The standard set out below contains only the requirements deemed necessary to provide protection before the conclusion of the rulemaking proceeding to be commenced shortly.

Because exposure to VC is hazardous, and because such exposure can occur in the processes of synthesizing or polymerizing of VC or in the handling of VC polymers or copolymers which contain absorbed VC, this standard applies to all such processes and to the handling, reacting, manufacturing, processing, releasing, repackaging, or storage of any of these materials. The monitoring requirements serve two purposes, to trigger into operation a compliance program and to check the effectiveness of the program. Also, engineering controls are favored for compliance, and respirators are intended to provide protection until such controls can be installed or in cases where such controls are not feasible.

Accordingly, by reason of the foregoing and on the basis of the record of the hearing of February 15, 1974, with exhibits, the written submissions received before the hearing pursuant to the notice of the hearing, the post-hearing written submissions by the participants at the

hearing, the March 1974 report of a fact-finding survey recommendations received from NIOSH, and the other data referred to herein, it is found (1) that VC at concentrations in excess of 50 ppm is physically harmful and carcinogenic; (2) that exposure to VC at concentrations in excess of a concentration of 50 ppm poses a grave danger to employees; (3) that employees are presently exposed to VC at concentrations in excess of 50 ppm; and (4) that the emergency temporary standard set out below is necessary to provide immediate protection to employees from such danger.

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, a proceeding will commence shortly in accordance with section 6(b) of the Act, in which the emergency temporary standard will serve as a proposed rule, together with other subsidiary rules. As soon as possible a draft environmental impact statement will be filed with the President's Council on Environmental Quality, and copies will be provided to other appropriate Federal agencies for their comments.

Pursuant to sections 6(c) and 8(c) (3) of the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1596, 1599; (29 U.S.C. 655, 657)), and

Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71 (36 FR 8754), 29 CFR Part 1910 is amended by adding thereto a new § 1910.93q to read as set forth below. In addition, pursuant to section 4(b) (2) of the Act (84 Stat. 1592; (29 U.S.C. 653)), the standard in the new § 1910.93q is determined to be more effective than the corresponding standards now in Subpart B of Part 1910, in Parts 1915, 1916, 1917, 1918, and 1926 of title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, and in Part 50-204 of Title 41 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Therefore, these corresponding standards are superseded by the new standard in § 1910.93q.

1. In 29 CFR Part 1910, § 1910.93 is amended by deleting from Table G-1 the line: " * * * C Vinyl chloride * * * 500 * * * 1300".

2. Part 1910 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding thereto a new § 1910.93q to read as follows:

§ 1910.93q Vinyl chloride.

(a) *Scope and application.* (1) This section applies to any area or operation in which vinyl chloride (chloroethene), Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number 75015, is manufactured, reacted, handled, processed, released, repacked, or stored.

(2) This section does not apply to the handling, storage, or other use of vinyl chloride polymers and copolymers in the form of fabricated products.

(b) *Permissible exposure.* The occupational environment shall be controlled so that no employee is exposed to vinyl chloride at a concentration in excess of 50 parts per million (ppm) (127.0 mg/cum).

(c) *Monitoring.*—(1) *Initial monitoring.* As soon as possible but not later than April 22, 1974, every employer of an employee working in an area or operation in which vinyl chloride is manufactured, re-

acted, handled, processed, released, repacked, or stored shall begin monitoring the ambient air of the area to determine whether it contains vinyl chloride in concentrations in excess of 50 ppm.

(2) *Frequency.* Monitoring of a sufficient number of employees so that a representative sample of exposures to vinyl chloride may be determined shall be accomplished not less frequently than weekly until all results for three consecutive weeks are at or below 50 ppm. Thereafter, monitoring shall be conducted not less frequently than monthly so long as the concentrations of vinyl chloride do not exceed 50 ppm. If a monitoring sample reveals vinyl chloride in concentrations in excess of 50 ppm, weekly monitoring shall be resumed until all results for three consecutive weeks are at or below 50 ppm.

(3) *Method of monitoring.* Personnel monitoring shall be accomplished by collecting samples by suitable devices worn by the employee. The samples shall be analyzed by gas chromatography or by any other method which is of equivalent sensitivity. The analytical procedure shall be sensitive to 5 ppm of vinyl chloride in air with an accuracy of ± 20 percent for a ten minute air sample.

(4) *Employee observation of monitoring.* Employees working in an area or operation whose ambient air is monitored, or their representatives, shall be given a reasonable opportunity to observe the personnel monitoring required by this section.

(5) *Recordkeeping.* The results of all monitoring shall be recorded in writing. The records shall be retained for at least 5 years and shall be made available for inspection and copying by representatives of the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health and the Director of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH).

(6) *Employee access.* Each employee and former employee shall have access to such records of the results of monitoring required by this section as will indicate his own exposure to airborne concentrations of vinyl chloride.

(7) *Employee notification.* Each employer shall promptly notify any employee who has been or is being exposed to vinyl chloride in concentrations in excess of 50 ppm, and shall inform him of the corrective action being taken.

(d) *Compliance.* (1) Whenever any monitoring sample reveals vinyl chloride at a concentration in excess of 50 ppm, or whenever any accident, such as rupture of equipment or spillage, indicates the likelihood of a greater than usual release of vinyl chloride into the ambient air, all employees exposed to such concentrations shall be withdrawn to a safe area and shall not be permitted to re-enter the work area unless they wear either Type C continuous flow or pressure demand air supplied respirators or self-contained breathing apparatus.

(2) Work which may reasonably be expected to release vinyl chloride in concentrations in excess of 50 ppm, such as repair, maintenance or cleaning of reactors or other equipment containing vinyl chloride, shall be accomplished only by employees wearing Type C continuous

flow or pressure demand air supplied respirators or self-contained breathing apparatus.

(3) In any case covered by paragraphs (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section, in addition to providing the required respirators, the employer shall examine and analyze the source of the excessive concentrations of vinyl chloride in order to determine feasible engineering or operational controls appropriate to reduce the airborne concentrations to the permissible level. Such controls shall be implemented as quickly as possible.

(4) Periodic tests shall be conducted for equipment leaks and for emissions of vinyl chloride which may result from work practices.

3. In 29 CFR Part 1910, § 1910.19 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1910.19 Special provisions for air contaminants.

(a) *Asbestos dust.* Section 1910.93a shall apply to the exposure of every employee to asbestos dust in every employment and place of employment covered by § 1910.12, § 1910.13, § 1910.14, § 1910.15, or § 1910.16, in lieu of any different standard on exposure to asbestos dust which would otherwise be applicable by virtue of any of those sections.

(b) *Vinyl chloride.* Section 1910.93q shall apply to the exposure of every employee to vinyl chloride in every employment and place of employment covered

by § 1910.12, § 1910.13, § 1910.14, § 1910.15, or § 1910.16, in lieu of any different standard on exposure to vinyl chloride which would otherwise be applicable by virtue of any of those sections.

Effective date. These amendments shall become effective on April 5, 1974.

(Secs. 4, 6, and 8, 84 Stat. 1592, 1596, 1599 (29 U.S.C. 653, 655, 657); Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71, 36 FR 8754.)

Signed at Washington, DC., this 2d day of April 1974.

JOHN STENDER,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[39 FR 12342 April 5, 1974]

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN OSHA AND AMERICAN CAN COMPANY

(The following agreement is pending before the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission and has been cited by OSHA as the first compliance abatement agreement.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

PETER J. BRENNAN, Secretary of
Labor,
United States Department of Labor,

Complainant,

v.

American Can Company,

Respondent.

OSHRC Docket Nos.
2036, 2660 & 4119

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Comes now Peter J. Brennan, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, hereinafter referred to as the Secretary and respondent, American Can Company, hereinafter referred to as the Company, and stipulate herein as follows:

1. American Can Company, as part of its continuing program of providing safe and healthful working conditions for its employees has recognized that a company-wide effort should be made to protect its employees from the adverse affect of excessive noise exposure.

2. Jurisdiction of this proceeding is conferred upon the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission by section 10(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, (84 Stat. 1604; 29 U.S.C. 651, *et seq.*), hereinafter referred to as the Act.

3. American Can Company is and at all times hereinafter mentioned was a corporation with corporate headquarters at American Lane, Greenwich, Connecticut, and does business at Newnan, Georgia, North Kansas City, Missouri, Detroit, Michigan and other locations throughout the United States where it is engaged principally in the business of manufacturing metal containers, plastic containers, folding cartons and flexible packages. The Company is and at all times hereinafter mentioned has

been an employer engaged in a business affecting commerce within the meaning of the Act.

4. Compliance Officers of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, United States Department of Labor, pursuant to their official duties, made inspections and investigations of the Company's workplaces at: Newnan, Georgia on November 16, 1972; North Kansas City, Missouri on March 15, 1973; and Detroit, Michigan on June 27, 1973.

5. As a result of the inspections and investigations, referred to in paragraph 4 above, pursuant to section 9(a) of the Act, the Company was issued: One (1) nonserious citation on December 18, 1972, for its Newnan, Georgia paperboard facility; one (1) nonserious citation on March 19, 1973, for its North Kansas City, Missouri tin can facility; and one (1) nonserious citation on July 20, 1973, for its Detroit, Michigan tin can facility.

6. The Company contested each of the citations referred to in paragraph 5 above. These cases were assigned the following docket numbers: Newnan, Georgia — OSHRC Docket No. 2036; North Kansas City, Missouri — OSHRC Docket No. 2660; Detroit, Michigan — OSHRC Docket No. 4119.

7. The Company represents that the conditions referred to in paragraphs IV(B) and (C) of the complaint filed in OSHRC Docket No. 2036 have been and will remain abated.

8. The Company represents that consistent with this stipulation and settlement agreement, it has paid or will pay the sum proposed in paragraph VI of the complaint filed in OSHRC Docket No. 2036 in the amount of one hundred twenty dollars (\$120.00) and the sum proposed in paragraph IV of the complaint filed in OSHRC Docket No. 2660 in the amount of thirty dollars (\$30.00).

9. The Company has characterized its operating facilities set forth in Appendix A, attached hereto and made a part hereof, as either a metal, plastic or paperboard container manufacturing facility and represents that the