

Quantitative Factors Ethyl Study -
1929

16, 20, 48, 72, 74, 78

KE 0019088

N10531

Milligrams of 1

GROUP	MEAN	
Tank Wagon Ethyl 101-150	.100	
Garage Mechanics, Exposure 301-501	.094	
Filling Station, Ethyl 1-56	.079	
Barrel Fillers, Plain 201-227	.058	
Barrel Fillers, Ethyl 251-272	.052	

KE 0019089

		Milligrams of in
GROUP	MEAN	
Tank Wagon, Ethyl 101-150	.170	±
Barrel Fillers, Plain 201-227	.137	±
Garage Mechanics, Exposure 301-501	.131	±
Barrel Fillers, Ethyl 251-272	.113	±
Filling Station, Ethyl 1-56	.097	±

	Milligrams of lead fae	
GROUP	MEAN	P.E.
Barrel Fillers, Plain 201-227	.137	<u>+</u> .014
Garage Mechanics, Exp. 301-501	.131	<u>+</u> .005
Tank Wagon Ethyl + 101-149	.119	<u>+</u> .013
Barrel Fillers, Ethyl 251-272	.113	<u>+</u> .010
Filling Station, Ethyl	.097	<u>+</u> .010
+ indicates the exclusion of case number		

None of the differences between the mean

Filling Station Eth
Showing lead findings for the

I 9 2 7		
	Mean P.E.mean	S.D. P.E.s.
Lead in faeces, mgrs.* (Total)	.322 ± .039	.255 ± .028
Lead in faeces.* (Mgrs.-grm.ash)	.146 ± .020	.1129 ± .014
Lead in urine, mgrs. (Total)	.188 ± .016	.118 ± .011
Lead in urine (mgrs-liter)	.122 ± .015	.111 ± .010

* Excluding Case

All values are stable as judged by their r
always in favor of 1929, but in three cases
while in the remaining instance it is very

Tank Wagon
Showing lead findings for the

I 9 2 7		
	Mean P.E.mean	S.D P.E. s.d
Lead in faeces, mgrs. (Total)	.308 <u>+.025</u>	.150 <u>+.071</u>
Lead in faeces (Mgrs.-grm.ash.)	.109 <u>+.008</u>	.047 <u>+.005</u>
Lead in Urine (Total) ⊕	.171 <u>+.018</u>	.131 <u>+.013</u>
Lead in urine (mgrs.-liter) ⊕	.147 <u>+.019</u>	.133 <u>+.013</u>

⊕Exclusive of Case#1

All constants are stable as indicated by the
in the case of the faeces is in favor of 192
favor of 1929. In no case, however, is the c

Tank Wagon Ethyl plus F
Showing lead findings for the same indivi

1 9 2 7		
	Mean P.E.mean	S.D. P.E. s.d.
Lead in faeces, mgrs. (Total) #	.316 <u>±.024</u>	.212 <u>±.017</u>
Lead in faeces (Mgrs.-grm ash.)#	.129 <u>±.011</u>	.101 <u>±.008</u>
Lead in urine (Total) +	.169 <u>±.012</u>	.124 <u>±.008</u>
Lead in urine (mgrs.-liter) +	.133 <u>±.012</u>	.123 <u>±.008</u>

#Excluding Case 4 for 1927
+Excluding Case 104

All constants are stable as indicated by the
is always in favor of 1929 but except in the
statistically significant. The difference men
probable error and therefore may be looked u

Length of service and lead in urine, mgrs. per

Length of exposure to ethyl gasoline and lead
mgrs. per grm. ash

Length of exposure to ethyl gasoline and lead
mgrms. per liter

There is no significant correlation. All coeff
four times their respective probable errors. T
case of the last coefficient, of itself, has n
case of the first two coefficients, they are le
probable errors, in spite of the fact that the
in the case of the last two.

KE 0019095